

Question 6 – Do you agree with the approach for Crays Hill, Ramsden Bellhouse, Bowers Gifford and the plotland areas to grow? Please give reasons why.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Disagree with the approach for all/some of these areas as development will change their character, it will encroach on Green Belt land and infrastructure cannot cope. It would also destroy wildlife.	12, 20, 22, 62, 153, 215, 292, 315, 364, 398, 486, 504, 549, 590, 666, 693, 722, 723, 749, 768, 802, 961, 968, 1031, 1041, 1067, 1106, 1123, 1139, 1160, 1161, 1183, 1233, 1256, 1257, 1264, 1267, 1290, 1296, 1313, 1324, 1358, 1366, 1397, 1406, 1513, 1555, 1564, 1575, 1591, 1600, 1613, 1625, 1629, 1641, 1679, 1700, 1707, 1745, 1746, 1758, 1826, 1835, 1855, 1859, 1873, 1874, 1881, 1890, 1909, 1918, 1931, 1942, 1964, 1983, 2022, 2031, 2081, 2106, 2165, 2190, 2201, 2210, 2285, 2295, 2695, 2699, 2709, 2743, 2745, 2756, 2789, 2804, 2814, 2845, 2860, 2901, 2907, 2917, 2927, 2935, 2946, 2965, 2987, 2992, 3025, 3030, 3033, 3039, 3049, 3115, 3183, 3305, 3311, 3320, 3355, 3467, 3472, 3376, 3402, 3524, 3744, 3825, 3875, 4001, 4162, 4257, 4444, 4468, 4549, 4556, 4578, 4584, 4633, 4643, 4682, 4690, 4699, 4826, 4828, 4843, 4850, 4949, 4978, 5107, 5164, 5175, 5109, 6592, 6607, 6617, 7216, 7235, 9611	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Agree with approach as is small scale development where land is available, good infrastructure links and it will improve the area but must be done sensitively	53, 175, 224, 252, 299, 370, 382, 408, 427, 442, 450, 636, 641, 652, 696, 779, 785, 926, 934, 944, 1000, 1174, 1195, 1317, 1342, 1376, 1396, 1417, 1476, 1479, 1492, 1694, 1713, 1743, 1801, 1807, 1854, 1898, 2726, 2705, 2737, 2780, 2871, 2882, 2893, 2900, 2954, 2998, 3009, 3016, 3086, 3102, 3175, 3205, 3222, 3257, 3268, 3506, 3635, 3726, 3776, 3796, 4134, 4796, 4867, 4899, 5129, 5419, 5829, 5956, 6579	Settlement is retained.	Prepare Development Management Policies
The scale and detail of development in these areas is not contained within the document. Further consultation is needed	22, 28, 658, 822, 1885, 1945, 3753, 4964	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
Brownfield sites and empty properties should be used. Suggestions of areas given.	124, 416, 666, 1139, 1462, 1492, 2882, 3658	Noted. The Council agrees with this opinion, which is why the 6,900 homes estimated to come from the urban area will maximise brownfield sites considered to be suitable, available and achievable for development. Brownfield sites constitute approximately 37% of this total urban capacity.	Consider whether a specific policy should include more detailed guidance on brownfield sites and their expected contribution to development delivery in the Borough.
Plotlands can accommodate infill but existing character should be maintained and	38, 85, 93, 104, 116, 134, 144, 153, 160, 166, 181, 189, 200, 206, 292, 315, 325, 343, 378, 416, 463, 538, 600, 739, 883, 909, 968, 1057, 1112,	Noted. The Revised Preferred Options Core Strategy proposes a dwelling provision of 375 homes to be shared between the 13 Plotland settlements in the	Prepare Development Management Policies and consider a Plotland Infill

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
infrastructure should be provided	1123, 1296, 1358, 1435, 1443, 1472, 1495, 1529, 1545, 1656, 1672, 1675, 1719, 1725, 1818, 1971, 2139, 2767, 2833, 2987, 3063, 3305, 3147, 4207, 4308, 4309, 4310, 4311, 4312, 4313, 4314, 4571, 4987, 6019, 6592, 6569	<p>Borough. This kind of development would be allowed to come forward through an exception to Green Belt policy as stated in Core Policy 11 of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013. This would principally include infill development on vacant plots between existing dwellings on an existing road frontage, or on a corner plot.</p> <p>Further policy on appropriate development within the Green Belt will be set out in the Local Plan Site Allocations and Development Management Policies and/or a Plotland Settlement Infill Supplementary Planning Document.</p>	Supplementary Planning Document
Plotland areas should be regenerated and not in-filled	5898	Regenerating the entire areas would be detrimental to the existing residents as it would require purchasing their homes and land. Further, some of the areas are very small and not close to the existing settlements. Regenerating these areas would not be inline with the National Planning Policy Framework that requires development to be sustainable.	N/A
Need to minimise the need to travel in these areas	722	Noted. The Local Plan encourages the use of sustainable transport.	N/A
Scale of development in the Bowers Gifford area, North Benfleet plotlands and North East Basildon proposal (PADC6) will destroy the area	2312	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
<p>Population only increases if houses are built.</p> <p>Development does not benefit the area's existing communities</p>	232	<p>The Census 2011 shows that the population is increasing. There are more births than deaths as people are living longer. Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to provide for their development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. Development can provide benefits, such as additional service and road improvements to the existing communities.	
Development should be focused to the north east of Basildon	196, 206, 269, 292, 315, 1358, 2823, 4044	Noted.	N/A
Support some growth in these areas but not as much as is proposed.	280, 1185, 1536, 2034, 2277, 2748, 3235, 3438, 3950, 6561	Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. Development can provide benefits, such as additional service and road improvements to the existing communities.	N/A
Proposed dwelling numbers should be separated for each settlement in the Plan.	1185, 5238	Noted. The Council will consider this for the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider providing proposed need figures for each settlements in the next version of the Local Plan
These areas are appropriate locations for more development than proposed	71, 844,	Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	
Little Burstead should be included in and promoted for infill	321	Noted. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider whether Little Burstead should be promoted in the next version of the Local Plan
Development requires the appropriate infrastructure and flooding is a problem	20, 241, 370, 378, 450, 524, 593, 652, 739, 779, 817, 1099, 1492, 3016, 3279, 3290, 4204, 4578, 4751	The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council will also work the Sustainable Urban Drainage body, SAB and Environment Agency on flooding issues within the Borough.	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages. Continue with to work with SAB and the Environment Agency
Additional growth should be provided in Crays Hill	533, 3257, 3305, 4751, 6035, 6624	Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. However, the objectively assessed need is a minimum	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		and the Council will assess planning applications in line with adopted policy in the Local Plan and other adopted policy guidance.	
Additional growth should be provided in Bowers Gifford	104, 144, 1057, 2789, 2965, 3147, 3158, 3257, 6035	<p>Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>However, the objectively assessed need is a minimum and the Council will assess planning applications in line with adopted policy in the Local Plan and other adopted policy guidance.</p>	N/A
Additional growth should be provided in Ramsden Bellhouse	4376, 6035	<p>Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>However, the objectively assessed need is a minimum and the Council will assess planning applications in line with adopted policy in the Local Plan and other adopted policy guidance.</p>	N/A
This approach is not in line with national policy.	2366,	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has used their evidence base to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained, in line with the NPPF. The NPPF also encourages infill in the Green Belt, where it is appropriate. The Council will consider a Plotland Infill Supplementary Planning Document that will determine policies that any infill will be subject to. Further, the Government are promoting self build / custom build and the Plotlands are a key area where this can be promoted. The NPPF makes this clear, especially in areas where there is a lack of a five year land supply, such as Basildon Borough.</p>	<p>plan preparation stages.</p> <p>Consider a Plotland Infill Supplementary Planning Document.</p>
Other sites outside of these areas could meet the proposed numbers instead.	3102, 5310, 6030,	<p>Noted. The Council prepares a Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA). This was previously called the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) but has changed due to the publication of the Planning Practice Guidance in 2014. The HELAA assesses sites for their suitability for development. The HELAA includes sites, which have been found suitable. These sites have to be found</p>	<p>Review the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment on an annual basis and review the use of windfall sites in the Authorities Monitoring Report</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>available, which means the landowner is known and have to be viable. Sites that are not suitable, available or viable can not be considered to meet the development needs in the Borough, inline with the National Planning Policy Framework. However, the Council undertakes a review of the HELAA every year to determine if any circumstances have changed.</p> <p>The HELAA and the Residential Land Assessment is taken into used to inform the five year land supply, years 6-10 and years 15+ to ensure deliverable sites are put forward over the plan period.</p>	
Too many homes provided by infill	626	<p>The Council will put development management policies in place and consider a Plotland Infill Supplementary Planning Document. Planning applications will have to be assessed against these policies to ensure that development is appropriate and not over developed.</p>	<p>Prepare Development Management Policies and consider a Plotland Infill Supplementary Planning Document</p>
Break Egg Hill plotlands area forms a valuable buffer zone for the Norsey Wood Local Nature Reserve	1997, 2067, 6561	<p>Noted. The Council will put development management policies in place and consider a Plotland Infill Supplementary Planning Document. Planning applications will have to be assessed against these policies to ensure that development is appropriate and not over developed.</p>	<p>Prepare Development Management Policies and consider a Plotland Infill Supplementary Planning Document</p>
A new settlement should be provided instead of the 575 homes proposed in these areas	8973	<p>Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. The Spatial Options Topic Paper explains that the evidence base clearly demonstrates the Borough can not accommodate a new settlement due to its size and existing settlement locations.	
Questions whether 575 dwellings is a realistic allocation for rural locations. Should reallocate numbers to the 3 main settlements.	5406	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	
Some of the assessments are incorrect and therefore should not have been identified as suitable sites in the evidence	2699, 3950, 3970, 3988, 4850, 4978, 5310	Noted. The Council will review the evidence to correct any errors.	Review evidence base to amend any errors as appropriate
Land at South-Land Road should be removed from the Green Belt as residential in nature	2351	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework requires Local Planning Authorities to review Green Belt boundaries to meet development needs. The Council should consider land that no longer meets Green Belt purpose as part of the Green Belt review. The Green Belt boundaries will be shown on a Policies Map that supports the Local Plan.	Prepare a Policies Map.
Roads in these locations should be adopted to provide equestrian access	6647	The Council are not the highways authority, this is Essex County Council. Further, the roads within the plotland areas are in private ownership. Unfortunately, the funding is not available to make these roads adopted. However, the Local Plan can promote equestrian access as part of development and continue to work with Essex County Council.	Consider promoting equestrian access and routes across the Borough in the Local Plan
Secure by Design and Park Mark are both recommended to prevent crime and should	408	Noted. Core Policy 13: Design and Environment sets out criteria for design principles, including solutions that would create safe environment. The Council will	Consider including Secure by Design and Park Mark in the next version of the

