

Statement of Consultation Appendix – Part 11

Protecting Green Belt Land & Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change & Flooding

.....

Summary of Comment	Summary Reference	Council Response	Council Action	Consultee Rep ID
Chp 14 Green Belt				
Objects to the methodology used in the Green Belt Review and considers that land shouldn't be removed from the Green Belt if one purpose is met. All areas adjacent to the urban area satisfy one of the purposes and if lost would lead to further development pressures on adjacent land. Refers to the openness and permanence as being key characteristics of the Green Belt.	CHP14.1	Objection to the approach noted. The Council will review its evidence base and keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider reviewing evidence base.	DLP/2538
Notes evidence base and approach to reviewing the Green Belt boundary and its release through the Local Plan is in accordance with the NPPF.	CHP14.2	Comment regarding compliance with the NPPF noted.	None required.	DLP/2677
Generally supports policies GB1 - GB11. However recommends a requirement to protect and enhance biodiversity habitat in GB3 - GB11 in order to recognise that the Green Belt supports wildlife.	CHP14.3	Policies GB1 - GB11 focus on the five purposes and fundamental aim of the Green Belt designation as set out in the NPPF. Wildlife is not mentioned as a reason for designating or preserving green belt and is dealt with sufficiently in Chapter 16 Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment.	None required.	DLP/2755
No comments with regards to Chapter 14	CHP14.4	No comments with regards to Chapter 14 noted.	None required.	DLP/3033
Welcomes review of the Green Belt. Considers there to be over emphasis on landscape issues when considering Green Belt land. Green Belt policy should control urban growth and not landscape designation. The openness and	CHP14.5	The character of the landscape helps to inform whether the land and its features are open in character and considered permanent for the purposes of designating land as Green Belt. As such landscape was a consideration when reviewing the Green Belt in the Borough.	None required.	DLP/3079

permanence of the Green Belt are essential characteristics.				
Considers the Draft Local Plan to be misleading on the amount of Green Belt land to be lost.	CHP14.6	The figures included within the Draft Local Plan relating to the loss of the Green Belt are an accurate reflection of the amount of Green Belt being lost to development.	None required.	DLP/2538
Provides recommended word changes relating to policies that seek to limit harm to the Green Belt and questions the use of 'sustainable'	CHP14.7	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2538
Recommends a policy requiring all brownfield sites to be developed first before greenfield are considered.	CHP14.8	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2538, DLP12942,
Objects to building on Green Belt land in Billericay.	GB1.1	Objection noted. However, in order to meet the OAN for housing, as set out in policy SD1, it is necessary for approximately 8,500 homes to be provided in the Green Belt. Impact on the Green Belt has been minimised by identifying all reasonable sources of urban land supply. The Council undertook a Green Belt review to examine whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues meets the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate in all or part of the area. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan. Through Policy GB1 the Council will ensure the revised Green Belt boundary and land within the designation is rigorously protected.	None required.	DLP/104, DLP/597, DLP/604, DLP/1014, DLP/1037, DLP/1084, DLP/2384, DLP/2390, DLP/4491, DLP/4579, DLP/4585, DLP/4599, DLP/12056, DLP/12113, DLP/12140, DLP/12153, DLP/12162, DLP/12197, DLP/12204, DLP/12205, DLP/12228, DLP/12250, DLP/12272, DLP/12282, DLP/12291, DLP/12301, DLP/12302, DLP/12303, DLP/12310, DLP/12318, DLP/12325, DLP/12332, DLP/12348, DLP/12357, DLP/12368, DLP/12383, DLP/12391, DLP/12406, DLP/12423, DLP/12424, DLP/12445, DLP/12456, DLP/12461, DLP/12475, DLP/12479, DLP/12480, DLP/12497, DLP/12520,

			DLP/12544, DLP/12564, DLP/12583, DLP/12584, DLP/12593, DLP/12600, DLP/12608, DLP/12615, DLP/12622, DLP/12629, DLP/12637, DLP/12644, DLP/12651, DLP/12661, DLP/12670, DLP/12682, DLP/12710, DLP/12730, DLP/12744, DLP/12758, DLP/12785, DLP/12797, DLP/12817, DLP/12862, DLP/12876, DLP/12896, DLP/12913, DLP/12931, DLP/12948, DLP/12974, DLP/12993, DLP/13025, DLP/13042, DLP/13051, DLP/13129, DLP/13211, DLP/13218, DLP/13227, DLP/13259, DLP/13269, DLP/13278, DLP/13285, DLP/13292, DLP/13299, DLP/13306, DLP/13316, DLP/13334, DLP/13353, DLP/13372, DLP/13394, DLP/13407, DLP/13421, DLP/13440, DLP/13447, DLP/13455, DLP/13472, DLP/13488, DLP/13497, DLP/13511, DLP/13521, DLP/13532, DLP/13539, DLP/13548, DLP/13558, DLP/13572, DLP/13585, DLP/13592, DLP/13600, DLP/13611, DLP/13624, DLP/13637, DLP/13654, DLP/13665, DLP/13683, DLP/13701, DLP/13723,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/13736, DLP/13752, DLP/13771, DLP/13784, DLP/13798, DLP/13814, DLP/13827, DLP/13847, DLP/13867, DLP/13881, DLP/13891, DLP/13902, DLP/13908, DLP/13920, DLP/13934, DLP/13949, DLP/13965, DLP/13973, DLP/13985, DLP/13987, DLP/14000, DLP/14010, DLP/14018, DLP/14028, DLP/14044, DLP/14045, DLP/14059, DLP/14066, DLP/14096, DLP/14098, DLP/14112, DLP/14118, DLP/14122, DLP/14133, DLP/14145, DLP/14146, DLP/14153, DLP/14170, DLP/14180, DLP/14196, DLP/14208, DLP/14214, DLP/14221, DLP/14227, DLP/14237, DLP/14251, DLP/14263, DLP/14269, DLP/14281, DLP/14293, DLP/14306, DLP/14320, DLP/14339, DLP/14346, DLP/14360, DLP/14370, DLP/14371, DLP/14379, DLP/14383, DLP/14392, DLP/14400, DLP/14410, DLP/14420, DLP/14431, DLP/14442, DLP/14449, DLP/14452, DLP/14460, DLP/14472, DLP/14483, DLP/14490, DLP/14506, DLP/14515, DLP/14529, DLP/14541, DLP/14560,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/145668, DLP/14569, DLP/14570, DLP/14576, DLP/14583, DLP/14594, DLP/14601, DLP/14602, DLP/14610 DLP/14616, DLP/14624, DLP/14627, DLP/14636, DLP/14652, DLP/14682, DLP/14703, DLP/14722, DLP/14751 DLP/14762, DLP/14767, DLP/14768, DLP/14776, DLP/14793, DLP/14798, DLP/14812, DLP/14829, DLP/14839, DLP/14847, DLP/14855, DLP/14869 DLP/14878, DLP/14891, DLP/14919, DLP/14936, DLP/14956, DLP/14962, DLP/14979, DLP/15003, DLP/15005, DLP/15024, DLP/15038, DLP/15056, DLP/15065, DLP/15097, DLP/15098, DLP/15118, DLP/15162, DLP/15183, DLP/15194, DLP/15202, DLP/15212, DLP/15225, DLP/15245, DLP/15253, DLP/15257, DLP/15267, DLP/15288, DLP/15289, DLP/15310, DLP/15322, DLP/15323, DLP/15342, DLP/15350, DLP/15359, DLP/15373, DLP/15381, DLP/15401, DLP/15410, DLP/15426, DLP/15427, DLP/15442, DLP/15454, DLP/15463, DLP/15469, DLP/15488, DLP/15500,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/15520, DLP/15531, DLP/15541, DLP/15571, DLP/15579, DLP/15598, DLP/15603, DLP/15615, DLP/15628, DLP/15650, DLP/15657, DLP/15672, DLP/15685, DLP/15696, DLP/15712, DLP/15715, DLP/15738, DLP/15764, DLP/15768, DLP/15792, DLP/15796, DLP/15811, DLP/15822, DLP/15836, DLP/15841, DLP/15850, DLP/15866, DLP/15870, DLP/15878, DLP/15898, DLP/15899, DLP/15912, DLP/15919, DLP/15926, DLP/15934, DLP/15945, DLP/15966, DLP/15968, DLP/15989, DLP/15991, DLP/16012, DLP/16027, DLP/16042, DLP/16057, DLP/16071, DLP/16089, DLP/16105, DLP/16120, DLP/16131, DLP/16156, DLP/16184, DLP/16193, DLP/16205, DLP/16216, DLP/16230, DLP/16242, DLP/16255, DLP/16267, DLP/16268, DLP/16352, DLP/16362, DLP/16400, DLP/16434, DLP/16487, DLP/16520, DLP/16580, DLP/16626, DLP/17735, DLP/19649, DLP/20392, DLP/20393, DLP/20401, DLP/20402, DLPSA/4, DLPQQ/125, DLPQQ/147,
--	--	--	--

			DLPQQ/165, DLPQQ/170, DLPQQ/188, DLPQQ/446, DLPQQ/551, DLPQQ1002, DLPQQ/215, DLPQQ/322, DLPQQ/287, DLPQQ/971, DLPQQ/838, DLPQQ/363, DLPQQ/313, DLPQQ/314, DLPQQ/421, DLPQQ/315, DLPQQ/334, DLPQQ/346, DLPQQ/935, DLPQQ/900, DLPQQ/237, DLPQQ/368, DLPQQ/255, DLPQQ/388, DLPQQ/407, DLPQQ/376, DLPQQ/357, DLPQQ/405, DLPQQ/364, DLPQQ/373, DLPQQ/387, DLPQQ/395, DLPQQ/417, DLPQQ/419, DLPQQ/855, DLPQQ/854, DLPQQ/853, DLPQQ/846, DLPQQ/844, DLPQQ/843, DLPQQ/840, DLPQQ/836, DLPQQ/834, DLPQQ/832, DLPQQ/815, DLPQQ/814, DLPQQ/830, DLPQQ/796, DLPQQ/787, DLPQQ/427, DLPQQ/455, DLPQQ/437, DLPQQ/454, DLPQQ/441, DLPQQ/470, DLPQQ/476, DLPQQ/483, DLPQQ/778, DLPQQ/520, DLPQQ/560, DLPQQ/707, DLPQQ/724, DLPQQ/703, DLPQQ/690, DLPQQ/841, DLPQQ/916, DLPQQ/985, DLPQQ/859, DLPQQ/919, DLPQQ/735, DLPQQ/736, DLPQQ/564, DLPQQ/764, DLPQQ/765, DLPQQ/723, DLPQQ/934
--	--	--	---

<p>Building large developments to the east and west of Basildon is encouraging urban sprawl as they are adjoining neighbouring boroughs. This is contrary to paragraph 14.8. Concerned that development proposed for Dunton would be joined directly to the development proposed by Brentwood Borough which itself will impact on services in west Basildon. Green Belt in these areas are more valuable than others in the Borough.</p>	<p>GB1.2</p>	<p>Paragraph 14.8 states "the Council will ensure that key strategic gaps between settlements are rigidly defended". National policy on Green Belt refers to neighbouring towns as requiring separation and using Green Belt to check unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas. It does not refer to borough boundaries which are established for administrative purposes and therefore the presence of the borough boundary was not a consideration. The Green Belt review examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan. The Council has worked in collaboration with neighbouring authorities and has provided its own response to the proposals set out in Brentwood's Draft Local Plan.</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/2, DLP/13, DLP/14, DLP/7278, DLP/7279, DLP/7280, DLP/7281, DLP/7298, DLP/7299, DLP/7301, DLP/7314, DLP/7327, DLP/7442, DLP/7452, DLP/7462, DLP/7471, DLP/7477, DLP/7480, DLP/7481, DLP/7487, DLP/7488, DLP/7489, DLP/7497, DLP/7498, DLP/7499, DLP/7507, DLP/7508, DLP/7516, DLP/7517, DLP/7518, DLP/7526, DLP/7527, DLP/7528, DLP/7536, DLP/7538, DLP/7546, DLP/7547, DLP/7548, DLP/7556, DLP/7557, DLP/7558, DLP/7566, DLP/7567, DLP/7568, DLP/7576, DLP/7577, DLP/7578, DLP/7586, DLP/7587, DLP/7588, DLP/7596, DLP/7597, DLP/7598, DLP/7606, DLP/7607, DLP/7608, DLP/7617, DLP/7618, DLP/7619, DLP/8044, DLP/8045, DLP/8046, DLP/8494, DLP/18226, DLP/18308, DLP/18312, DLP/18316, DLP/18318, DLP/18365, DLP/18368, DLP/18372, DLP/18436, DLP/18440, DLP/18441, DLP/18451, DLP/18451, DLP/18453, DLP/18455, DLP/18469, DLP/18473, DLP/18475, DLP/18478, DLP/18493, DLP/18507, DLP/18510, DLP/18512, DLP/18527, DLP/18528, DLP/18529, DLP/18546, DLP/18547,</p>
--	--------------	--	-----------------------	---