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
be mentioned in policies		consider developing this further in the next version of the Local Plan.	Local Plan
Unspecified	539, 560, 566, 608, 684, 712, 855, 893, 973, 1021, 1217, 1244, 1502, 1452, 1531, 1687, 1952, 2044, 2057, 2121, 2151, 2333, 2373, 2715, 2837, 2978, 3126, 3136, 3194, 3246, 3589, 3612, 3702, 3835, 4295, 4966, 5183, 5219, 5406, 5430, 5455, 5555, 5673, 5725, 6008, 6042, 6053, 6143, 6162, 6204, 6297, 6308	Noted.	N/A

Question 7 – Do you agree with the Council's core policies? Please give reasons why.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Agree with Core Policies	39, 54, 135, 167, 182, 190, 225, 310, 371, 379, 399, 417, 488, 523, 601, 613, 823, 910, 1042, 1124, 1196, 1343, 1419, 1425, 1473, 1480, 1538, 1673, 1688, 1856, 2797, 2846, 2918, 3087, 3306, 3403, 3778, 3946, 3956, 4058, 4259, 4377, 4573, 4644, 5302, 6020, 6625,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with Core Policies	21, 76, 125, 233, 480, 505, 550, 561, 667, 803, 868, 905, 969, 1001, 1032, 1146, 1162, 1163, 1206, 1265, 1271, 1299, 1326, 1357, 1369, 1444, 1454, 1514, 1532, 1642, 1650, 1793, 1808, 1860, 1914, 1919, 2045, 2099, 2137, 2757, 2696, 2852, 2988, 3017, 3050, 3075, 3137, 3172, 3236, 3312, 3526, 3575, 3606, 3739, 3754, 4003, 4007, 4031, 4445, 4469, 4585, 4674, 4683, 4845, 4851, 5176, 5303, 8551, 9416.	Noted.	N/A
The policies are unclear / unfit for purpose	125, 348, 980, 3258, 5997	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Support some of the Core	63, 750, 945, 1503, 1714, 2192, 2790, 3056,	Noted.	N/A

Policies	4685, 4799,		
There should be no more growth in the Borough. Growth has already destroyed parts of the Borough and quality of life.	525, 680, 1001, 1398, 2032, 2037, 2043, 2696, 2757, 2805, 2861, 2936, 3040, 3306, 3614, 3727, 3827, 4003, 4208, 4634, 4950, 4967,	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will</p>

	<p>requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network</p>	<p>work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
The policies are based on flawed evidence and unsure how they will be delivered	1009, 2152, 2260, 2966, 3827	<p>The Council will ensure that inaccuracies are rectified. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p> <p>The Local Plan will ‘plan, monitor and manage’ the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority’s Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the ‘Draft Phasing Strategy’ to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward to support</p>

		<p>Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>development in a timely fashion.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>
The policies do not secure sustainable development in line with the NPPF. There are missing policies on deprivation, poverty and social inclusion	1747, 4208	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or</p>

		<p>Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>A Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out on the Core Strategy to ensure that the most sustainable option has been taken.</p> <p>The Council will consider if additional policies should be included and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	priorities change.
Core Policy 1 – Settlement Housing Distribution			
Agree with CP1	111, 270, 298, 1234, 5407, 5691, 5899, 6309,	Noted.	N/A

Disagree with CP1	95, 642, 930, 2037, 2137, 2127, 2315, 3590, 3727, 5123, 5220, 6031, 6036, 6565,	Noted.	N/A
More homes should be provided in order to meet the Objectively Assessed Needs (950 per annum equating to 19,000 over the plan period)	6031,	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need and the Council has carried out a number of independent studies to determine what the needs of the Borough are up to 2031. These include the EPOA Essex Demographic Forecasts (Jan 2013), the Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts 2012-2037, the Thames Gateway South Essex (TGSE) Strategic Housing Market Assessment (Dec 2013), the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Local Needs Accommodation Assessment (Mar 2014), the Housing Growth Topic Paper (Aug 2013) carried out by Edge Analytics and the Employment Land & Premises Study (Atkins Jul 2013). All of these studies are available on the Council's website and have determined that the Borough's objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller Pitches.</p> <p>The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position</p>	

		<p>within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough.</p> <p>However, the objectively assessed need is a minimum and the Council will assess planning applications in line with adopted policy in the Local Plan and other adopted policy guidance.</p>	
Observations regarding CP1 wording	5239,	<p>Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 1.
More development should be provided in the Serviced Settlements	6036	<p>Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>However, the objectively assessed need is a minimum and the Council will assess planning applications in line with adopted policy in the Local Plan and other adopted policy guidance.</p>	N/A
Should provide greater scope	3103	<p>Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a</p>	N/A

to accommodate development in Langdon Hills		<p>statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>However, the objectively assessed need is a minimum and the Council will assess planning applications in line with adopted policy in the Local Plan and other adopted policy guidance.</p>	
Full Site Allocations should be included	3103	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
More information should be provided on the minor amendments to the Green Belt	3150	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan

		development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	
The release of Green Belt for 99 dwellings or less has not been justified with evidence	5726	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
Prefer Spatial Option 2C	95, 105, 119, 145, 154, 197, 253, 316, 489, 577, 725, 1058, 1337, 1367, 1973, 2790, 2824, 3215, 5184	Noted.	Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.
CP2 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development			
Agree with CP2	154, 4900, 5239, 5311, 5349, 5478, 6171, 6309,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP2	1657, 1726, 1932, 6009, 6565, 6593,	Noted.	N/A

Should mention five year land supply as a material consideration	3150	<p>Core Policy 2 is standard wording required by the Planning Inspectorate to be inserted into Local Plan.</p> <p>In line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the Local Plan should provide sites for years 0-5, 6-10 and broad locations for years 11+. Therefore, it would not be appropriate for Core Policy 2 to mention the five year land supply as a material consideration. The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) also confirms that the five year land supply is not a material consideration to release Green Belt and the appropriate place for this to occur is the Local Plan.</p> <p>It is noted that the PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
The policy requires an in-depth definition of its terms	2001,	Noted. A Glossary was provided at the back of the Core Strategy. However, this can be updated as appropriate.	Consider if the Glossary need updating
Observations regarding CP2	4208	Noted. Core Policy 2 is standard wording required by the Planning Inspectorate to be inserted into Local Plan.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 2.

		The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	
CP3 – Affordable Housing			
Agree with CP3	860, 1234, 1657, 1726, 2374, 3010, 3439, 4045, 4900, 5123, 5311, 6009, 6593, 6637	Noted	N/A
Disagree with CP3	1162, 2068, 5691, 5899,	Noted	N/A
More affordable housing	72, 86, 207, 216, 225, 365, 428, 659, 694, 970, 1175, 1626, 2001, 2068, 4208, 4209, 5143, 6565,	<p>The Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>The Council have also tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of</p>	<p>Continue to monitor and viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.</p>

		<p>affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable.</p> <p>In addition, the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	
Affordable housing is difficult to define in a way that is easily understood	980, 1744, 3223,	<p>Noted. National planning policy requires Local Plans to identify and meet in full the Objectively Assessed Needs for market and affordable housing.</p> <p>For planning purposes, "affordable housing" is defined by the Government's Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) as: "Social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market." The three types are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social housing - owned by the local authorities and private registered providers. Rents informed by the national rent regime. 	N/A

- **Affordable rented housing** - is let by local authorities or private registered providers of social housing to households who are eligible for social rented housing, but it is subject to rent controls that require rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent.
- **Intermediate housing** - is homes for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels. This includes shared ownership and shared equity

The term is not used to describe homes that are available for private open sale (from a developer).

The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA 2013) has evaluated affordability issues across South Essex in light of the need for housing growth and in the context of the need for different affordable housing types (Shared Ownership, Intermediate Rent and Social Housing). It identifies that a target of at least 36% should be established in the Basildon Borough to provide more affordable homes from development schemes. This is an increase from the current Local Plan policy of 15-30% and has been set out in the proposed new Affordable Homes policy.

In addition, the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the

		<p>planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	
The 36% figure should specify whether it is for affordable homes or social housing	443,	<p>Noted. National planning policy requires Local Plans to identify and meet in full the Objectively Assessed Needs for market and affordable housing.</p> <p>For planning purposes, “affordable housing” is defined by the Government’s Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) as: “Social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market.” The three types are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social housing - owned by the local authorities and private registered providers. Rents informed by the national rent regime. • Affordable rented housing - is let by local authorities or private registered providers of social housing to households who are eligible for social rented housing, but it is subject to rent controls that require rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent. • Intermediate housing - is homes for sale 	N/A

		<p>and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels. This includes shared ownership and shared equity</p> <p>The term is not used to describe homes that are available for private open sale (from a developer).</p> <p>The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA 2013) has evaluated affordability issues across South Essex in light of the need for housing growth and in the context of the need for different affordable housing types (Shared Ownership, Intermediate Rent and Social Housing). It identifies that a target of at least 36% should be established in the Basildon Borough to provide more affordable homes from development schemes. This is an increase from the current Local Plan policy of 15-30% and has been set out in the proposed new Affordable Homes policy.</p> <p>In addition, the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	
Support accounting for	3103	Noted. The Council is proposing to introduce a	N/A

viability but 'deferred approach' creates uncertainty and undermines delivery		<p>new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	
The amount of affordable houses should be higher in Billericay and Wickford	4900,	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) to meet their full development needs for market and affordable housing.</p> <p>The NPPF also requires LPAs to ensure that policy requirements do not render development unviable. The Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>The Council have also tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their</p>	Continue to monitor and viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.

		<p>Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable.</p> <p>If a higher amount of affordable housing was proposed in Billericay and Wickford, then it is likely to render developments unviable.</p>	
Too many loop holes for developers to get out of providing 36% of affordable housing	1701, 1714,	Noted. The Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.	N/A
Financial economic assessment should be provided if the 36% provision is not viable. This is shown through assessments in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment where many sites are	3150, 5302, 5478, 6545, 6637	The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA 2013) has evaluated affordability issues across South Essex in light of the need for housing growth and in the context of the need for different affordable housing types (Shared Ownership, Intermediate Rent and Social Housing). It identifies that a target of at least 36% should be established in the Basildon Borough to	Continue to monitor and viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the

unviable		<p>provide more affordable homes from development schemes. This is an increase from the current Local Plan policy of 15-30% and has been set out in the proposed new Affordable Homes policy.</p> <p>The Council have tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable. This has been taken into account when preparing the Core Strategy.</p> <p>However, the viability assessment is a point in time and therefore the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	Borough to meet requirements.
36% affordable housing buts the viability of sites at risk	6309,	The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA 2013) has evaluated affordability issues across South Essex in light of the need for housing	Continue to monitor and viability test the

	<p>growth and in the context of the need for different affordable housing types (Shared Ownership, Intermediate Rent and Social Housing). It identifies that a target of at least 36% should be established in the Basildon Borough to provide more affordable homes from development schemes. This is an increase from the current Local Plan policy of 15-30% and has been set out in the proposed new Affordable Homes policy.</p> <p>The Council have tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable. This has been taken into account when preparing the Core Strategy.</p> <p>However, the viability assessment is a point in time and therefore the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the</p>	amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.
--	---	---

		market improves.	
Ensure affordable housing is appropriately priced	451, 694, 861, 1113, 1425, 3096,	<p>Noted. National planning policy requires Local Plans to identify and meet in full the Objectively Assessed Needs for market and affordable housing.</p> <p>For planning purposes, “affordable housing” is defined by the Government’s Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) as: “Social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market.” The three types are:</p> <p>Social housing - owned by the local authorities and private registered providers. Rents informed by the national rent regime.</p> <p>Affordable rented housing - is let by local authorities or private registered providers of social housing to households who are eligible for social rented housing, but it is subject to rent controls that require rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent.</p> <p>Intermediate housing - is homes for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels. This includes shared ownership, shared equity,</p> <p>The term is not used to describe homes that are</p>	N/A

		available for private open sale (from a developer).	
Affordable houses should be provided where house / land prices are low	2966, 3280, 3291, 3439	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) to meet their full development needs for market and affordable housing.</p> <p>The NPPF also requires LPAs to ensure that policy requirements do not render development unviable. The Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>The Council have also tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the</p>	Continue to monitor and viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.

		<p>viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable.</p> <p>If affordable housing was only proposed in areas where lower land values are, then it is likely to render developments unviable.</p>	
Observations regarding CP3 wording	970, 6009	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 3.
CP4 – Housing Mix			
Agree with CP4	2001, 4900, 5311, 6593, 6637	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP4	970, 1234, 1726,	Noted.	N/A
Having 20 homes or more as a threshold may be more appropriate	1657,	Noted. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Requiring all homes to be lifetime homes is unrealistic	1234, 3150, 5556, 6298, 6309, 6545	The Council will consider the change of Government guidance to consolidate home	Consider building

and will effect viability		standards with building regulations. Further, the Council will carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan to consider how policy requirements affect viability.	regulations changes. Carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan.
Should only house those with a local connection for several years, as well as Armed Forces	3127	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from outside the Borough which is a requirement of national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