			DLP/18548, DLP/18561, DLP/18565, DLP/18568, DLP/18580, DLP/18581, DLP/18582, DLP/18599, DLP/18602, DLP/18603, DLP/18612, DLP/18614, DLP/18617, DLP/18635, DLP/18636, DLP/18637, DLP/18660, DLP/18664, DLP/18677, DLP/18679, DLP/18681, DLP/18695, DLP/18697, DLP/18698, DLP/18711, DLP/18714, DLP/18717, DLP/18730, DLP/18732, DLP/18734, DLP/18749, DLP/18750, DLP/18751, DLP/18767, DLP/18769, DLP/18785, DLP/18786, DLP/18789, DLP/18805, DLP/18814, DLP/18815, DLP/18834, DLP/18835, DLP/18837, DLP/18847, DLP/18848, DLP/18849, DLP/18858, DLP/18859, DLP/18860, DLP/18868, DLP/18869, DLP/18870, DLP/18878, DLP/18879, DLP/18881, DLP/18900, DLP/18902, DLP/18903, DLP/18925, DLP/18926, DLP/18928, DLP/18947, DLP/18949, DLP/18950, DLP/18982, DLP/18983, DLP/18984, DLP/18998, DLP/19001,
--	--	--	---

				DLP/19003, DLP/19016, DLP/19017, DLP/19019, DLP/19031, DLP/19033, DLP/19034, DLP/19054, DLP/19077, DLP/19078, DLP/19080, DLP/19100, DLP/19101 DLP/19102, DLP/19119, DLP/19121, DLP/19122, DLP/19139, DLP/19141, DLP/19142, DLP/19158, DLP/19171, DLP/19172, DLP/19173, DLP/19189, DLP/19192, DLP/19193, DLP/19206, DLP/19207, DLP/19208, DLP/19225, DLP/19226, DLP/19228, DLP/19242, DLP/19243, DLP/19244, DLP/19255, DLP/19263, DLP/19264, DLP/19277, DLP/19279, DLP/19294, DLP/19306, DLP/19295, DLP/19296, DLP/19307, DLP/19308, DLP/19323, DLP/19324 DLP/19325, DLP/20483, DLP/20484, DLP/20485, DLP/20576, DLP/20577, DLP/20578, DLP/20584, DLPQQ/767
Don't ignore peoples comments of building on the green belt. Shouldn't build on golf course and dry street.	GB1.3	The development proposal on land north of Dry Street has already received planning permission and therefore the decision to allocate the site for development has already been made outside the preparation of the Draft Local Plan. The Draft	None required.	DLP/10

		Local Plan does not allocate any land for development which is currently used as a golf course.		
Objects to building on Green Belt land in Langdon Hills. There are no special circumstances that permit it to be built on as it will encourage urban sprawl.	GB1.4	The development proposal on land north of Dry Street in the area of Langdon Hills has already received planning permission and therefore the decision to allocate the site for development has already been made outside the preparation of the Draft Local Plan.	None required.	DLP/567
Recommends word changes to GB1 criteria 1 to "will protect" instead of "will seek to protect".	GB1.5	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/406, DLP/323
Definition of criteria 4 of Policy GB1 should be broadened to reference the context of the whole settlement area. Green Belt around Billericay significantly contributes to the character of the town, particularly the green fingers of Norsey Wood, Mill Meadows and views west of Billericay which should be preserved.	GB1.6	Criteria 4 of Policy GB1 relates to those heritage assets within the extent of the Green Belt which would include Mill Meadows and Norsey Woods . It does not relate to the impact of the Green Belt on historic settlements outside the extent of the Green Belt. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/407
Objects to the loss of Green Belt. Reiterates national planning policy and the protection placed on the Green Belt. Considers that the Borough's Green Belt meets all 5 purposes with particular emphasis on Green Belt around Dunton and the impact that its loss there would have on the strategic nature of the Metropolitan Green Belt. Should seek to redevelop all brownfield sites around the country first and listen to the views of residents above those of developers and planning consultants.	GB1.7	Objection to the Draft Local Plans approach to housing allocation and the loss of Green Belt noted. The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts. The Council undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government.	None required.	DLP/239, DLP/2963, DLP/2958DLPQQ/564, DLPQQ/924, DLPQQ/705

		Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.		
Acknowledges that paragraph 14.10 recognises the opportunities to improve accessibility for multiple users and recommends Policy GB1 includes the intention to upgrade footpaths to bridleway status where opportunity exists.	GB1.8	Criteria 6 of Policy GB1 states that opportunities to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt will be welcomed by the Council but doesn't specifically refer to ways to improve accessibility. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/230, DLP/1446
Objects to housing proposed for Billericay. Increase in population will impact on Green Belt. Does not agree with the loss of Green Belt land particularly south west of Billericay. Green spaces and wildlife should be preserved.	GB1.9	Objection noted. The Draft Local Plan proposes a number of site allocations around Billericay which will amend the Green Belt boundary. Such proposals have been informed by a comprehensive evidence base which included a Green Belt Study and site specific Ecology Assessments. The evidence base concluded that the sites which have been proposed for development were suitable and the Draft Local Plan sets out how these sites should come forward and whether any mitigation is required.	None required.	DLP/603, DLP/609, DLP/783, DLP/819, DLP/2300, DLPQQ/679
Objects to building on Green Belt land. Doesn't believe it necessary to build on Green Belt land. Brownfield sites can accommodate 8,000. Reiterates the five Green Belt purposes and states that the safeguarding of countryside from encroachment is being ignored. Billericay and Wickford are established towns and cannot expand.	GB1.10	The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts. The Council therefore undertook a Green Belt Review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in	None required.	DLP/672DLPQQ/958

		Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate in all or part of the area. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.		
Explains history of Green Belt policy. Green Belt provides many benefits and is valuable countryside to local people and could reduce impacts of climate change. It should be protected from development.	GB1.11	Green Belt is a policy restriction and includes land that is both considered undeveloped, such as agricultural land and woodland, and developed such as villages and housing. The presence of Green Belt status is not linked to any other designation afforded to a piece of land such as a Site of Special Scientific Interest, Ancient Woodland or a Conservation Area and therefore if land was removed from the Green Belt it would not affect the status of the other designations. The Green Belt Review examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated including whether it has prevented and continues to prevent urban sprawl. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate in all or part of the area. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.	None required.	DLP/612, DLP/618, DLP/611, DLP/617DLPQQ/679
The Policies Map incorrectly shows Cherry Tree Park as being Green Belt but it is now a housing estate.	GB1.12	Green Belt designation is set within the Local Plan which hasn't been amended since the 1998 Local Plan. Whilst development has been granted and built out it does not necessarily remove the Green Belt designation. However it is unlikely that the	Consider amending Green Belt boundary and update the Policies Map.	DLP/1189

		land continues to contribute to the fundamental aim of the Green Belt and as such the Council will consider amending the Green Belt boundary in this location.		
Objects to Draft Local Plan. Doesn't believe it necessary to build on Green Belt land.	GB1.13	Objection noted. The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts. The Council therefore undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.	None required.	DLP/1187, DLP/1209, DLP/2321, DLP/1893, DLP/2397, DLP/3170, DLP/15872, DLP/15882
Objects to building on Green Belt land.	GB1.14	Objection noted. However, in order to meet the OAN for housing, as set out in policy SD1, it is necessary for approximately 8,500 homes to be provided in the Green Belt. Impact on the Green Belt has been minimised by identifying all reasonable sources of urban land supply. The Council undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited	None required.	DLP/993, DLP/1320, DLP/1792, DLP/2055, DLP/2171, DLP/2180, DLP/2698, DLP/2941, DLP/5113, DLP/7269, DLP/7292, DLP/7309, DLP/7483, DLP/7503, DLP/7512, DLP/7522, DLP/7532, DLP/7542, DLP/7552, DLP/7562, DLP/7572, DLP/7582, DLP/7613, DLP/8040, DLP/8484, DLP/12413, DLP/12566, DLP/13046, DLP/13262, DLP/13645, DLP/13738, DLP/13975, DLP/14172, DLP/14522, DLP/14550, DLP/14638,

contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.

DLP/14694, DLP/14706,
DLP/14725, DLP/14730,
DLP/14737, DLP/14801,
DLP/14836, DLP/14911,
DLP/14929, DLP/14949,
DLP/15020, DLP/15042,
DLP/15076, DLP/15104,
DLP/15126, DLP/15136,
DLP/15149, DLP/15193,
DLP/15231, DLP/15568,
DLP/15649, DLP/15674,
DLP/15723, DLP/15743,
DLP/15758, DLP/15774,
DLP/15852, DLP/15903,
DLP/15947, DLP/15967,
DLP/15981 DLP/16053,
DLP/16088, DLP/16171,
DLP/16480, DLP/16543,
DLP/16565, DLP/16642,
DLP/16712, DLP/16758,
DLP/16881, DLP/16903,
DLP/16933, DLP/16993,
DLP/17047, DLP/17086,
DLP/17145, DLP/17185,
DLP/17225, DLP/17273,
DLP/17325, DLP/17365,
DLP/17420, DLP/17539,
DLP/17552, DLP/17567,
DLP/17573, DLP/17597,
DLP/17609, DLP/17628,
DLP/17636, DLP/17638,
DLP/17639, DLP/17651,
DLP/17665, DLP/17687,
DLP/17692, DLP/17718,
DLP/17719, DLP/17763,
DLP/17774, DLP/17780,
DLP/17799, DLP/17819,
DLP/17832, DLP/17845,

			DLP/17851, DLP/17866, DLP/17882, DLP/17939, DLP/17962, DLP/17974, DLP/17992, DLP/18018, DLP/18036, DLP/18046, DLP/18070, DLP/18075, DLP/18084, DLP/18133, DLP/18141, DLP/18190, DLP/18193, DLP/18244, DLP/18287, DLP/18352, DLP/18410, DLP/18430, DLP/18445, DLP/18463, DLP/18483, DLP/18502, DLP/18520, DLP/18545, DLP/18556, DLP/18575, DLP/18594, DLP/18608, DLP/18626, DLP/18654, DLP/18673, DLP/18687, DLP/18703, DLP/18723, DLP/18742, DLP/18763, DLP/18776, DLP/18799, DLP/18828, DLP/18843, DLP/18854, DLP/18864, DLP/18874, DLP/18892, DLP/18895, DLP/18918, DLP/18938, DLP/18973, DLP/18992, DLP/19007, DLP/19027, DLP/19047, DLP/19071, DLP/19091, DLP/19115, DLP/19132, DLP/19153, DLP/19167, DLP/19176, DLP/19185, DLP/19190, DLP/19202, DLP/19211, DLP/19216, DLP/19222, DLP/19237, DLP/19248, DLP/19250, DLP/19252, DLP/19271, DLP/19282, DLP/19288,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/19300, DLP/19303, DLP/19311, DLP/19317, DLP/19335, DLP/19342, DLP/19353, DLP/19361, DLP/19368, DLP/19375, DLP/19381, DLP/19388, DLP/19394, DLP/19401, DLP/19408, DLP/19415, DLP/19422, DLP/19434, DLP/19441, DLP/19455, DLP/19462, DLP/19469, DLP/19476, DLP/19490, DLP/19504, DLP/19511, DLP/19521, DLP/19527, DLP/19541, DLP/19548, DLP/20427, DLP/20428 DLP/20479, DLP/20572, DLP/18076, DLP/7493, DLP/17892, DLP/18385, DLP/20122, DLP/7747, DLP/20062, DLP/14536, DLP/18339, , DLP/644, DLP/778, DLP/1475, DLP/517 DLPSA/3DLPQQ/2, DLPQQ/32, DLPQQ/33, DLPQQ/206, DLPQQ/64, DLPQQ/67, DLPQQ/77, DLPQQ/79, DLPQQ/81, DLPQQ/86, DLPQQ/94, DLPQQ/96, DLPQQ/98, DLPQQ/99, DLPQQ/108, DLPQQ/111, DLPQQ/112, DLPQQ/118, DLPQQ/120, DLPQQ/148, DLPQQ/163, DLPQQ/168, DLPQQ/173, DLPQQ/178, DLPQQ/179, DLPQQ/183, DLPQQ/190, DLPQQ/191, DLPQQ/193, DLPQQ/195,
--	--	--	---