	<p>Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Thames Gateway South Essex Strategic Housing Market Assessment (TGSE SHMA) assessed different housing requirements; one of these was Armed Forces. The SHMA noted that the 2011 Census shows that there are no communal establishments or barracks in Thames Gateway South Essex. Further, the Census shows that armed forces personnel living in Thames Gateway South Essex are relatively small in number and live in non-military accommodation, such as market housing subject to normal market mechanisms. It is unlikely, therefore, that service personnel reductions will contribute significantly to increased demand for housing in Thames Gateway South Essex.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities</p>	
--	--	--

		change.	
Specifications of housing type, tenure and size seems overly prescriptive	4208, 5239, 5691,	<p>Noted. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) set out the type of housing mix that is required in the Borough. The Council will monitor the housing mix and ensure that the appropriate mix is being provided across the Borough to meet need as required by the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Specific allocation for specialist units for the elderly should be outlined	428, 3298, 5123, 5311, 5478, 3298,	<p>Noted. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) sets out the amount of elderly accommodation that is required in the Borough. The Council will monitor and ensure that the elderly accommodation is being provided across the Borough to meet the need as required by the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
Smaller houses are required	1744	Noted. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment	The Council will

		<p>(SHMA) set out the type of housing mix that is required in the Borough. The Council will monitor the housing mix and ensure that the appropriate mix is being provided across the Borough to meet need as required by the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Observations regarding CP4 wording	2374,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 4.
CP5 – Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Needs			
Agree with CP5	86, 4900, 6593, 6637	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP5	29, 594, 1066, 1140, 1714, 2001, 2009, 2966, 3258, 4799, 6600, 6641	Noted.	N/A
CP5 is based on unrealistic numbers	216,	Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.

		be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan	
Basildon Council must undertake a land assessment to demonstrate site provision	986, 1066, 2706, 3955, 5220, 6641	Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.
Creating more Gypsy and Traveller pitches will encourage more Gypsy and Travellers to live in the area	1113, 1701, 3258	The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller	N/A

		community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.	
Should not provide more pitches until it can be guaranteed these pitches will be lawfully used	3010	<p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from outside the Borough which is a requirement of national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation</p>	N/A

		<p>Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>Conditions can be put on planning applications, which can be enforced if they are not being complied with.</p>	
Basildon Borough's need is already met with 128 pitches	861, 1234, 1263, 1436, 1474, 2009, 2313, 2781, 3223, 3258, 3955	<p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p>	N/A
Question whether the provision is adequate	451, 694, 1744, 2781, 4208, 6554, 6600	The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local	N/A

		<p>Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p>	
The household formation rates are too low	594,	<p>The Gypsy and Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment states that the household survey for Basildon Borough indicates an age profile for the population which is very typical of those obtained elsewhere by the consultants. Given that the age demographic of Basildon's Gypsy and Traveller community appears to be roughly representative of the wider Gypsy and Traveller population, we consider it appropriate to allow for future projected household growth to occur in line with the long-term national trends identified above. Therefore, an annual growth rate of 2.5% has been used in this assessment. Given that the evidence for</p>	N/A

		<p>population is as low as 1.25% per annum, we consider that this relatively high rate will provide enough pitches to accommodate all newly forming households in Basildon Borough. When including the impact of compound growth, a 2.5% growth per annum provides for 13% growth over 5 years, 28% growth over 10 years, 45% growth over 15 years and 64% over 20 years. Over the same time period, using ONS 2011 based population projections and extrapolating to 2032, the total population of the whole of Basildon Borough is projected to grow by around 20%, with natural change providing for 15% growth and migration a further 5%. Nationally the growth rate projected over the next 20 years for the whole population are around 10% for natural change and 20% when migration is included.</p>	
Immediate need requires to be met in the first 5 years of the Plan (up to 2016). This isn't being met as plan will not be adopted until 2015.	594, 3151, 6598,	<p>Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.</p> <p>The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) prefers</p>	<p>Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>

		<p>Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan</p>	
Sites should be provided for Travelling Showpeople	3280, 3955, 3291, 5220	<p>Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.</p> <p>The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan</p>	<p>Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>
How the sites are to be delivered should be mentioned	594,	<p>Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number</p>	<p>Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.</p>

		<p>of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan. As part of this work, delivery mechanisms for Gypsy and Traveller sites will be explored.</p> <p>The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.
Why is the Council providing for Travellers when they removed them from Dale Farm costing the Council money?	659, 868, 1759, 6641, 8974,	<p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation</p>	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.

		<p>Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>In respect of Dale Farm, planning breaches first came to the Council's notice in 2001. After service of the appropriate notices, the First Secretary of State dismissed various appeals but extended the compliance period by two years. During this time the number of caravans on the site increased significantly, rather than decreased. After that a number of high court decisions brought the legal challenge on planning grounds to a close. Since this time the Council had, alongside planning and preparing for the site clearances here and elsewhere in the Borough, sought to find a means by which the travellers could leave the site, without the need for a forced eviction. However, this was not possible.</p>	
The Council should be providing for the needs of those evicted from Dale Farm	3151, 6653	The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.

		<p>growth.</p> <p>The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan. As part of this work, delivery mechanisms for Gypsy and Traveller sites will be explored.</p>	
The Council are not proposing to meet their full G & T needs and need to work with neighbouring authorities more on this issue.	5220, 5239, 6554, 6572, 6598, 6600, 6653,	<p>Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period.</p>	<p>Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.</p> <p>Continue to work with</p>

		<p>Since the publication of the Revised Preferred Options Report, the Essex wide Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment has been completed.</p> <p>The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan. A workshop will be held with neighbouring authorities and other stakeholders so that they can feed into the findings of the site survey.</p> <p>This work will then be used to form meaningful discussions with neighbouring authorities.</p>	neighbouring authorities and other partners, stakeholders
Neighbouring authorities should take more Gypsy and Traveller provision	1009, 1175, 1657, 1726, 2240, 3439, 3955, 4208	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the</p>	<p>Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.</p> <p>Continue to work with neighbouring authorities and other partners, stakeholders</p>

		<p>natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>Since the publication of the Revised Preferred Options Report, the Essex wide Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment has been completed.</p> <p>The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan. A workshop will be held with neighbouring authorities and other stakeholders so that they can feed into the findings of the site survey.</p> <p>This work will then be used to form meaningful discussions with neighbouring authorities.</p>	
The requirement for PADCs to take G & T sites should be mentioned in the PADCs	2706, 3151, 5220	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
Observations regarding CP5	594, 2186, 3151, 5239,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes	Consider

wording		and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	wording changes for Core Policy 5
CP6 – Estate renewal			
Agree with CP6	9701, 1657, 1726, 2068, 4208, 6565, 6637	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP6	1819,	Noted.	N/A
Observations regarding CP6 wording	2001, 4900, 5123,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 6
CP7 - Supporting and Sustaining the Local Economy			
Agree with CP7	167, 970, 1234, 2001, 3778, 3836, 5239, 5302, 5311, 6171, 6565,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP7 and controlled by the market	4208	Noted. Paragraph 17 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out the core planning principles of decision-taking and planning is to actively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs. Every effort should be made to objectively identify and then meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. Plans should take account of market signals, such as land prices and	N/A

		<p>housing affordability, and set out a clear strategy for allocating sufficient land which is suitable for development in their area, taking account of the needs of the residential and business communities.</p>	
Lower amount of growth would lead to the employment situation being easier to manage	6593	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>

		<p>suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Number of jobs proposed are too high	2068	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The employment land has been determined from the Employment Land and Premises Study, which used the most up to date forecasts and tested a number of variables, such as different number of homes and changes in the economy, to identify an appropriate level of employment land to meet the needs of the Borough's.</p> <p>In addition, building homes counts for around 2.5% of the UK's GDP. Therefore, building more homes contributes to the construction industry, which would need to employ more people if more homes were to be built.</p> <p>Further, the Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's</p>	N/A

		<p>growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs. If the Borough could not accommodate its development needs, then under the Duty, the Council would have to ask neighbouring authorities to Co-operate, as set out in the Localism Act 2011authorities to assist in helping meet the Borough's need.</p>	
Should be NPPF compliant and not require premises to be vacant for 2 years before change of use, should be reduced to 6 months	5349	<p>Noted. The Council are committed to providing for economic and housing needs of the Borough. There is a balance between providing homes and jobs. The Government are currently consulting on making the permitted development rights to turn office units into residential permanent. The Council will have to consider national changes, such as this, in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	Consider new Government agendas, legislation and policy in the next version of the Local Plan.
Should ensure that residential developments can support the local economy	5478,	<p>Noted.</p>	N/A
Should encourage new-equestrian-related small businesses	6648	<p>Noted. All new businesses will be supported.</p>	N/A
Need to improve sustainable transport to employment	1175	<p>Noted. Core Policy 19 encourages the use of sustainable transport and Core Policy 10 supports</p>	Continue to work with

locations		<p>the provision of Green Infrastructure. All Policy Areas for Development and Change (PADCs) require improvements to the public road network and pedestrian and cycling linkages, in accordance with Core Policy 10 and Core Policy 19.</p> <p>The Council will work closely with partners, stakeholders and other relevant bodies to deliver these improvements, where possible.</p>	partners, stakeholder and other relevant bodies to implement more sustainable transport
Details on schools and training providers to attract the appropriate workforce is lacking	4900,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 7.
Other sites suggested to meet employment needs and objectives	5431	<p>The most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Observations regarding CP7 wording	1657, 1726, 2186, 5123, 5311,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 7.

CP8 – Securing Centre Vitality			
Agree with CP8	1234, 1657, 1726, 2001, 2797, 5239, 5311, 6309, 6593,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP8 and support alternative option 1	4208	<p>Noted. However, alternative option 1 gives great weight to developing within town and local centres recognising that they are the most accessible locations. However, such a policy may stifle retail or leisure development which is not appropriate or viable within town and local centres because there would be no means to adequately consider alternative locations.</p> <p>Sequential testing based on a hierarchy of retail and leisure centres, as set out in Core Policy 8 and the NPPF, gives preference to town centre sites whilst supporting sustainable economic development.</p>	N/A
This policy should have regard for the application of the sequential test and impact assessment	2358, 3103	Noted. Core Policy 8(B) and (C) refer to the sequential test and impact assessment respectively.	N/A
The application threshold of 200sqm for the sequential test on extensions is not NPPF compliant	5929,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes to bring the Local Plan in line with the National Planning Policy Framework and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 7.
Out of town retail centres	5929,	Noted. However, the out of centre play an	The Council will

should not be in the retail hierarchy		<p>important role in the retail and leisure economy of the Borough. The Council recognise the need to redefine the role and increase the competitiveness of certain centres to ensure they continue to meet the needs of the local community and maintain viability and vitality. Further out-of-centre development will be carefully controlled to support this.</p> <p>In line with this Core Policy 8, a sequential test and an impact assessment will be applied to any proposals in out of centres.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Plans are not clear in the wider context of the Lakeside expansion and nearby leisure hubs	4900,	<p>Noted. The Council are carrying out a Retail and Commercial Leisure Study. This should consider Lakeside; any proposed expansion and other retail and leisure hubs that may have an impact on the Borough.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
As well as reviewing primary	3103, 5239	Noted. The Council are carrying out a Retail and	Consider

and secondary frontages, the council should also define 'Primary Shopping Areas' and 'Edge of Centre' areas to accord with the NPPF		Commercial Leisure Study. Following this, the Council will consider wording changes to bring the Local Plan in line with the National Planning Policy Framework and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	wording changes for Core Policy 7.
Should include extension to Laindon Town Centre	3103	Noted. The Council are currently working closely with the landowners to bring forward the development. An extension to the Laindon Town Centre could be considered as part of these proposals.	Consider an extension to the Laindon Town Centre in the next version of the Local Plan.
Developments reducing car parking should not be allowed	2001	Noted. However, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities to identify and protect where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice. The NPPF encourages sustainable modes of transport and therefore there should not be an emphasis on car parking.	N/A
Observations regarding CP8 wording	2186, 2358, 3103 4900, 5123, 5929, 6043, 6298, 6302	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 8
CP9 – Conservation and the Natural Environment			
Agree with CP9	86, 970, 1234, 1380, 2001, 2068, 2296,	Noted.	N/A

	3010, 3096, 4045, 4208, 4900, 5123, 5239, 5311, 6565, 6580, 6593		
Disagree with CP9	216	Noted.	N/A
CP9 is inadequate for wildlife and biodiversity	969, 4208	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 9.
The Council can not profess to protect wildlife when they are planning to building on a local wildlife site (PADC4)	1352, 1657, 1726, 3827, 5080, 5809	<p>Noted. The Core Strategy must reflect the fact that PADC4 has planning permission to provide 750 new homes and the following supporting infrastructure – a new local shopping parade, a new primary school, future expansion land for St Luke's Hospice, new open spaces, new roads and upgrades to the A176 and Dry Street, in addition to a relocated college and market in PADC1: Basildon Town Centre.</p> <p>The appropriate assessments were carried out in regard to environmental impact from the development. Partners and stakeholders, such as Natural England, were involved in this decision and comments were taken into account in the decision. The planning application and PADC4 ensure that any impact is appropriately offset and that there is no net loss of biodiversity.</p>	N/A
Green Corridors have not been considered and more partnership wording should be included. A permanent	5809, 6608, 6648	Noted. The Council can consider future Green Corridors in the next version of the Local Plan. The Council will also look into setting up a partnership between the relevant bodies to	Consider Green Corridors in next version of the Local Plan.

forum between Basildon and Thurrock Council's as well as ECC, stakeholder bodies and conservation groups should be established for the long term management of the Langdon ridge.		establish the long term management of Langdon Ridge.	Look into setting up a partnership to manage Langdon Ridge.
Landscape features should not restrict development in all cases	5478	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states "Where appropriate, landscape character assessments should also be prepared, integrated with assessment of historic landscape character, and for areas where there are major expansion options assessments of landscape sensitivity".</p> <p>The Council has carried out a landscape sensitivity assessment, which determines where development could be accommodated and where landscape is sensitive and should be retained. In line with the NPPF, development should respect existing landscape features.</p>	N/A
Evidence regarding conservation of highly sensitive landscape is not supported as the scoring does not recognise local variation within areas	5726	<p>For the purposes of the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options, the Council only looks at broad locations for strategic level development, which is in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.

		published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	
Observations regarding CP9 wording	1744, 5239, 6066, 6091	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 9.
CP10 – Green Infrastructure			
Agree with CP10	970, 2001, 2068, 4208, 4900, 5239, 5311, 5726, 5809, 6009, 6309, 6565, 6580, 6593	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP10	642, 1657	Noted.	N/A
It should be recognised that Green Infrastructure can be multi-functional land	5123, 6565, 6608	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 10.
Existing open spaces should be designated as Local Green Spaces and expanded. Those that are lost should be replaced	428, 1234, 1318, 2009, 3236, 3258, 3990, 6020	Noted. The Council is trying through the Local Plan to avoid building on green spaces. It accepts that green spaces are vital components of where people live and work and support wildlife, drainage management and recreation, as well as promote better health and well-being. The Council have adopted an open space assessment, which is used to evaluate the qualitative and	Consider whether existing open spaces should be designated as Local Green Spaces in the next version of

		<p>quantitative provision of open space in the Borough. The open space assessment is used to determine areas of open space that should not be lost.</p> <p>Core Policy 10 requires:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance and enhancement of open space; • Provision of open space as part of new development; and • Minimising the loss of open space. 	the Local Plan.
Do not want school playing fields to be designated as open space	5239,	Noted.	N/A
New allotments should be provided	2009	Noted. Allotments are the responsibility of Parish Council but the Core Strategy can consider wording in the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider wording changes in regard to allotments
Observations regarding CP10	955, 5239, 5809,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 10.
CP11 – Green Belt			
Agree with CP11	86, 1234, 2374, 2966, 3206, 4126, 4900, 5311, 6593, 6648	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP11	21, 1263, 1714, 1819, 2152, 3116, 3590,	Noted.	N/A

	4460, 4799, 6031		
Allocating 375 dwellings in plotlands is contrary to Part 9 of the NPPF	6031	<p>Part 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that ‘as with previous green belt policy, inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances’.</p> <p>However, the NPPF also supports infill development and the government remains desperate to get house building moving and is promoting the great potential that self build offers to achieve that aim. It is the opinion of Basildon Council that further policy changes are needed to bring about a step change in the availability of land for self build.</p> <p>The Revised Preferred Options Core Strategy proposes a dwelling provision of 375 homes to be shared between the 13 Plotland settlements in the Borough. This kind of development would be allowed to come forward through an exception to Green Belt policy as stated in Core Policy 11 of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013. This would principally include infill development on vacant plots between existing dwellings on an existing road frontage, or on a corner plot.</p> <p>Further policy on appropriate development within the Green Belt will be set out in the Local Plan Site Allocations and Development</p>	Basildon Council will pursue an application for the Right to Build Vanguard scheme, and promote the Council’s justification for this proposed change to Green Belt policy in order to enable low density rural locations to help meet local need through providing alternative locations for self-build.