			DLPQQ/197, DLPQQ/206, DLPQQ/265, DLPQQ/299, DLPQQ/392, DLPQQ/402, DLPQQ/403, DLPQQ/463, DLPQQ/544, DLPQQ/545, DLPQQ/1001, DLPQQ/459, DLPQQ/479, DLPQQ/221, DLPQQ/204, DLPQQ/982, DLPQQ/971, DLPQQ/969, DLPQQ/967, DLPQQ/957, DLPQQ1011, DLPQQ/962, DLPQQ/343, DLPQQ/305, DLPQQ/317, DLPQQ/361, DLPQQ/351, DLPQQ/935, DLPQQ/927, DLPQQ/953, DLPQQ/912, DLPQQ/910, DLPQQ/907, DLPQQ/905, DLPQQ/901, DLPQQ/897, DLPQQ/275, DLPQQ/298, DLPQQ/228, DLPQQ/224, DLPQQ/450, DLPQQ/229, DLPQQ/252, DLPQQ/266, DLPQQ/348, DLPQQ/270, DLPQQ/360, DLPQQ/366, DLPQQ/396, DLPQQ/397, DLPQQ/411, DLPQQ/413, DLPQQ/415, DLPQQ/422, DLPQQ/954, DLPQQ/847, DLPQQ/833, DLPQQ/828, DLPQQ/821, DLPQQ/813, DLPQQ/814, DLPQQ/803, DLPQQ/799, DLPQQ/797, DLPQQ/784, DLPQQ/783, DLPQQ/425, DLPQQ/428, DLPQQ/438, DLPQQ/484, DLPQQ/455, DLPQQ/454, DLPQQ/444, DLPQQ/445, DLPQQ/449, DLPQQ/457,
--	--	--	---

				DLPQQ/476, DLPQQ/462, DLPQQ/467, DLPQQ/466, DLPQQ/482, DLPQQ/493, DLPQQ/775, DLPQQ/774, DLPQQ/758, DLPQQ/756, DLPQQ/755, DLPQQ/748, DLPQQ/747, DLPQQ/499, DLPQQ/501, DLPQQ/505, DLPQQ/509, DLPQQ/521, DLPQQ/528, DLPQQ/547, DLPQQ/557, DLPQQ/559, DLPQQ/576, DLPQQ/655, DLPQQ/659, DLPQQ/709, DLPQQ/706, DLPQQ/987, DLPQQ/1008, DLPQQ/1009, DLPQQ/1006, DLPQQ/701, DLPQQ/687, DLPQQ/680, DLPQQ/913, DLPQQ/1012, DLPQQ/668, DLPQQ/909, DLPQQ/839, DLPQQ/584, DLPQQ/594, DLPQQ/602, DLPQQ/604, DLPQQ/613, DLPQQ/614, DLPQQ/619, DLPQQ/619, DLPQQ/628, DLPQQ/632, DLPQQ/633, , DLPQQ/1005, DLPQQ/642, DLPQQ/643, DLPQQ/638, DLPQQ/642, DLPQQ/643, DLPQQ/645, , DLPQQ/660, , DLPQQ/665, DLPQQ/585, DLPQQ/862
Should build on brownfield land before Green Belt land. Reiterates national policy on the importance of Green Belts and its aims and requests Council to follow this policy.	GB1.15	The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts.. The Council therefore	None required.	DLP/1585

		undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.		
Concerned over the loss of valuable farmland to west of Billericay. Loss of land will reduce gap between Hutton and Billericay.	GB1.16	The Green Belt Review assessed whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This included the merging of settlements and is in accordance with Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.	None required.	DLP/2180
Questions what will stop more Green Belt being removed in the next plan.	GB1.17	The Council is preparing a Local Plan which will ensure that the remaining Green Belt land receives the highest level of protection. The areas of Green Belt that were proposed for development in the preparation of this plan were those identified as making a limited contribution to the role and function of the Green Belt in the Green Belt Review (2015) as well as being suitable for development against other criteria in other studies. It is not possible to say what will happen after this plan ends as the planning system and legislation may have changed.	None required.	DLP/2180DLPQQ/852

<p>Questions study produced by the Council in October 2015 and inaccuracies in the assessment carried out for Area 3.</p>	<p>GB1.18</p>	<p>The comments regarding an evidence base document align with the Green Belt Study which was published in October 2013. This study was revised prior to the consultation on the Draft Local Plan and published as the 'Green Belt Review (December 2015). Whilst the stages in the new study are different from the old study the comments raised may still be relevant to the new study, therefore evidence base updates will be considered.</p>	<p>Consider updating evidence base.</p>	<p>DLP/1893</p>
<p>Understand the need for more housing but unhappy with the impact on Billericay. Doesn't believe it necessary to build on Green Belt land.</p>	<p>GB1.19</p>	<p>The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts. The Council therefore undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/2139, DLP/528</p>
<p>Hovefields area not longer serves the purpose of the Green Belt where it has been developed on.</p>	<p>GB1.20</p>	<p>The Green Belt area comprising Hovefields Avenue and Hovefields Drive was assessed in the Green Belt Review 2015 as contributing to the Green Belt purpose "To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another". When considered against all the purposes it does contribute to the role and function of the Green Belt</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/2367, DLP/5036</p>

Important characteristic of Green Belt is its permanence which should be protected if it meets some of the 5 purposes. Recommends strengthening phrase "except in exceptional circumstances".	GB1.21	The term "exceptional circumstances" is set by government in national policy which the Council is required to adhere to.	None required.	DLP/2585, DLP/2587
Resisting changes to the Green Belt boundary may adversely impact on some schools ability to expand. Could restrict housing growth in areas.	GB1.22	Consider reviewing Green Belt boundary on Policies Map where schools are located on the urban fringe.	Consider amending Policies Map.	DLP/2678
Objects to building on Green Belt land. Plan goes against stopping urban sprawl.	GB1.23	The Council undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated including whether it has prevented and continues to prevent urban sprawl. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.	None required.	DLP/2301, DLP/5873, DLP/13985, DLP/20651, DLP/20488DLPQQ/147
Should not be allowed to built on Green Belt. Should be protected for future generations.	GB1.24	National policy allows for amendments to the Green Belt to take place. Chapter 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that through the preparation or review of the Local Plan the Green Belt boundaries can be altered.	None required.	DLP/1799, DLP/1846, DLP/2843, DLP/3257, DLP/12583, DLP/12593, DLP/13051, DLP/13548, DLP/14751, DLP/14908, DLP/14979, DLP/15828, DLP/15951,DLPQQ/949

<p>Objects to building on Green Belt land. Brownfield sites should be built on first. Questions why Dale Farm is being returned to Green Belt while developing elsewhere on Green Belt. Basildon/ Langdon Hills will become an urban sprawl.</p>	<p>GB1.25</p>	<p>Objection noted. The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts. The Council therefore undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan which are all adjacent to existing settlements.</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/2962, DLP/2957</p>
<p>Supports Policy GB1.</p>	<p>GB1.26</p>	<p>Support for Policy GB1 noted.</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/3449, DLP/13961</p>
<p>Queries the assessment for Area 3 within the Landscape Character and Green Belt Landscape Capacity Study, Volume Two (October 2013), the Green Belt Review (December 2015) and the Addendum to the Green Belt Review 2015: Site Allocation Appraisals for the Basildon Borough Draft Local Plan (December 2015). Also queries the assessment for Site 20 in Basildon Outline Landscape Appraisals of Potential Strategic Development Sites (December 2015).</p>	<p>GB1.27</p>	<p>The Council will consider updating the evidence base in light of comments received.</p>	<p>Consider updating evidence base.</p>	<p>DLP/3802</p>

Reiterates national policy and guidance relating to Green Belt and highlights where the Draft Local Plan is not in accordance with certain sections.	GB1.28	Noted. The Draft Local Plan was prepared in accordance with the NPPF. The Council will ensure that future versions are also prepared in the same way.	Review compliance with national policy.	DLP/3802
Supports Policy GB1, particularly in relation to encouraging positive uses of Green Belts and refers to the relocation of sports and recreation facilities to facilitate development at H7 site.	GB1.29	Support for Policy GB1 noted.	None required.	DLP/4223
Summarises the core principles set out by National Government in the NPPF.	GB1.30	The Draft Local Plan has been prepared in accordance with the NPPF.	None required.	DLP/5772, DLP/7370
Objects to the Council claiming that it must meet its objectively assessed housing need by building on Green Belt. Provides statements/guidance on protecting Green Belt in relation to unmet housing need.	GB1.31,	The Borough's future housing need is based on the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment which was commissioned by the Council in partnership with neighbouring authorities. Policy restrictions, such as Green Belt, are not a factor in calculating the housing need. However they can be a factor in determining the housing target for an area and this has been considered by the Council where it would be unable to accommodate all of its OAN within the urban area. Only 6,500-7,000 homes can be accommodated within the urban area. Unmet housing need has not been the only consideration when determining whether revisions to the Green Belt boundary constitutes 'exceptional circumstances'. A sustainability appraisal report has been prepared, which assesses the relative merits of different levels of growth. That appraisal, prepared independently of the Council, concludes that the level of growth set out in the Draft Local Plan is the most sustainable, generating benefits across a range of social, environmental and economic indicators. Lower levels of growth would not result in such	Keep Green Belt extent under review in the development of the Local Plan	DLP/239, DLP/1111, DLP/1149, DLP/1162, DLP/1411, DLP/1512, DLP/1590, DLP/1598, DLP/1636, DLP/1668, DLP/1688, DLP/1701, DLP/1709, DLP/1724, DLP/1777, DLP/1823, DLP/1889, DLP/1896, DLP/1933, DLP/1951, DLP/2064, DLP/2096, DLP/2106, DLP/2107, DLP/2121, DLP/2356, DLP/2405, DLP/2425, DLP/2444, DLP/2477, DLP/2491, DLP/2588, DLP/2607, DLP/2641, DLP/2652, DLP/2663, DLP/2674, DLP/2856, DLP/2891, DLP/2892, DLP/2907, DLP/2917, DLP/2992, DLP/3097, DLP/3098, DLP/3122, DLP/3134, DLP/3147, DLP/3183, DLP/3203, DLP/3218, DLP/3229, DLP/3230, DLP/3240, DLP/3259, DLP/3278, DLP/3294, DLP/3318, DLP/3381, DLP/3390, DLP/3397, DLP/3400, DLP/3421, DLP/3423, DLP/3447, DLP/3462, DLP/3467, DLP/3488, DLP/3494, DLP/3507, DLP/3516, DLP/3530,

sustainable outcomes. Poor outcomes in terms of the economy or social welfare provide justification for not pursuing lower levels of growth, and consequently for accommodating some development in the Green Belt.