		Management Policies and/or a Plotland Settlement Infill Supplementary Planning Document.	
Disagree with minor amendments to Green Belt of 99 units or less. 99 units are too large.	1234, 4045, 5407	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
This policy will not be effective until the Site Allocations documents is completed	3103, 3150, 6043	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan
Serious concerns regarding the evidence that underpins this policy	2979, 5478, 5520, 5726	The Council will ensure that inaccuracies are rectified. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of

		<p>supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Schools should be mentioned as an exception to the policy	5239	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out exceptions to the inappropriate development in the Green Belt. Schools are not listed as an exception under this policy. Therefore to include schools as an exception in this policy would not be in accordance with the NPPF.</p>	N/A
Green Belt land should be safeguarded	365, 621, 1140, 1175, 1202, 1218, 1245, 1318, 1424, 1436, 1473, 1474, 1493, 1614, 1626, 1673, 1738, 1744, 1782, 1827, 2137, 2162, 2315, 2727, 2738, 2834, 2872, 2883, 3010, 3031, 3056, 3096, 3280, 3291, 3356, 3378, 3590, 3614, 3827, 3836, 3973, 3990, 4165, 4208, 4209, 4752, 6009, 6020, 6309, 7236	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the</p>	N/A

		Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.	
The Council is building on Green Belt which is contrary to CP11.	216, 456, 627, 724, 860, 861, 980, 1291, 1378, 1537, 1620, 1657, 1701, 1726, 1730, 1738, 2009, 2068, 2107, 2122, 2143, 2192, 2894, 3064, 3148, 3206, 3661, 4031, 5123, 5420, 6565, 6625, 6990, 8974	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Policy Areas for Development and Change (PADCs) which set out the strategic development locations will be removed from the Green Belt and therefore this policy will no longer be applicable to these areas.</p>	N/A
The Council should specify in the policy the reason for urban extensions in the Green Belt to offer clarification	5691, 5899	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 11.
Support infill but the infill	1658, 5899	Noted. Core Policy 11 sets out that the limited	Consider

policy is too limited		<p>residential infill will be supported by the Council and provides some criteria to set some parameters. It also states that further policy on appropriate development within the Green Belt will be set out in the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies and / or Plotland Settlement Infill Supplementary Planning Document.</p> <p>The Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the National Planning was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies.</p> <p>Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	whether to prepare a single Local Plan
Areas of Green Belt that are under used can be appropriate for development.	154, 428, 1688, 2824	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.

		<p>Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Council carried out a Green Belt review to identify areas of the Green Belt that could accommodate development in line with NPPF.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the results of the Green Belt Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Green Belt that remains after urban extensions should be protected more vigorously and more enforcement carried out	3439	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the</p>	N/A

		<p>Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Policy Areas for Development and Change (PADCs) which set out the strategic development locations will be removed from the Green Belt and therefore this policy will no longer be applicable to these areas.</p> <p>When a planning application is approved for the PADCs, conditions can be put on the approval .If the developer does not comply with these conditions, and then the Council can legally enforce these.</p>	
Observations regarding CP11		Noted.	Consider wording of Policy CP11 in light of observations.
CP12 – Conserving the Historic Environment			
Agree with CP12	970, 1234, 1657, 1726, 2001, 2186, 5478, 4900, 5123, 5311, 6593,	Noted.	N/A
Archaeological discoveries should prevent development proceeding further	4208	<p>Noted. Core Policy 12: Historic Environment proposes to strengthen the consideration of the historic environment in future planning decisions in the Borough.</p> <p>The Council will work closely with English Heritage and Essex County Council historic</p>	Review CP12 in light of advice from English Heritage on policy detail needed.

		environment team if any archaeological discoveries are made.	Continue to work with English Heritage and Essex County Council historic environment team.
Need to account for the Zeppelin disaster site.	2727, 2738, 2872, 2883,	<p>Noted. The Council have carried out a Historic Characterisation Environment Assessment, which considered all the historic assets in the Borough. The Council have taken this in account when selecting potential development locations.</p> <p>The Council will work closely with English Heritage and Essex County Council historic environment team to account for any impact to the Zeppelin disaster site.</p>	Continue to work with English Heritage and Essex County Council historic environment team.
Observations regarding CP12 wording	970, 2186, 5239, 6298, 6593,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 12.
CP13 – Design and the Built Environment			
Agree with CP13	970, 1234, 1657, 1726, 2001, 2186, 4208, 5123, 5311, 6565, 6637	Noted.	N/A
Concerned by the requirements of the Lifetime Homes Standards and the	2374, 6298, 6309, 6545	The Council will consider the change of Government guidance to consolidate home standards with building regulations. Further, the	Consider building regulations

effect it will have on viability		Council will carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan to consider how policy requirements affect viability.	changes. Carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan.
Should provide more detail on the character of the borough's areas and clarify appropriate density levels	4209, 5302	The Council have carried out a number of studies. In 2004, the Council carried out an Urban Land Capacity Study, which considered the densities within the Borough. The Urban Land Capacity Study considered all settlements within the Borough. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 13.
Billericay Design Statement should be include in evidence base	1234,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 13.
Observations regarding CP13 wording	970, 4900, 5239, 5311, 5478,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 13.
CP14 – Protecting Environmental Quality			
Agree with CP14	5123, 5311, 6565, 6580, 6593,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP14	2001	Noted.	N/A
The changing world climate is reducing food production yields	1744	Noted.	N/A

Surveys of wildlife should be taken	4208	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Plan Authorities to prepare a local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. The Council assess all Wildlife Sites in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, in the Green Belt Study, in the Landscape Study and Sustainability Appraisal. The Council work closely with Natural England, Essex Wildlife Trust and other wildlife species to ensure that any development offsets any impact to Local Wildlife Sites. Core Policy 9: Conservation and Natural Environment requires that the natural environment should be protected, enhanced, restored and increased in partnership with conservation bodies.	N/A
Mitigation measures can be implemented to overcome such constraints but should be phased	5478, 6580	Noted. The Council has considered all environmental constraints when formulating the PADCs and Core Policies and further mitigation work and phasing would occur at the planning application stage.	N/A
The policy should highlight the role of the Essex and Southend-on-Sea Waste Local Plan	5239,	Noted.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 14.
The policy should show commitment to include space for recycling facilities in new development	5239,	Noted.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 14.

proposals			
Code 5 and 6 homes could make developments unviable and this has not been modelled through the viability assessment	3150, 6545,	The Council will consider the change of Government guidance to consolidate home standards with building regulations. Further, the Council will carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan to consider how policy requirements affect viability.	Consider building regulations changes.
CP15 – Maximising Energy Efficiency			
Agree with CP15	2001, 5311, 5302, 6593,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP15 as alternative option 2 should be adopted	4208, 6309, 6593	Noted.	Review appropriateness of Core Policy 15 in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.
Solar Farms should be considered acceptable in the Green Belt	6565,	Whilst the Council is supportive of renewable technologies, however the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out exceptions to the inappropriate development in the Green Belt. Renewable technologies are not listed as an exception under this policy. Therefore to include renewable technologies as an exception in this policy would not be in accordance with the NPPF.	N/A
Consideration of the potential changes to the Code for Sustainable Homes	3150, 5311, 5556, 6009, 6163, 6545,	The Council will consider the change of Government guidance to consolidate home standards with building regulations. Further, the	Consider building regulations

requirements and their link with Building Regulations has not been considered		Council will carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan to consider how policy requirements affect viability.	changes.
Code Level 4 will increase house prices creating a barrier for potential buyers	1234, 5123	The Council will carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan to consider how policy requirements affect viability.	N/A
No justification is given for the requirement of going beyond the requirement of building regulations for Code for Sustainable homes	6298,	Up formulation of Core Policy 15 the Government indicated that a higher level of Code for Sustainable Homes would be required. However in light of the Government guidance to consolidate home standards with building regulations the Council will consider changing the policy.	Consider building regulations changes.
More weight should be given to Code for Sustainable Homes	5478,	In light of the Government guidance to consolidate home standards with building regulations the Council will consider changing the policy as Code for Sustainable Homes may not be in place in the future.	Consider building regulations changes.
Observations regarding CP15 wording	2186, 5239, 6309,	Noted.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 15.
CP16 – Flood Risk and Drainage Management			
Agree with CP16	1100, 2001, 3176, 5311, 6648	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP16	2137, 4208	Noted.	N/A
Concerned that the removal of trees will increase flooding	3116	The Council have had regard to the Basildon Borough Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA, 2011) and the Local Plan Flood Risk Sequential Test Report (Dec 2013) in determining its	The Council will work in partnership with the Water