DLP/3537, DLP/3546, DLP/3561, DLP/3585, DLP/3587, DLP/3601, DLP/3612, DLP/3614, DLP/3633, DLP/3641, DLP/3647, DLP/3666, DLP/3668, DLP/3688, DLP/3695, DLP/3707, DLP/3718, DLP/3727, DLP/3736, DLP/3748, DLP/3761, DLP/3772, DLP/3781, DLP/3790, DLP/3814, DLP/3824, DLP/3835, DLP/3838, DLP/3847, DLP/3865, DLP/3873, DLP/3891, DLP/3896, DLP/3909, DLP/3912, DLP/3925, DLP/3935, DLP/3941, DLP/3956, DLP/3966, DLP/3970, DLP/3983, DLP/3993, DLP/4012, DLP/4027, DLP/4040, DLP/4042, DLP/4059, DLP/4067, DLP/4082, DLP/4097, DLP/4112, DLP/4119, DLP/4126, DLP/4139, DLP/4142, DLP/4143, DLP/4159, DLP/4168, DLP/4177, DLP/4186, DLP/4196, DLP/4205, DLP/4232, DLP/4240, DLP/4244, DLP/4260, DLP/4263, DLP/4278, DLP/4279, DLP/4287, DLP/4291, DLP/4307, DLP/4321, DLP/4345, DLP/4362, DLP/4366, DLP/4378, DLP/4387, DLP/4400, DLP/4449, DLP/4465, DLP/4477, DLP/4486, DLP/4499, DLP/4514, DLP/4525, DLP/4535, DLP/4546, DLP/4553, DLP/4561, DLP/4568, DLP/4578, DLP/4603, DLP/4615, DLP/4624, DLP/4630, DLP/4639, DLP/4648, DLP/4662, DLP/4671, DLP/4683, DLP/4692, DLP/4701, DLP/4710, DLP/4726, DLP/4736, DLP/4737, DLP/4759, DLP/4788, DLP/4790, DLP/4819, DLP/4821, DLP/4822,

			DLP/4855, DLP/4857, DLP/4873, DLP/4879, DLP/4901, DLP/4911, DLP/4928, DLP/4979, DLP/4988, DLP/4997, DLP/5006, DLP/5015, DLP/5025, DLP/5040, DLP/5049, DLP/5058, DLP/5069, DLP/5088, DLP/5090, DLP/5109, DLP/5124, DLP/5133, DLP/5142, DLP/5160, DLP/5169, DLP/5179, DLP/5188, DLP/5197, DLP/5206, DLP/5215, DLP/5224, DLP/5233, DLP/5242, DLP/5251, DLP/5260, DLP/5269, DLP/5278, DLP/5296, DLP/5321, DLP/5330, DLP/5339, DLP/5348, DLP/5357, DLP/5366, DLP/5375, DLP/5384, DLP/5393, DLP/5402, DLP/5411, DLP/5420, DLP/5429, DLP/5472, DLP/5481, DLP/5490, DLP/5499, DLP/5509, DLP/5526, DLP/5538, DLP/5547, DLP/5556, DLP/5564, DLP/5572, DLP/5581, DLP/5590, DLP/5599, DLP/5608, DLP/5617, DLP/5626, DLP/5635, DLP/5644, DLP/5653, DLP/5662, DLP/5671, DLP/5680, DLP/5690, DLP/5714, DLP/5724, DLP/5733, DLP/5744, DLP/5757, DLP/5767, DLP/5778, DLP/5789, DLP/5798, DLP/5809, DLP/5818, DLP/5827, DLP/5836, DLP/5845, DLP/5855, DLP/5864, DLP/5876, DLP/5889, DLP/5898, DLP/5907, DLP/5916, DLP/5925, DLP/5934, DLP/5944, DLP/5962, DLP/5971, DLP/5980, DLP/5989, DLP/5998, DLP/6007, DLP/6016, DLP/6025, DLP/6034, DLP/6043, DLP/6052, DLP/6061, DLP/6071, DLP/6080, DLP/6089,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/6098, DLP/6107, DLP/6116, DLP/6126, DLP/6144, DLP/6153, DLP/6162, DLP/6172, DLP/6181, DLP/6190, DLP/6199, DLP/6208, DLP/6217, DLP/6231, DLP/6240, DLP/6250, DLP/6261, DLP/6270, DLP/6278, DLP/6287, DLP/6296, DLP/6305, DLP/6314, DLP/6323, DLP/6332, DLP/6341, DLP/6350, DLP/6359, DLP/6368, DLP/6377, DLP/6386, DLP/6395, DLP/6404, DLP/6422, DLP/6431, DLP/6444, DLP/6463, DLP/6472, DLP/6481, DLP/6490, DLP/6499, DLP/6511, DLP/6520, DLP/6529, DLP/6538, DLP/6547, DLP/6556, DLP/6566, DLP/6576, DLP/6585, DLP/6595, DLP/6603, DLP/6612, DLP/6621, DLP/6630, DLP/6639, DLP/6658, DLP/6667, DLP/6676, DLP/6686, DLP/6695, DLP/6704, DLP/6713, DLP/6722, DLP/6731, DLP/6741, DLP/6750, DLP/6759, DLP/6768, DLP/6777, DLP/6786, DLP/6795, DLP/6804, DLP/6813, DLP/6822, DLP/6831, DLP/6840, DLP/6849, DLP/6858, DLP/6868, DLP/6877, DLP/6886, DLP/6895, DLP/6904, DLP/6913, DLP/6922, DLP/6931, DLP/6940, DLP/6949, DLP/6958, DLP/6967, DLP/6976, DLP/6985, DLP/6994, DLP/7003, DLP/7004, DLP/7013, DLP/7022, DLP/7032, DLP/7064, DLP/7142, DLP/7160, DLP/7175, DLP/7182, DLP/7623, DLP/7629, DLP/7635, DLP/7641, DLP/7647, DLP/7653, DLP/7659, DLP/7665, DLP/7671, DLP/7677,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/7683, DLP/7689, DLP/7695, DLP/7701, DLP/7707, DLP/7713, DLP/7719, DLP/7725, DLP/7731, DLP/7737, DLP/7747, DLP/7753, DLP/7759, DLP/7765, DLP/7771, DLP/7777, DLP/7783, DLP/7789, DLP/7795, DLP/7801, DLP/7807, DLP/7813, DLP/7819, DLP/7825, DLP/7831, DLP/7837, DLP/7843, DLP/7849, DLP/7855, DLP/7861, DLP/7867, DLP/7873, DLP/7879, DLP/7885, DLP/7891, DLP/7897, DLP/7903, DLP/7915, DLP/7921, DLP/7927, DLP/7935, DLP/7941, DLP/7948, DLP/7950, DLP/7962, DLP/7968, DLP/7974, DLP/7980, DLP/7986, DLP/7992, DLP/7998, DLP/8004, DLP/8007, DLP/8048, DLP/8054, DLP/8060, DLP/8066, DLP/8072, DLP/8078, DLP/8084, DLP/8090, DLP/8096, DLP/8102, DLP/8108, DLP/8114, DLP/8120, DLP/8126, DLP/8132, DLP/8138, DLP/8144, DLP/8150, DLP/8156, DLP/8162, DLP/8168, DLP/8174, DLP/8180, DLP/8186, DLP/8192, DLP/8198, DLP/8204, DLP/8215, DLP/8221, DLP/8228, DLP/8240, DLP/8246, DLP/8252, DLP/8258, DLP/8264, DLP/8270, DLP/8276, DLP/8282, DLP/8288, DLP/8294, DLP/8300, DLP/8307, DLP/8313, DLP/8319, DLP/8325, DLP/8331, DLP/8337, DLP/8343, DLP/8349, DLP/8355, DLP/8361, DLP/8367, DLP/8373, DLP/8379, DLP/8385, DLP/8391, DLP/8397, DLP/8403, DLP/8409, DLP/8415, DLP/8422,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/8428, DLP/8434, DLP/8440, DLP/8446, DLP/8452, DLP/8459, DLP/8460, DLP/8466, DLP/9621 DLP/9627, DLP/9633, DLP/9636 DLP/9639, DLP/9645, DLP/9653, DLP/9655, DLP/9662, DLP/9668, DLP/9674, DLP/9680, DLP/9686, DLP/9692, DLP/9698, DLP/9704, DLP/9711, DLP/9717, DLP/9724, DLP/9730, DLP/9737, DLP/9746, DLP/9750, DLP/9753, DLP/9759, DLP/9765, DLP/9771, DLP/9777, DLP/9783, DLP/9789, DLP/9796, DLP/9802, DLP/9809, DLP/9815, DLP/9821, DLP/9827, DLP/9836, DLP/9843, DLP/9852, DLP/9859, DLP/9866, DLP/9867, DLP/9877, DLP/9892, DLP/9898, DLP/9910, DLP/9912, DLP/9923, DLP/9932, DLP/9939, DLP/9951, DLP/9961, DLP/9967, DLP/9974, DLP/9977, DLP/9988, DLP/9997, DLP/10005, DLP/10009, DLP/10021, DLP/10026, DLP/10029, DLP/10037, DLP/10048, DLP/10056, DLP/10062, DLP/10064, DLP/10074, DLP/10084, DLP/10093, DLP/10103, DLP/10110, DLP/10130, DLP/10141, DLP/10143, DLP/10147, DLP/10166, DLP/10167, DLP/10172, DLP/10189, DLP/10192, DLP/10209, DLP/10210, DLP/10223, DLP/10233, DLP/10235, DLP/10241, DLP/10246, DLP/10257,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/10269, DLP/10279, DLP/10290, DLP/10296, DLP/10302, DLP/10307, DLP/10308, DLP/10321, DLP/10322, DLP/10323, DLP/10332, DLP/10344, DLP/10369, DLP/10371, DLP/10387, DLP/10398, DLP/10401, DLP/10411, DLP/10425, DLP/10428, DLP/10429, DLP/10445, DLP/10450, DLP/10451, DLP/10466, DLP/10476, DLP/10478, DLP/10488, DLP/10489, DLP/10501, DLP/10503, DLP/10521, DLP/10527, DLP/10540, DLP/10543, DLP/10544, DLP/10553, DLP/10569, DLP/10575, DLP/10581, DLP/10587, DLP/10588, DLP/10591, DLP/10605, DLP/10606, DLP/10622, DLP/10626, DLP/10640, DLP/10649, DLP/10655, DLP/10664, DLP/10668, DLP/10677, DLP/10687, DLP/10703, DLP/10704, DLP/10714, DLP/10733, DLP/10737, DLP/10744, DLP/10754, DLP/10761, DLP/10777, DLP/10778, DLP/10779, DLP/10795, DLP/10797, DLP/10809, DLP/10819, DLP/10821, DLP/10838, DLP/10844, DLP/10847, DLP/10853, DLP/10866, DLP/10875,
--	--	--	--

			DLP/10879, DLP/10880, DLP/10896, DLP/10906, DLP/10918, DLP/10919, DLP/10926, DLP/10935, DLP/10952, DLP/10953, DLP/10961, DLP/10962, DLP/10969, DLP/10982, DLP/10986, DLP/11000, DLP/11009, DLP/11014, DLP/11017, DLP/11024, DLP/11034, DLP/11035, DLP/11036, DLP/11049, DLP/11063, DLP/11070, DLP/11074, DLP/11077, DLP/11094, DLP/11106, DLP/11107, DLP/11110, DLP/11122, DLP/11127, DLP/11139, DLP/11147, DLP/11156, DLP/11162, DLP/11167, DLP/11173, DLP/11190, DLP/11199, DLP/11205, DLP/11208, DLP/11212, DLP/11216, DLP/11224, DLP/11228, DLP/11230, DLP/11243, DLP/11255, DLP/11257, DLP/11269, DLP/11278, DLP/11279, DLP/11294, DLP/11301, DLP/11315, DLP/11317, DLP/11318, DLP/11326, DLP/11344, DLP/11355, DLP/11358, DLP/11370, DLP/11371, DLP/11379, DLP/11386, DLP/11407, DLP/11425, DLP/11436, DLP/11445, DLP/11454, DLP/11462, DLP/11468, DLP/11476,
--	--	--	--

				DLP/11481, DLP/11493, DLP/11499, DLP/11504, DLP/11514, DLP/11516, DLP/11526, DLP/11529, DLP/11538, DLP/11550, DLP/11551, DLP/11556, DLP/11566, DLP/11589, DLP/11595, DLP/11596, DLP/11602, DLP/11616, DLP/11617, DLP/11631, DLP/11643, DLP/11649,
Believes that the Council may be considering development in the Green Belt because of financial incentives. Doesn't believe this justifies losing Green Belt and believes that local council should lobby national government for better policies.	GB1.32	The Council has produced a Draft Local Plan based on a comprehensive evidence base and the soundness of the plan will be determined by a Planning Inspector at examination.	None required.	DLP/239
Recommends word changes to GB1 criteria 3 to "highest level of protection will be afforded to all Green Belt."	GB1.33	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/323
Policy GB4 provides no exception to school development and this would result in any school expansion needing to demonstrate very special circumstances. Requests clarification on how the Draft Local Plan will address this, particularly how they would be able to expand to accommodate the projected growth.	GB1.34	Concerns noted. The Council will consider wording changes and reviewing Green Belt boundary on Policies Map and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes and amending Policies Map.	DLP/2679
Reiterates wording within Policy GB1.	GB1.35	Noted.	None required.	DLP/3050