		<p>preferred option and the yields of potential development sites located in flood risk areas, and will continue to do so in any future revisions and throughout the Local Development Plan process. The SFRA, alongside the National Planning Policy Framework highlight the importance of regard to flooding in an area. Direct mention of flood risk has been indentified in Core Policy 16.</p>	<p>Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p>
Need to revisit flooding policy following floods of Winter 2013	451, 1234, 2143, 3280, 3291, 3439, 4208, 4209, 6990	<p>The Council have had regard to the Basildon Borough Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA, 2011) and the Local Plan Flood Risk Sequential Test Report (Dec 2013) in determining its preferred option and the yields of potential development sites located in flood risk areas, and will continue to do so in any future revisions and throughout the Local Development Plan process. The SFRA, alongside the National Planning Policy Framework highlight the importance of regard to flooding in an area. Direct mention of flood risk</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS</p>

		has been indentified in Core Policy 16.	Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.
Drainage and underground streams should be mapped	2009	The Council have had regard to the Basildon Borough Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA, 2011) and the Local Plan Flood Risk Sequential Test Report (Dec 2013) in determining its preferred option and the yields of potential development sites located in flood risk areas, and will continue to do so in any future revisions and throughout the Local Development Plan process. The SFRA, alongside the National Planning Policy Framework highlight the importance of regard to flooding in an area. Direct mention of flood risk has been indentified in Core Policy 16.	The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to

			ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.
Requesting contributions for drainage measures falls outside the remit of a reasonable S106 contribution from the developer	5311, 5478,	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It is appreciated by the Council that it would be unreasonable to ask for planning contributions as part of every s106 agreement, or to rectify existing drainage issues.</p> <p>However, the Council can impose planning conditions if the works are required to mitigate the negative impact of a development that would otherwise make it unacceptable, including drainage works.</p> <p>The six tests for imposing a planning condition, and the circumstances where a local planning authority cannot impose a condition are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conditions which unreasonable impact on the deliverability of a development. 2. Conditions reserving details already submitted as part of an outline application 3. Conditions requiring development to be carried out in its entirety. 4. Conditions requiring compliance with 	N/A

		<p>other regulatory requirements (e.g. Building Regulations).</p> <p>5. Conditions requiring land to be given up.</p> <p>6. Positively worded conditions requiring payment of money or other consideration.</p>	
Should secure contributions from Thameside tidal defences in line with TE2100. Riverside Strategies should be included	6580	Noted.	Consider the proposed changes to policy wording.
Observations regarding CP16	5239,	Noted.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 16.
CP17 – Health and Well-Being			
Agree with CP17	4208, 5311, 6309, 6565, 6593, 6648,	Noted.	N/A
Need to expand Basildon Hospital	1726, 3258	<p>Noted. The Core Strategy aims to improve sustainable modes of transport in the Borough. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to</p>	<p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks</p>

	<p>infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with NHS Care Commissioning Group and Basildon Hospital to determine their development needs.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population</p>
--	---	---

			projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.
Extensions and refurbishments are seen as a cost effective improvement to healthcare infrastructure and a Health Impact Assessment should be provided	6631	<p>Noted. The Council will continue to work with NHS Care Commissioning Group and Basildon Hospital to determine their development needs.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.
Observations regarding CP17 wording	5123, 6631	Noted.	Consider wording changes

			for Core Policy 17.
CP18 – Education, Community, Leisure and Community Facilities			
Agree with CP18	956, 3010, 3836, 5311, 6547	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with CP18	1726, 4208	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with the college moving to Basildon Town Centre	1726,	Noted. The Core Strategy must reflect the fact that PADC4 has planning permission to provide 750 new homes and the following supporting infrastructure – a new local shopping parade, a new primary school, future expansion land for St Luke's Hospice, new open spaces, new roads and upgrades to the A176 and Dry Street, in addition to a relocated college and market in PADC1: Basildon Town Centre.	N/A
More secondary schools are needed	1726,	Noted. The Core Strategy aims to improve sustainable modes of transport in the Borough. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.	The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of

	<p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Education and Highways Authority to understand the development needs of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development</p>
--	---	--

			requirements of the Borough.
More emphasis on education is needed	956, 5239,	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
These facilities should be expanded	1175, 2001, 5143,	Noted. All new development will be required to provide the necessary facilities and services to support the development.	N/A
Should bring policy in line with National Planning Policy Framework and these revised terms should be used in the Glossary	6547	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
The Council has failed to provide outdoor sporting opportunities in Billericay	1650,	Playing fields and essential facilities such as changing rooms are compatible land uses in the Green Belt and therefore such a scheme could still come forward without a specific policy. The Council is seeking the provision of new strategic open space as part of the Borough's urban extensions and in the A130 corridor to help maintain the strategic Green Belt gap between Basildon and Thundersley.	N/A
There should be an additional policy to encourage cultural activities	6593,	Noted. The Council will consider policy and wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
This policy should ensure that leisure and cultural	5123	Noted. The Council are preparing a Retail and Commercial Leisure Study which will inform the	Carry out a Retail and

facilities are distributed evenly throughout the borough and not just in Basildon		next version of the Local Plan. All new development will be required to provide the necessary facilities and services to support the development, which may include leisure and retail.	Commercial Leisure Study.
Observations regarding CP18 wording	5239, 5478, 6547	Noted.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 18.
CP19 – Transport Infrastructure			
Agree with CP19	86, 5123, 5311, 6565,	Noted.	N/A
Disagree / concerned about CP19	216, 1263, 1726, 1819, 3010, 5478, 6171,	Noted.	N/A
Concerned the policy does not promote door to door sustainable options	4208, 5239,	Noted. The Core Strategy aims to improve sustainable modes of transport in the Borough. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
Existing infrastructure can be used in some areas	2966	Noted.	N/A
Crossrail will not improve services for Billericay as it is replacing the metro service	1234	Noted. The Core Strategy aims to improve sustainable modes of transport in the Borough. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of	The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care

	<p>the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will</p>
--	--	--

			keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.
Unrealistic trying to reduce car usage	1657	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework requires Local Planning Authorities to try and reduce the number of cars and promote sustainable modes of transport.	N/A
Infrastructure needs improving	428, 621, 860, 1042, 1175, 1245, 1769, 2001, 2143, 2315, 2805, 2936, 3040, 3064, 3439, 3590, 3754, 4209, 4634	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS</p>

	<p>for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to</p>	<p>Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>
--	---	---

		<p>introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
Roads should be planted with native trees and cycle routes should be included in designs	1744, 6608	<p>Noted. This is too specific for the Core Strategy. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	<p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>
The IDP should inform the next version of the Local Plan	5302	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under</p>	N/A

		<p>further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment</p>	
Observations regarding CP19	6648	Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
CP20 – Securing Investment in Infrastructure			
Agree with CP20	1380, 2068, 4045, 5239, 5302, 5311, 5478, 6580, 6593, 6648	Noted.	N/A
Disagree with / concerned about CP20	216, 2137, 4208	Noted.	N/A
Early years and childcare provision should be included	5239,	Noted. The Council will consider childcare and early year provision in the next version of the Local Plan.	Consider early years and childcare provision in next version of the

			Local Plan.
CIL contributions should be collected when plans are developed.	2001,	Noted.	N/A
Need to ensure there is enough infrastructure provision in place and before development	399, 443, 1068, 1186, 1234, 1626, 1726, 2058, 2908, 2928, 3096, 4209, 4829, 5123,	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed</p>

	<p>Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the</p>	<p>development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of</p>
--	---	---