Questions how you can build on Green Belt when it is protected.	GB1.36	National policy allows for amendments to the Green Belt to take place. Chapter 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that through the preparation or review of the Local Plan the Green Belt boundaries can be altered.	None required.	DLP/12506DLPSA/2DLPQQ/812
Concerned Billericay will merge with neighbouring settlements.	GB1.37	The Green Belt Review assessed whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This included the merging of neighbouring towns and is in accordance with Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Policies H7 to H29 specify where landscape buffers or open space provision are required to minimise the impact of development on the surroundings but none of the allocations proposed would result in the merging of towns.	None required.	DLP/20043, DLPSA/5
The Green Belt Review (2015) is flawed and questions the findings.	GB1.38	Objection to the approach noted. The Council will review its evidence base and keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider reviewing evidence base	DLP/5873, DLP/8037,
Objects to building on Green Belt land in Wickford.	GB1.39	Objection noted. However, in order to meet the OAN for housing, as set out in policy SD1, it is necessary for approximately 8,500 homes to be provided in the Green Belt. Impact on the Green Belt has been minimised by identifying all reasonable sources of urban land supply. The Council undertook a Green Belt review to examine whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues meets the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate in all or part of the area. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations	None required.	DLP/5111, DLP/9318, DLP/9445 DLP/20459, DLPQQ/925, DLPQQ/888, DLPQQ/975

		in the Draft Local Plan. Through Policy GB1 the Council will ensure the revised Green Belt boundary and land within the designation is rigorously protected.		
No specific comment regarding Green Belt/green space development.	GB1.40	Noted.	None required.	DLP/12122, DLP/12217, DLP/12243, DLP/13108, DLP/13242, DLP/14189, DLP/16014,
There should be a more cautious approach to Green belt release and local ecological values of land should be given more weight and recognised within the plan making process.	GB1.41	The Council undertook a Green Belt review to examine whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues meets the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate in all or part of the area. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan. Through Policy GB1 the Council will ensure the revised Green Belt boundary and land within the designation is rigorously protected. Providing a high quality green infrastructure and a net gain in biodiversity will be integral to managing new development and change in the Borough. Through Policy NE 1 the Council seeks to work with partners to plan positively and deliver projects which create, protect, extend, enhance and manage the networks of green infrastructure and biodiversity, creating new habitats and providing links for wildlife and people. See Natural Environment chapter and housing development policies H 7 - 27. The Council also recognises that some of the land in the borough will have a high local ecological value to residents and will support local	None required.	DLP/501, DLP/1475

		communities in designating Local Green Spaces through Neighbourhood Plans as stated in Policy HC5.		
Objects to the Council claiming that it must meet its objectively assessed housing need by building on Green Belt.	GB1.42	The Borough's future housing need is based on the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment which was commissioned by the Council in partnership with neighbouring authorities. Policy restrictions, such as Green Belt, are not a factor in calculating the housing need. However they can be a factor in determining the housing target for an area and this has been considered by the Council where it would be unable to accommodate all of its OAN within the urban area. Only 6,500-7,000 homes can be accommodated within the urban area. Unmet housing need has not been the only consideration when determining whether revisions to the Green Belt boundary constitutes 'exceptional circumstances'. A sustainability appraisal report has been prepared, which assesses the relative merits of different levels of growth. That appraisal, prepared independently of the Council, concludes that the level of growth set out in the Draft Local Plan is the most sustainable, generating benefits across a range of social, environmental and economic indicators. Lower levels of growth would not result in such sustainable outcomes. Poor outcomes in terms of the economy or social welfare provide justification for not pursuing lower levels of growth, and consequently for accommodating some development in the Green Belt.	Keep Green Belt extent under review in the development of the Local Plan	DLPQQ/971

Some areas recommended to remain green belt in the Green Belt and Landscape and Capacity Studies 2015 are now included for potential housing development.	GB1.43	The selection of site allocations within the Draft Local Plan was based on evidence. The Site Allocations have been identified as the most appropriate locations for development based on the findings of a range of studies taking into account infrastructure provision, the supply of suitable and deliverable sites, and environmental and historic constraints.	None required.	DLPQQ/286
Questions why Dale Farm is being returned to Green Belt while the Council are proposing developing elsewhere on Green Belt.	GB1.44	The Council plans to optimise the use of previously developed land in the urban areas where it is possible to do so in the first instance. The urban capacity is approximately 6,500-7,000 homes which would not meet the need for the Borough and would have adverse social and economic impacts. The Council therefore undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan which are all adjacent to existing settlements.	None required.	DLPQQ/828
It is against the law to build on green belt.	GB1.45	The NPPF makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. In accordance with national planning	None required.	DLPQQ/790

		policy, the LPA must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Green Belt review identified the most appropriate locations for development so that the areas of highest green belt value can be protected and defensible in the long term.		
How will the Council protect the remaining green belt from further development in the future.	<u>GB1.46</u>	Policies GB1 to GB11 are to be applied when proposals for development within the proposed extent of the Green Belt are considered during the plan period. It is not possible to say what will happen after this plan ends as the planning system and legislation may have changed.	None required.	DLPQQ/533
	<u>GB2.1</u>	Support for Policy GB2 noted.	None required.	DLP/324
Reduction in Green Belt is more than other authorities in Essex. Should follow Castle Point Council's approach.	<u>GB2.2</u>	The Council has undertaken a Green Belt Review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan. All authorities should prepare Local Plans that are informed by update to date evidence and in accordance with national policy.	None required.	DLP/268, DLP/15689

H10 will lead to the merging of Dunton and West Horndon.	GB2.3	There are 5 purposes to which the Green Belt serves which are set out in national policy. The one related to the merging of settlements is 'to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another' and doesn't consider Dunton because it is a village and not a town. The Green Belt Review (December 2015) assessed the area on this particular purpose in terms of its relationship to the nearest towns of Basildon and West Horndon. The distance between these towns was considered sufficient to not result in them merging if this area was no longer Green Belt.	None required.	DLP/268
Reduction of Green Belt land figures are inconsistent in Local Plan and FAQs.	GB2.4	The Council will review the figures makes any amendments as necessary.	Review figures and consider wording changes.	DLP/921
Supports Policy GB2 and the findings of the Green Belt Review (December 2015).	GB2.5	Support for Policy GB2 noted and supporting evidence noted.	None required.	DLP/1141
Generally supports Policy GB2 however requests land at Greenacres Farm is removed from the Green Belt.	GB2.6	Support for Policy GB2 noted. The proposed housing allocations identified in the Draft Local Plan were informed by several evidence base documents including the Green Belt Review 2015. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Keep Green Belt extent under review in the development of the Local Plan	DLP/2431
Generally supports Policy GB2 however requests land at London Road, Pitsea is removed from the Green Belt.	GB2.7	Support for Policy GB2 noted. The proposed housing allocations identified in the Draft Local Plan were informed by several evidence base documents including the Green Belt Review 2015. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Keep Green Belt extent under review in the development of the Local Plan	DLP/2422, DLP/2440
Generally supports Policy GB2 however objects to allocation H14.	GB2.8	Support for Policy GB2 noted. The proposed housing allocations identified in the Draft Local Plan were informed by several evidence base documents including the Green Belt Review 2015. The Council will keep this situation under review	Keep Green Belt extent under review in the development of the Local Plan	DLP/3454

		in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.		
Supports Policy GB2 and the removal of land at H10 from the extent of the Green Belt.	GB2.9	Support for Policy GB2 noted.	None required.	DLP/2741
Considers that the Borough's Green Belt meets all 5 purposes with particular emphasis on Green Belt around Billericay.	GB2.10	The Council undertook a Green Belt review which examined whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues to meet the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF which was produced by national government. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on other matters as to whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies combined informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan.	None required	DLP/4491
Billericay residents have voted for Councillors who have sought to protect the Green Belt.	GB2.11	The Local Plan has been informed by previous versions of the plan, earlier consultation comments received and a range of evidence. The next version of the Local Plan will be subject to scrutiny and approval by the Council's Cabinet Members and then Full Council Members before further consultation.	None required	DLP/4491
Questions how the Council can build on Green Belt when development proposals in the Green Belt now are refused.	GB2.12	Local planning authorities establish Green Belt boundaries within their Local Plans and can review and change them through the preparation of the next Local Plan as stated in paragraph 83 of the National Planning Policy Framework. The Council is currently preparing its next Local Plan and took the decision to review the Borough's Green Belt, the findings of which are in the Green Belt Review 2015. Based on the findings of all the evidence base, including the Green Belt Review, the Draft Local Plan proposes amendments to the Green Belt boundary to accommodate growth.	None required.	DLP/4544

Supports Policy GB3.	GB3.1	Support for Policy GB3 noted.	None required.	DLP/325, DLP/3314, DLP/3455, DLP/2790, , DLP/20133, DLP/7149, DLP/7151, DLP/7152, DLP/9598, DLP/9619, DLP/9620, DLPQQ/874, DLPQQ/942, DLPQQ/607, DLPQQ/886, DLPQQ/956DLPQQ/284
Identifies the Plotland Study as a housing capacity study to identify potential supply through infill. Welcomes the removal of Break Egg Hill from the Green Belt and as a designated Plotland.	GB3.2	The Plotland Study identified the area as being a Plotland but didn't recommend the area as being a Plotland Infill Area for the purposes of infilling. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Keep Green Belt extent under review in the development of the Local Plan	DLP/458, DLP/20124
Policy GB3 criteria 1c(i) should include mobile homes in light of paragraph 11.45.	GB3.3	Paragraph 11.45 identifies the possibility of gypsy and traveller pitches within the Borough's Plotland areas but states that there is no evidence that this is desired and therefore Policy GB3 does not reference it.	None required.	DLP/27
Questions the deliverability of GB3 if plotlands remain washed over by Green Belt as infill and subdivision of vacant plots conflicts with Green Belt policy.	GB3.4	National Planning Policy Framework allows for limited infilling within the Green Belt. Policy GB3 of the Draft Local Plan would enable new development within vacant plots providing they comply with the criteria set out in the policy. This does not include the subdivision of plots.	None required.	DLP/27
Supports Policy GB3, particularly Plotland infill in North Benfleet.	GB3.5	Support for Policy GB3 noted.	None required.	DLP/162, DLP/1076, DLP/2081, DLP/2451, DLP/21, DLP/7148,
Generally supports Policy GB3 however is concerned that the quantum of development in Crays Hill Plotland will adversely impact on infrastructure and the village character.	GB3.6	Support for Policy GB3 noted. There is a need to provide at least 15,260 homes within Basildon Borough over a 20 year period in order to meet the objectively assessed need for housing. It is anticipated that Crays Hill village will accommodate 45 of these dwellings whilst the nearby Crays Hill Plotlands will accommodate up to a further 45 dwellings. Combined this representing 0.6% of the housing requirement, compared with a population that makes up 0.4% of the Borough's population. It is considered that	None required.	DLP/96

		the quantum of growth proposed in Crays Hill is therefore appropriate and reasonable in scale.		
Infill sites should not be used to accommodate additional gypsy and traveller pitches due to existing authorised pitches in Crays Hill.	GB3.7	Policy H6 and the Policies Map identify sites suitable for accommodating future gypsy and traveller provision which includes specific plots within some of the Plotland Infill Area. These sites have been assessed as being suitable in the Gypsy and Traveller Sites Provision Study while the number of plots and proposed infill area suitable for Policy GB3 has been informed by the Plotland Study.	None required.	DLP/96
Objects to Policy GB3. Objects to any development occurring within the Plotland areas and the number of dwellings identified. Considers Break Egg Hill and Brackendale unsuitable due to lack of infrastructure and the impact development would have on the rural character and wildlife.	GB3.8	Objection to Policy GB3 noted. The National Planning Policy Framework allows for limited infilling within the Green Belt under certain criteria and the Draft Local Plan proposes a policy which supports the national position. Policy GB3 requires development to be in keeping with the character of the Plotland and not be harmful to the openness of the Green Belt in order for it to be acceptable. Break Egg Hill Plotland Area has not been identified as being suitable for infill in the Plotland Study 2015 and Policy GB3 is not applicable to it.	None required.	DLP/261
Supports Policy GB3, both in terms of the wording and the benefits it would provide in the Plotlands.	GB3.9	Support for Policy GB3 noted.	None required.	DLP/542
Generally supports Policy GB3 however does not agree with the restriction on who can purchase a consented plot due to funding issues for self builders and questions how it can be enforced. Recommends amendments to policy.	GB3.10	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/469
Optimistic that after the long wait for such a policy, development will soon be permitted as infill within	GB3.11	General support for Policy GB3 noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the	Consider wording changes.	DLP/1077

the plotlands. Recommends amendments to the wording of the policy to enable sub-division where suitable.		development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.		
Understands that Break Egg Hill Plotlands has been excluded from the Green Belt in error. Welcomes that it has not been designated as a Plotland Infill Area.	GB3.12	The Plotland Study identified the area as being a Plotland but didn't recommend the area as being a Plotland Infill Area for the purposes of infilling. The area was excluded from the Green Belt on the Policies Map. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider Policies Map changes.	DLP/1486, DLP/1666
Believes that land to the north side of Hovefields Drive, which is owned by travellers and currently has no buildings on between which infill can occur, has been selected for infill above those elsewhere in Plotland area, which are owned by the settled community and were submitted as part of the HELAA. The other plots have been submitted as part of a call for sites for a GT&TS Study.	GB3.13	The Plotland Infill Areas were informed by the Plotland Study (2015) which undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt. The study was informed by the HELAA, as well as desktop assessments and site visits. The Plotland Infill Areas cover the areas of the plotlands that would be suitable for limited infill for low height housing such as bungalows and chalets subject to compliance with the criteria set out in Policy GB3. Not all land parcels within these plotland infill areas will meet the criteria. The Council will review the outline of the Plotland Infill Areas to ensure a consistent approach has been adopted. The Council has allocated suitable sites for gypsy and traveller pitches based on the Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites Study and these are identified separately on the Policies Map and under Policy GB6.	Consider reviewing the evidence base.	DLP/1147, DLP/5036
Disagrees with the limitation placed on the infill development in Policy GB3 when considered against the policy on infill in the last version of the plan and recommends they are removed. Believes that the Plotland	GB3.14	Policy GB3 was taken from Core Policy 11 of the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report (2013) and then informed by the representations received during the last consultation and the recommendations of the Plotland Study (2015). The study undertook an assessment of each of	Consider wording changes.	DLP/1217, DLP/1621, DLP/2810, DLP/2808

<p>has been unfairly restricted as these limitations aren't applied elsewhere and also in light of the proposals for large scale development on the same open green land in Bowers Gifford. Is unclear on how some of the limitation would be applied due to changes to title deeds and believes plot size limitations should be stated instead.</p>		<p>the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt or the character of each area. A criteria stating specific plot sizes for each Plotland Infill Area has not been used as this can result in the policy not being flexible. Criteria related to self build and housing needs arising from the Plotland is inline with paragraph 89 of the NPPF which lists the exceptions to inappropriate development in the Green Belt.</p>		
<p>Believes that land in Hovefields Drive, which is owned by travellers and currently has no buildings on between which infill can occur, has been selected for infill above those elsewhere in Plotland area which are owned by the settled community and were submitted as part of the HELAA. The other plots have been submitted as part of a call for sites for a GT&TS Study. Objects to the unfairness of the Councils decision and recommends that the land is either returned to a natural state or completely removed from the Green Belt to allow development.</p>	<p>GB3.15</p>	<p>The Plotland Infill Areas were informed by the Plotland Study (2015) which undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt. The study was informed by the HELAA, as well as desktop assessments and site visits. The Plotland Infill Areas cover the areas of the plotlands that would be suitable for limited infill for low height housing such as bungalows and chalets subject to compliance with the criteria set out in Policy GB3. Not all land parcels within these plotland infill areas will meet the criteria. The Council will review the outline of the Plotland Infill Areas to ensure a consistent approach has been adopted. The Council has allocated suitable sites for gypsy and traveller pitches based on the Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites Study and these are identified separately on the Policies Map and under Policy GB6. The Green Belt area around Hovefields Drive as a whole was not found to be suitable for strategic development in part due to the areas strategic Green Belt importance for preventing the merging of Wickford and Basildon. However the entire site has not previously been submitted as part of the Local Plan preparation. The Council will consider</p>	<p>Consider reviewing the evidence base and Hovefields & Honiley Neighbourhood Area as an alternative site for development potential.</p>	<p>DLP/1563, DLP/2382</p>

		recommendations for this alternative site for development.		
Recommends that all development area in Crays Hill are removed and only Plotland infill allowed.	GB3.16	A review of the Serviced Settlements has been commissioned to determine the appropriate scale and nature of development in this settlement and it has been expanded to include the Crays Hill Plotland.	Review outcome of Serviced Settlement Review and amend relevant policies as appropriate.	DLP/1738, DLP/1521, DLP/1181, DLP/1410, DLP/1504, DLP/1792, DLP/1799, DLP/349
The proposed development area between Oak Avenue and Crays Hill Road forms part of the Plotland area and doesn't meet the criteria in the Plotland Study (2015) for development.	GB3.17	Policy H29 proposed expansion of the settlement of Crays Hill by removing land from the Green Belt to accommodate 45 dwellings including land between Oak Avenue and Crays Hill Road. This land would no longer form part of the Plotland area and instead form part of the Crays Hill settlement. This is separate to Policy GB3 proposing infill within the Plotland Infill Areas of Crays Hill.	None required.	DLP/1738, DLP/1521, DLP/1795, DLP/1802, DLP/1410, DLP/1504, DLP/1424, DLP/1429, DLP/1792, DLP/1799, DLP7061, DLP/12773, DLP/20161, DLP/20244, DLP/7058, DLP/7063
Believes that land to the north of Hovefields Drive and east of Hovefields Avenue, which is owned by travellers and currently has no buildings on between which infill can occur, has been selected for infill above those elsewhere in Plotland area which are owned by the settled community. Believes the policy is flawed.	GB3.18	The Plotland Infill Areas were informed by the Plotland Study (2015) which undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt. The study was informed by the HELAA, as well as desktop assessments and site visits. The Plotland Infill Areas cover the areas of the plotlands that would be suitable for limited infill for low height housing such as bungalows and chalets subject to compliance with the criteria set out in Policy GB3. Not all land parcels within these plotland infill areas will meet the criteria. The Council will review the outline of the Plotland Infill Areas to ensure a consistent approach has been adopted. The Council has allocated suitable sites for gypsy	Consider reviewing the evidence base.	DLP/2372, DLP/8032, DLP/8212

		and traveller pitches based on the Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites Study and these are identified separately on the Policies Map and under Policy GB6.		
Supports development within the plotlands and recommends that they must provide pitches for gypsy and travellers. States the positives for planning mixed communities now and considers that 5-10% pitches provided alongside 218 houses in the plotlands could be increased.	GB3.19	The Draft Local Plan proposes a number of new pitches within Plotland areas and this was informed by the Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites Study (2015). Those identified on the Policies Map were sites that had been put forward by the landowner for such use and were assessed as being suitable for pitches. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	None required.	DLP/2850, DLP/2844
Supports principles for Policy GB3 but recommends more flexibility in the number of homes to be provided using a range not an absolute number. Recommends revisions to the criteria to be more in line with the NPPF and to recognise other lawful buildings not just dwellings.	GB3.20	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2791 DLP/7150,
Supports Policy GB3 for North Benfleet but objects to proposals for 15 gypsy and traveller pitches in the area due to existing pitches in North Benfleet.	GB3.21	Support for Policy GB3 noted. The Draft Local Plan identified a need for additional pitches within the Borough across the plan period. The proposed allocation of 15 pitches in site allocation H13 is based on the findings of the Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites Study. Those identified on the Policies Map were sites that had been put forward by the landowner and were assessed as being suitable for such use.	None required.	DLP/3178
Generally supports Policy GB3 although believes 218 is too few compared to previous plans.	GB3.22	The number of homes that could be delivered within the Plotland Infill Areas was identified through the Plotland Study (2015). The study undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for	None required.	DLP/3374

		development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt or the character of each area. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.		
Supports limited infill within the plotlands but objects to land west of Upper Park Road being excluded from the Plotland Infill Area as part of Policy GB3. The land does not perform a Green Belt function and is surrounded by Plotland Infill Areas to the west and south and the settlement boundary of Wickford to the north. Recommends including this land for infill and if not for housing then for gypsy and travellers.	<u>GB3.23</u>	The Plotland Infill Areas were informed by the Plotland Study (2015) which undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt. The study was informed by the HELAA, as well as desktop assessments and site visits. The land to the west of Upper Park Road was not considered suitable for the purposes of limited infill as it includes consecutive plots which contain no buildings.	None required.	DLP/3269
Identifies the error that Break Egg Hill has been omitted from the extent of the Green Belt and as Plotland on the Policies Map and is concerned it will result in inappropriate development.	<u>GB3.24</u>	The Plotland Study identified the area as being a Plotland but didn't recommend the area as being a Plotland Infill Area for the purposes of infilling. The area was excluded from the Green Belt on the Policies Map. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider Policies Map changes.	DLP/409, DLP/1407
The proposed development area between Oak Avenue and Crays Hill Road forms part of the Plotland area and doesn't meet the criteria in the Plotland Study (2015) for development. Considers that the development of 50 homes through infill negates the need for 45 homes as large scale projects. Identifies benefits of allowing infill and the adverse impacts of large scale development.	<u>GB3.25</u>	Policy H29 proposed expansion of the settlement of Crays Hill by removing land from the Green Belt to accommodate 45 dwellings on 3 sites including land between Oak Avenue and Crays Hill Road. This land would no longer form part of the Plotland area and instead form part of the Crays Hill settlement. This is separate to Policy GB3 proposing infill within the Plotland Infill Areas of Crays Hill which are detached from the settlement boundary and informed by the Plotland Study (2015). A review of the Serviced Settlements has been commissioned to determine	Review outcome of Serviced Settlement Review and amend relevant policies as appropriate.	DLP/3880

		the appropriate scale and nature of development in this settlement and it has been expanded to include the Crays Hill Plotland.		
Supports Policy GB3, particularly the 50-60 homes that could be built within Bowers Gifford & North Benfleet Plotland. Indicates that large gardens could also accommodate dwellings.	GB3.26	Support for Policy GB3 noted. The policy sets out specific criteria under which limited infill could occur.	None required.	DLP/4332
Identifies numerous environmental, community and infrastructure issues associated with the Hovefields and Honiley area. Provides a history on wastewater and flooding investigations which have confirmed that substantial works to alleviate flooding issues would be required. This would not be addressed by the proposals in Policy GB3, nor would the policy address issues relating to community imbalance, lack of infrastructure and environmental concerns. Believes that a joined up approach between the Council, residents and other agencies is needed to resolve the issues.	GB3.27	Issues associated with the Hovefields and Honiley Area are noted. The Council will consider the suitability of the Hovefields and Honiley area as a Plotland Infill Area in light of the issues raised and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change. The Council is intending to support the Neighbourhood Forum as they take steps to prepare a neighbourhood plan. Proposals would need to be deliverable and supported by evidence, as well as be in general conformity with strategic policies in the Local Plan.	Consider reviewing evidence base and inclusion of Hovefields and Honiley under Policy GB3.	DLP/5036
Objects to Policy GB3. Infill policy should be one per plot and in keeping with the character of the area. Subdivision of plots should not be allowed.	GB3.28	Policy GB3 would enable new development within vacant plots providing they comply with the criteria set out in the policy. This does not include the subdivision of plots and any proposals should preserve the openness of the Green Belt and the character of the area.	None required.	DLP/5701, DLP/7395,
Supports Alternative Option 1 for GB3.	GB3.29	Support for Alternative Option 1 noted.	None required.	DLP/422

<p>Objects to the proposed change to reduce Green Lane Plotland area as shown on the Policies Map and recommends the area be identified as a housing site in the Green Belt as it is previously developed land and would avoid other sites needing to be allocated.</p>	<p>GB3.30</p>	<p>Objection to Policy GB3 noted. The National Planning Policy Framework allows for limited infilling in villages and for affordable homes within the Green Belt under policies within the Local Plan and the Draft Local Plan proposes a policy which supports the national position. The Council undertook Plotland Study (2015) which assessed each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for new development as infill which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt or the character of each area. Redevelopment of individual sites that have been previously developed, whether within a Plotland infill area or not, could still be considered an exception to Green Belt policy therefore the amendment to the Plotland area would not have an impact on this type of development. The area around Green Lane Plotland was not considered for strategic development due to the lack of sites being put forward in the HELAA.</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/422, DLP/428</p>
<p>Supports Alternative Option 2 for GB3. Does not agree with the restriction on who can purchase a consented plot due to funding issues for self builders and questions how it can be enforced.</p>	<p>GB3.31</p>	<p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>Review if changes to evidence base or priorities occur.</p>	<p>DLP/470</p>
<p>Supports Alternative Option 1 for GB3. Considers the criteria in Policy GB3 to be too restrictive and unnecessary due to the presence of national policy.</p>	<p>GB3.32</p>	<p>Support for Alternative Option 1 and objection to Policy GB3 noted. The National Planning Policy Framework allows for limited infilling in villages and for affordable homes within the Green Belt under policies within the Local Plan. The Draft Local Plan proposes a policy which supports the national position</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLP/460</p>
<p>Identifies the error that Break Egg Hill has been omitted from the extent of the Green Belt. Objects to infill within this location as it will harm wildlife within Norsey woods</p>	<p>GB3.33</p>	<p>The Plotland Study identified the area as being a Plotland but didn't recommend the area as being a Plotland Infill Area for the purposes of infilling. The area was excluded from the Green Belt on the Policies Map. The Council will keep this</p>	<p>Consider Policies Map changes.</p>	<p>DLP/1259</p>

and beyond. Recommends that Break Egg Plotland is included in the Landscape Protection Area.		situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change. The Areas of Higher Landscape Value are considered to be the most important and strongest landscapes in the Borough that were based on the cumulative findings of a range of studies. None of the studies identified the Break Egg Hill Plotland Area and therefore it was not included in this proposed designation.		
Considers the criteria in Policy GB3 to be too restrictive.	GB3.34	Criteria related to self build and housing needs arising from the Plotland is inline with paragraph 89 of the NPPF which lists the exceptions to inappropriate development in the Green Belt. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/422DLPQQ/62
Suggests the Hovefields area as being more suitable and with less objection for large scale development compared to some of the sites selected as strategic sites in the Draft Local Plan.	GB3.35	The Green Belt area around Hovefields Drive as a whole was not found to be suitable for strategic development in part due to the areas strategic Green Belt importance for preventing the merging of Wickford and Basildon. However the entire site has not previously been submitted as part of the Local Plan preparation. The Council will consider recommendations for this alternative site for development.	Consider Hovefields & Honiley Neighbourhood Area as an alternative site for development potential.	DLP/1147, DLP/5036
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy GB 3 to clarify that plotlands will be removed from Green Belt, and that caravans will be acceptable development within plotland infills.	GB3.36	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/31
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy GB 3 to clarify how the Council will prevent plots from being transferred to non-local people after infill applications have been granted.	GB3.37	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/31

<p>Plotland infill should cover the whole plotland area, rather than restricting it to swathes where development already exists.</p>	<p>GB3.38</p>	<p>The Plotland Study (2015) undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt or the character of each area. Criteria related to self build and housing needs arising from the Plotland is inline with paragraph 89 of the NPPF which lists the exceptions to inappropriate development in the Green Belt.</p>	<p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>DLP/765, DLP/20448, DLP/9619, DLP/9620</p>
<p>Requests information on rules applicable to land designated as Plotland and how Outline Planning Permission can be obtained.</p>	<p>GB3.39</p>	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework allows for limited infilling within the Green Belt under certain criteria and the Draft Local Plan proposes a policy which supports the national position. Policy GB3 requires development to be in keeping with the character of the Plotland and not be harmful to the openness of the Green Belt in order for it to be acceptable. For now, the saved policies of the Basildon District Local Plan (1998) remain part of the Development Plan until such time as they have been superseded by adopted policies in the new Local Plan. The new Local Plan will not be adopted until such time as it has been through an Examination in Public and found sound by a Planning Inspector. Adoption is scheduled to take place in the Spring of 2018. Advice on how to make Planning Applications can be found on the Council's website: http://www.basildon.gov.uk/planningapplications</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLPQQ/11, DLPQQ/998</p>
<p>Plotland infill involves many landowners and highly unlikely to be delivered within the plan period.</p>	<p>GB3.40</p>	<p>The Plotland infill areas are not identified as part of the strategic land allocations within the borough, with plotlands only expected to provide approximately 218 individual building plots in the future. The very essence of Policy GB3 Plotland Infill, is to contribute towards the need to provide serviced self-build plots within the Borough. This will help meet the needs of those communities and families living within the plotland settlements as they grow and change.</p>	<p>None required.</p>	<p>DLPQQ/40</p>

Observation regarding wording changes to the Plotland Study regarding Bells Hill Road.	GB3.41	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes in light of comments received.	Consider wording changes.	DLPQQ/80
There is no provision for self build in the Local Plan.	GB3.42	Policy H1 sets out such a policy.	None required.	DLPQQ/167, DLPQQ/946, DLPQQ/574
Is Broomhills Chase one of the proposed plotland infill areas, and what proportion of the total supply will this plotland contribute?	GB3.43	Broomhills Chase, Little Burstead is one of the Plotland Infill areas identified under Policy GB3 of the Draft Local Plan. Plotlands combined can accommodate 218 additional homes on infill plots, but the contribution of each plotland area will be subject to the criteria identified in Policy GB3 being addressed, and areas at risk of flooding being avoided.	None required.	DLPQQ/743
The proposed plotland infill areas do not provide a specific number of houses to be built. There are no road improvements detailed to alleviate traffic issues.	GB3.44	The Plotland Infill Areas were informed by the Plotland Study (2015) which undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt. Within this study an approximation of the potential number of infill dwellings is provided. The roads within the plotland areas are mainly private roads and as the development proposed is not large in scale the Council would not recommend adoption of the roads.	None required.	DLPQQ/993, DLPQQ/994
How will the redevelopment of plotland areas be decided.	GB3.45	Policy GB3 sets out the criteria for enabling new development within plotland infill areas. This does not include the subdivision of plots and any proposals should preserve the openness of the Green Belt and the character of the area.	None required.	DLPQQ/533
Support Policy GB3 provided development preserves the character of the area.	GB3.46	Support noted.	None required.	DLPQQ/635
More plotlands should be released for housing.	GB3.47	The Plotland Infill Areas were informed by the Plotland Study (2015) which undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt.	Consider reviewing the evidence base.	DLPQQ/942

		The study was informed by the HELAA, as well as desktop assessments and site visits. The Plotland Infill Areas cover the areas of the plotlands that would be suitable for limited infill for low height housing such as bungalows and chalets subject to compliance with the criteria set out in Policy GB3. Not all land parcels within these plotland infill areas will meet the criteria. The Council will review the outline of the Plotland Infill Areas to ensure a consistent approach has been adopted.		
Supports Policy GB4.	GB4.1	Support for Policy GB4 noted.	None required.	DLP/326, DLP/3375, DLP/4224
Development proposals around Billericay do not demonstrate very special circumstances and Green Belt should not be considered when addressing objectively assessed need. Need should be reassessed.	GB4.2	The site allocations proposed within the Draft Local Plan would be removed from the extent of the Green Belt on adoption of the plan and Policy GB4 would not be applicable. Policy GB4 would apply to proposals that are submitted through the plan period on Green Belt land as defined on the proposed Policies Map. The Borough's future housing need is based on the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment which was commissioned by the Council in partnership with neighbouring authorities. Policy restrictions, such as Green Belt, are not a factor in calculating the housing need. However they can be a factor in determining the housing target for an area and this has been considered by the Council where it would be unable to accommodate all of its OAN within the urban area. Unmet housing need has not been the only consideration when determining whether revisions to the Green Belt boundary constitutes 'exceptional circumstances'. A sustainability appraisal report has been prepared, which assesses the relative merits of different levels of growth. That appraisal, prepared independently of the Council, concludes that the level of growth set out in the Draft Local Plan is the most sustainable, generating benefits across a range of social, environmental and economic	None required.	DLP/255, DLP/5064

		indicators. Lower levels of growth would not result in such sustainable outcomes. Poor outcomes in terms of the economy or social welfare provide justification for not pursuing lower levels of growth, and consequently for accommodating some development in the Green Belt.		
New development should meet the BREEAM standards to ensure they are sustainable and minimise their impact on the environment. Recommends green planting for new development and identifies a related toolkit.	GB4.3	Policy CC5 - Sustainable Buildings - New Builds deals with sustainability standards.	None required.	DLP/768
The proposed allocation of sites H20, H21, H22, H23, H25 and H26 are in conflict with the findings of the Green Belt Study and the Landscape Capacity Studies.	GB4.4	The findings of numerous studies informed the proposed site allocations in the Draft Local Plan including the Green Belt Review (2015), the Landscape Studies (2014) and subsequent more detailed landscape appraisals of each potential site. The Green Belt Review provided a number of recommendations for consideration where an area was found to have an overall partial or limited contribution to the role and function of the Green Belt which is the case for those areas where the sites around Billericay were identified. These recommendations informed the proposed amendments to the Green Belt boundary.	Review evidence base.	DLP/795DLPQQ/477, DLPQQ/301, DLPQQ/343
Criteria 2a of Policy GB4 undermines the presumption against development.	GB4.5	The criteria associated with point 2 of Policy GB4 would only apply where a proposal can demonstrate very special circumstances. This policy provides a greater degree of protection for the Borough's Green Belt compared to national policy.	None required.	DLP/2589
Policy GB4 should be revised to allow infill development in Plotland area if they are to remain in the Green Belt.	GB4.6	Plotland infill is dealt with under Policy GB3 which has been informed by a Plotland Study (2015). The study undertook an assessment of each of the 13 Plotland areas to identify their potential for	None required.	DLP/2792

		infill development which would not harm the openness of the Green Belt.		
Supports Alternative Option 1 of GB4. The criteria for section 2 of the policy is not based on national policy and objects to section 3. Considers that Policy GB4 is not needed.	GB4.7	Objections to Policy GB4 noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/423, DLP/461, DLP/1359
Supports Policy GB5.	GB5.1	Support for Policy GB5 noted.	None required.	DLP/279
Raises concern on whether criteria 1b would refer to ancillary sports facilities and requests clarity on whether GB5 or GB8 would be applicable for such proposals. Considers a limitation of 35% increase on the original building footprint could restrict the provision of adequate facilities which meet modern sports design guidance where existing ones are deficient and proposes changes to the wording of the policy.	GB5.2	Concerns noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/70
Objects to Policy GB5. Considers policy too relaxed and recommends repeating the criteria in the Local Plan Saved Policies document.	GB5.3	Policy BAS GB3 and Policy BAS GB4 in the Local Plan Saved Policies (2007) only relate to extensions and replacements of dwellings in the Green Belt. The Local Plan Saved Policies document is silent and therefore deficient on dealing with the issue of extensions of non residential buildings to which Policy GB5 in the Draft Local Plan seeks to address.	None required.	DLP/2590
Conditional support for Policy GB5 providing certain changes are made to the wording of the policy. Provides examples of where policy has been interpreted differently and recommends clarity on whether rooms in the roof and in basements are included in the calculations.	GB5.4	Conditional support for Policy GB5 noted. Criteria 1b provides direction on how to calculate floorspace by stating that alterations or extensions made under permitted development that don't change the size or shape of the building would not be included within the calculations. However further consideration should be given as to how other types of alterations or extensions which	Consider wording changes.	DLP/3376

Also questions why only 90sq m and not 180sqm has been referred to in the supporting text.		don't change the shape or size of the building are determined. The 35% increase on the original building floorspace proposed in this policy would replace any previous restrictions placed on proposals for extensions or alterations however the 180sq m limit could be mentioned in the supporting text. The Council will consider wording changes to the supporting text and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.		
Supports Alternative Option 1 of GB5. Disagrees with the removal of permitted development rights stated within section 3 of the policy. Considers that Policy GB5 is not needed.	GB5.5	Objections to Policy GB5 noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/426, DLP/462, DLP/1361
Supports Policy GB6.	GB6.1	Support for Policy GB6 noted.	None required.	DLP/280
Raises concern on whether criteria 1b would refer to ancillary sports facilities and requests clarity on whether GB6 or GB8 would be applicable for such proposals. Considers a limitation of 35% increase on the original building footprint could restrict the provision of adequate facilities that meet modern sports design guidance where existing ones are deficient and proposes changes to the wording of the policy.	GB6.2	Concerns noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/71
Objects to Policy GB6. Considers policy too relaxed and recommends repeating the criteria in the Local Plan Saved Policies document.	GB6.3	Objection noted. Policy BAS GB3 and Policy BAS GB4 in the Local Plan Saved Policies (2007) only relate to extensions and replacements of dwellings in the Green Belt. The Local Plan Saved Policies document is silent and therefore deficient on dealing with the issue of replacements of non	None required.	DLP/2591

		residential buildings to which Policy GB6 in the Draft Local Plan seeks to address.		
Conditional support for Policy GB6 providing certain changes are made to the wording of the policy. Provides examples of where policy has been interpreted differently and recommends clarity on whether rooms in the roof and in basements are included in the calculations. Also questions why only 90sq m and not 180sqm has been referred to in the supporting text.	GB6.4	Conditional support for Policy GB6 noted. Criteria 1c provides direction on how to calculate floorspace by stating that alterations or extensions made under permitted development that don't change the size or shape of the building would not be included within the calculations. However further consideration should be given as to how other types of alterations or extensions which don't change the shape or size of the building are determined. The 35% increase on the original building floorspace proposed in this policy would replace any previous restrictions placed on proposals for extensions or alterations however the 180sq m limit could be mentioned in the supporting text. The Council will consider wording changes to the supporting text and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/3377
Supports Alternative Option 1 of GB6. Disagrees with the removal of permitted development rights stated within section 3 of the policy. Considers that Policy GB5 is not needed.	GB6.5	Objections to Policy GB6 noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/427, DLP/463, DLP/1362
Objects to Policy GB6. The restrictive percentage applied in the policy does not reflect a fair position given the Council's proposals to develop a number of Green Belt sites across the Borough.	GB6.6	Objection to Policy GB6 noted. The Green Belt Study looked at how the Borough's Green Belt performed against the five purposes and contributed to the openness of the Green Belt. It identified a number of areas within the Green Belt that were clearly performing their role in keeping the land permanently open, and also several areas that were identified as partly contributing to the function of the Green Belt. It is therefore appropriate through the Local Plan to consider how these should be treated in the future to	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	DLP/2651

		ensure the longevity of the Borough's Green Belt. However parts of these areas were found to provide limited or no contribution to the purposes for which they were first designated and, as such, consideration has been given as to whether they should remain as Green Belt, or whether they could be used to help meet the future development needs of the Borough. Policies GB4 to GB11 would be applied when proposals for development within the extent of the Green Belt are considered.		
Supports Policy GB7.	GB7.1	Support for Policy GB7 noted.	None required.	DLP/281
Supports Alternative Option 1 of GB7. Disagrees with the removal of permitted development rights stated within section 2 of the policy. Considers that Policy GB7 is not needed.	GB7.2	Objections to Policy GB7 noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/429, DLP/464, DLP/1364
Supports Policy GB8.	GB8.1	Support for Policy GB8 noted.	None required.	DLP/282
Supports Alternative Option 1 of GB8. Policy GB8 seeks to limit development of such buildings beyond that permitted under permitted development. Considers that Policy GB8 is not needed	GB8.2	Objections to Policy GB8 noted.	None required.	DLP/430, DLP/465, DLP/1365
Raises concern on criteria 1(e)(ii) for Policy GB8 in relation to ancillary sports facilities and requests a more flexible approach to development of outdoor sport ancillary facilities. Considers a limitation of 35% increase on the original building footprint could restrict the provision of adequate facilities that meet modern sports design guidance where existing ones are deficient and proposes	GB8.3	Concerns noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/72

changes to the wording of the policy.				
Further criteria recommended for associated development for sports and recreational uses within the Green Belt such as changing facilities, club rooms, car parking, floodlighting and access. Also further criteria recommended for associated local transport infrastructure.	GB8.4	Concerns noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2592
Supports Policy GB9.	GB9.1	Support for Policy GB9 noted.	None required.	DLP/283
Proposed site allocation on land north of Potash Road conflicts with safeguarding the countryside from encroachment.	GB9.2	The Council undertook a Green Belt review to examine whether land currently designated as Green Belt continues meets the purposes and aims for which it was first designated. This is in accordance with the requirements set out in Chapter 9 of the NPPF. Those areas that were found to only partly contribute or provide limited contribution to these purposes were assessed by other studies on whether development would be appropriate. The findings of all these studies informed the proposed site allocations including those at Potash Road in the Draft Local Plan. These site allocations would be taken out of the Green Belt on adoption of the plan.	None required.	DLP/549
Supports Policy GB10.	GB10.1	Support for Policy GB10 noted.	None required.	DLP/284
Supports Policy GB11.	GB11.1	Support for Policy GB11 noted.	None required.	DLP/73, DLP/285
States that there shouldn't be any unnecessary permanent buildings constructed that are associated with recreational/ sports activities.	GB11.2	Proposals relating to recreational/ sports activities within the Green Belt will also be subject to Policy GB8 which states that such developments would only be support if the buildings function is ancillary.	None required.	DLP/408
Recommends that Policy GB11 gives specific mention to the	GB11.3	Policy GB11 allows proposals that enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt including those that improve access. This would incorporate	Consider wording changes.	DLP/231, DLP/1447

enhancement of the Rights of Way network.		proposals to enhance the public right of way network. However the Council will consider recommended wording changes.		
Objects to permanent buildings on Green Belt that are associated with recreational/sports activities.	GB11.4	The NPPF allows for new buildings within the Green Belt if they relate to the provision of appropriate facilities for outdoor sport and outdoor recreation. Proposals relating to recreational/sports activities within the Green Belt in the Borough will be subject to Policy GB8 which states that such developments would only be support if the buildings function is ancillary.	None required.	DLP/1196
Supports Policy GB11 and notes that the policy supports the relocation of sports provision from site H7 to suitable Green Belt locations.	GB11.5	Support for Policy GB11 noted.	None required.	DLP/4225
Emphasises that improved networks of footpaths has a wider benefit to the population and is less harmful to the Green Belt compared to sport activities.	GB11.6	Policy GB11 allows proposals that enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt including those that improve access. This would incorporate proposals to enhance the public right of way network.	None required.	DLP/2594
Chp 15 Climate Change				
Observations regarding wording changes to Chapter 15.	CHP15.1	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2871
Support meeting the Challenge of Climate Change and Flooding as set out in Chapter 15.	CHP15.2	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2685, DLP/2756, DLP/3378
Object to the principle of meeting the Challenge of Climate Change and Flooding as set out in Chapter 15.	CHP15.3	Objection noted. It is a requirement of the NPPF to take climate change and flooding into account.	None required.	DLP/525
No comment for Chapter 15 .	CHP15.4	N/A	None required.	DLP/3034
A suggestion of a location for a CHP Plant.	CHP15.5	Noted. The Council will consider undertaking additional evidence base work in light of comments received.	Consider undertaking	DLP/579

			additional evidence base work.	
Support the Policy CC 1 Responding to Climate Change.	CC1.1	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2686
Concern raised that the building of new homes, the associated new traffic and loss of Green Belt to development will contribute to climate change.	CC1.2	In accordance with Chapter 15 the Council will seek to reduce carbon emissions, and the impacts of the Borough on climate change by encouraging greater levels of sustainability through development, and by putting measures in place that encourage individuals within the community to be more sustainable.	None required, Chapter 15 seeks to reduce the impact of development in the Borough on climate change.	DLP/2991
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy CC 1 Responding to Climate Change	CC1.3	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/128, DLP/1865, DLP/2875
Concern raised over whether there is sufficient water reservoirs to serve the Borough.	CC1.4	The Council are proposing to ensure that new housing development is water efficient, as detailed in part B of Policy CC 5.	None required.	DLP/1796
Concern raised over the new allocated housing developments suffering from flooding.	CC1.5	Policy CC 2 sets out the Council's strategy for managing flood risk in development during the plan period. Policy CC 4 sets out requirements for new development to be safe from the risk of flooding during the plan period.	None required.	DLP/1796, DLP/271, DLP/1498, DLPQQ/860
Objection to a target 20% reduction in CO2 emissions from on-site renewable sources as set out in Policy CC 1. This target for new development is felt to be unviable.	CC1.6	This element of Policy CC 1 has been tested for its viability and has been found to be achievable and would not make development unviable.	None required.	DLP/2817, DLP/3081
Evidence base needs updating in relation to Policy CC 1 Responding to Climate Change.	CC1.7	Noted. The Council will consider updating the evidence base in light of comments received.	Consider updating evidence base.	DLP/2817
The proposed new developments do not comply with Policy CC 1 as public transport in Billericay is not satisfactory.	CC1.8	Policy CC 1 sets out that the Council will work with partners to deliver public transport improvements as part of new development.	None required.	DLP/3216, DLP/13035,
Support the Policy CC 2 Flood Risk and Drainage Management.	CC2.1	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2475, DLP/2877, DLP/2757

Observations regarding wording changes to Policy CC 2 Flood Risk and Drainage Management.	CC2.2	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2475, DLP/2877
Would like to know which areas proposed for development are within a Critical Drainage Area.	CC2.3	This information is detailed within the supporting information for each housing policy H 7-H 27.	None required.	DLP/25
Concern that the new allocated development sites will cause flooding.	CC2.4	Policy CC 2 sets out the Council's strategy for managing flood risk in development during the plan period. Policy CC 4 sets out requirements for new development to be safe from the risk of flooding during the plan period.	None required.	DLP/445, DLP/1498, DLP/1010, DLP/1051, DLP/1832, DLP/1684, DLP/2222, DLP/2093, DLP/1765, DLP/1773, DLP/2280, DLP/2445, DLP/2934, DLP/2951, DLP/3002, DLP/2978, DLP/3211, DLP/3810, DLP/4507, DLP/269, DLP/679, DLP/17601, DLPQQ/77, DLPQQ/86, DLPQQ/108, DLPQQ/157, DLPQQ/170, DLPQQ/294, DLPQQ/477, DLPQQ/551, DLPQQ/984, DLPQQ/356, DLPQQ/905, DLPQQ/376, DLPQQ/367, DLPQQ/369, DLPQQ/412, DLPQQ/415, DLPQQ/855, DLPQQ/847, DLPQQ/840, DLPQQ/443, DLPQQ/454, DLPQQ/481, DLPQQ/498, DLPQQ/535, DLPQQ/659, DLPQQ/1008, DLPQQ/955, DLPQQ/1012, DLPQQ/668, DLPQQ/590, DLPQQ/609, DLPQQ/679
Studies done by Anglian Water Authority and the Environment Agency confirmed that the soils in the Hovefields area are unable to sustain further septic tanks or other soakage dependent disposal systems.	CC2.5	Noted. The Council will consider updating the evidence base in light of comments received.	Consider updating evidence base.	DLP/2368

Parking restrictions are leading to front gardens being paved which can exacerbate flooding	CC2.6	Front gardens being paved should use porous material or have a method of soakaway to be considered as permitted development. If this is not the case the Planning Enforcement should be contacted. This is not a matter covered by the Local Plan.	None required.	DLP/2137
Concern that the maintenance for any SUDs system will be unviable for residents.	CC2.7	The cost of any SUDs system would be incorporated within the maintenance charge by a management company of the development or could be adopted by Anglian water. There would be no unexpected costs for future residents for SUDs.	None required.	DLP/5787
Existing roads within the Borough suffer from flooding, how will this be dealt with.	CC2.8	. Policy CC 2 sets out the Council's strategy for managing flood risk and drainage management which includes existing flood risk.	None required.	DLPQQ/973
Support the Policy CC 4 Managing Flood Risk in New Development.	CC4.1	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2696, DLP/2879, DLP/2759, DLP/4427, DLP/4435DLPQQ/962, DLPQQ/741
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy CC 4 Managing Flood Risk in New Development.	CC4.2	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/2696, DLP/679, DLP/2879, DLP/2759
Support the Policy CC 5 Sustainable Buildings - New Builds.	CC5.1	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2881, DLP/2688DLPQQ/741
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy CC 5 Sustainable Buildings - New Builds.	CC5.2	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/1866, DLP/2823
Water efficiency systems and solar panels should be incorporated into new development.	CC5.3	Policy CC 5 and CC 6 sets out the Council's strategy for sustainable buildings which include measures such as water and solar efficiency.	None required.	DLP/896
Development is unlikely to include passive solar gain due to housing density.	CC5.4	Policy CC 5 sets out the Council's strategy for sustainable buildings which require development to demonstrate design, siting and layout opportunities for energy efficient measure such as passive solar gain.	None required.	DLP/954

Encourage properties to be built to Code for Sustainable Homes, Level 6.	CC5.5	Code for Sustainable Homes has been replaced by Part L energy efficiency and Part G water efficiency of the Building Regulations. Policy CC 5 sets out the Council's strategy for compliance with these Building Regulations.	None required.	DLP/1569, DLP/1572
Concern raised that the Climate Change policies within Chapter 15 do not meet all national planning requirements for carbon reduction including BREEAM standards and planning obligations towards carbon offsetting.	CC5.6	Policy CC 5 and CC 6 sets out the Council's strategy for sustainable buildings which include measures such as BREEAM standards and allowable solutions contributions.	None required.	DLP/3003
Support the Policy CC 6 Sustainable Buildings - Extensions, Alterations and Conversions.	CC6.1	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2689
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy CC 6 Sustainable Buildings - Extensions, Alterations and Conversions.	CC6.2	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/1867
Support the Policy CC 7 Renewable Energy Infrastructure.	CC7.1	Support noted.	None required.	DLP/2691, DLP/2760
Observations regarding wording changes to Policy CC 7 Renewable Energy Infrastructure.	CC7.2	The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes.	DLP/236, DLP/1394, DLP/2597, DLP/2691
Evidence base needs updating in relation to Policy CC 7 Renewable Energy Infrastructure.	CC7.3	Noted. The Council will consider updating the evidence base in light of comments received.	Consider updating evidence base.	DLP/236
Concern raised over who would be responsible for the maintenance of any CHP schemes long term.	CC7.4	This would either be a privately run scheme to which the company would cover any maintenance costs and the resident would pay for the use of the power like in any other case. Alternatively it could be run by a site management company in which maintenance costs would cover any additional charges.	None required.	DLP/3380