		<p>impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	the Borough.
The plan does not make provision for more school places	1544,	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the</p>

	<p>which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex</p>	<p>infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how</p>
--	---	--

		<p>County Council as the Education Authority and Highways Authority to understand the education and transport context of the Borough.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	these impact the development requirements of the Borough.
Observations regarding CP20 wording	627, 1657	<p>Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
Contributions should not pose a threat to viability	3103, 3150, 5691, 5899, 6545	<p>Noted. The Council will carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan to consider how policy requirements affect viability.</p>	Carry out a viability assessment of the Local Plan.
The improvements to accommodate development and their impact on businesses should be noted.	6171	<p>Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Consider wording changes for Core Policy 20.
Concerned about the requirement of infrastructure in advance of new development	4460, 6298, 6309	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for</p>	Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated

	<p>growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of</p>	<p>evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable</p>
--	---	---

		<p>the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
Concerned about the level of homes and the impact it will have on infrastructure in surrounding areas	2240, 3116, 5184	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter</p>

	<p>the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under</p>	<p>proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service</p>
--	--	---

		<p>further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
The policy refers to the IDP, yet this does not exist	6043,	Noted. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be used to inform the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and this will eventually inform the Community	N/A

		Infrastructure Levy.	
Unspecified / No comment	6, 46, 242, 390, 470, 679, 894, 1886, 1954, 2076, 2088, 2299, 2334, 2352, 2768, 2955, 3184, 3195, 3247, 3507, 3717, 3797, 3876, 4130, 4702, 4737, 5165, 5460, 5674, 5830, 5868, 5957, 6054, 6144, 6205, 7217,	Noted.	N/A
No enough time to comment / cant find all 20 policies	653, 1565, 1601, 4460, 4965	Noted.	N/A
General Comments / PADCs			
The proposed housing and economic requirements are too high	3116,	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK</p>

	<p>employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure</p>	<p>Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest</p>
--	---	---

		<p>investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
Agree need to build homes but should avoid oversaturation	281, 1899, 1900, 1901, 3439, 3836	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS</p>

	<p>for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to</p>	<p>Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>
--	---	---

		<p>introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
Each site should be considered on its own merit.	2279	Noted.	N/A
Growth should not all be focused on Basildon	4644	Noted.	N/A
New Town should be provided with the necessary infrastructure	417	<p>Noted. The Local Planning Authority has a statutory duty to provide for its development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	<p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p>

		<p>The Spatial Options Topic Paper explains that the evidence base clearly demonstrates the Borough can not accommodate a new settlement due to its size and existing settlement locations.</p> <p>Further, the Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs. If the Borough could not accommodate its development needs, then under the Duty to Co-operate, as set out in the Localism Act 2011, the Council would have to ask neighbouring authorities to assist in helping meet the Borough's need.</p> <p>There is no mechanism at present to re-distribute growth and therefore it must be decided at the Local level.</p>	
Strategic Objective 1 should include Green Corridors that have been mapped	6608	Noted.	Consider wording changes to Strategic Objective 1.
Strategic Objective 5 should provide more support for local businesses, allowing	5349	Noted.	Consider wording changes to Strategic

them to adapt			Objective 5.
Support PADC1 to improve night time economy	6637	Noted.	N/A
Too much A1 retail and not enough A3 or D1 – D2 in PADC1. There is an oversupply of office space that does not meet needs. Comments on other specific elements of the masterplan, including certain areas being used, cinema not viable, parking, seating and moving the market and college	1658, 2999	<p>PADC1 provides the strategic policy for Basildon Town Centre and is delivered by a Town Centre Masterplan, which must be periodically reviewed by the Council and its Development Partner Barratt/Wilson Bowden to reflect changing market activity and regeneration opportunities.</p> <p>The Council has committed to update its Retail and Leisure Capacity Study in 2014 to take into account post-recession forecasts and the more competitive and consolidated retail environment. The results of this will be used to inform further revisions to the Local Plan Core Strategy and any town centre masterplans.</p> <p>PADC1 and the Masterplan assume that some of the town centre's redundant office space will be converted to residential development or redeveloped for other town centre uses.</p> <p>Relocating Basildon Market into St Martin's Square and Basildon College to the current market site are proposals which already have planning permission.</p>	Complete update of Retail and Leisure Capacity Study and use to inform future Local Plan Core Strategy updates and updates to town centre masterplans.
Question 2,000 units in PADC1. Unsure on when masterplan is out of date and need to review when development will be coming	3150	The quantum of residential development in PADC1 is generated from the evidence used to prepare the Basildon Town Centre Masterplan Interim Supplementary Planning Document - December 2012 including a housing market review by CBRE and detailed appraisal work for	The Council will keep the residential potential of Basildon Town

forward		<p>each of the eight character areas of the town centre.</p> <p>The Masterplan provides a more detailed spatial framework to deliver the broad objectives of PADC1 and shows the current proposal for how different parts of the town centre could change over time to create a more diverse and vibrant area. The inclusion of a new residential element is fundamental to this and to date there has been demand in office to residential conversion.</p> <p>The Masterplan must be periodically reviewed by the Council and its Development Partner Barratt/Wilson Bowden to reflect changing market activity and regeneration opportunities on an interval of no longer than 7 years.</p> <p>Specific sites within the town centre are included in the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) which is reviewed annually and planning pipeline sites are monitored as part of the annual Residential Land Availability Survey</p>	<p>Centre under review through periodic reviews of the Town Centre</p> <p>Masterplan and annual updates to its Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA) and Residential Land Availability Survey.</p>
Support PADC2	1658, 6637	Noted.	N/A
PADC2 should include the same area as the Preferred Options Report. Council do not own land in PADC2, policy must recognise that development opportunities can come forward on other sites in the meantime	3103	Noted. Further partnership working with the NHS and the Commissioning Care Group in bringing proposals forward is supported by the Council.	Continue to work with the NHS and their Commissioning Care Group.

In PADC2, Laindon Health Centre would be subject to NHS Business Care approval procedures	6631	Noted. Further partnership working with the NHS and the Commissioning Care Group in bringing proposals forward is supported by the Council.	Continue to work with the NHS and their Commissioning Care Group.
Support PADC3	3150, 6637	Noted.	N/A
Current leisure facilities in PADC3 would be lost. Should be re-provided in New Town or left where they are	1658	<p>Noted. The Employment Land & Premises Study (ELPS) identified that the enterprise corridor (PADC3) would require the retention of all B-class units (and additional B-class units) to maintain Basildon's status as an employment hub within the wider Thames Gateway and Essex area, and to support its local economy. The ELPS removed strategic areas of different use classes, for example Festival Leisure Park, from the enterprise corridor to improve flexibility of the wider area.</p> <p>The Council has carried a study into 'Leisure, Arts, Culture & Tourist accommodation in Basildon District and an update of Prospects for Retail Sector Capacity – July 2010' and has commissioned further work to update this Retail & Leisure study in order to identify existing other use class facilities in the Borough, the future needs for additional retail and other employment uses, facilities and the capacity for Basildon's centres to meet demand through identification of sites.</p>	<p>The Council is updating its Retail & Leisure Study and will consider explicit accounting of non-B Class employment uses as the Local Plan progresses.</p> <p>Consider policy wording of PADC3.</p>

		The Council, will keep this under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event of any evidence or priorities change.	
PADC3 should not be protected for B-class only	5349	<p>Noted. The Employment Land & Premises Study (ELPS) identified that the enterprise corridor (PADC3) would require the retention of all B-class units (and additional B-class units) to maintain Basildon's status as an employment hub within the wider Thames Gateway and Essex area, and to support its local economy. The ELPS removed strategic areas of different use classes, for example Festival Leisure Park, from the enterprise corridor to improve flexibility of the wider area.</p> <p>The Council has carried a study into 'Leisure, Arts, Culture & Tourist accommodation in Basildon District and an update of Prospects for Retail Sector Capacity – July 2010' and has commissioned further work to update this Retail & Leisure study in order to identify existing other use class facilities in the Borough, the future needs for additional retail and other employment uses, facilities and the capacity for Basildon's centres to meet demand through identification of sites.</p> <p>The Council, will keep this under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event of any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>The Council is updating its Retail & Leisure Study and will consider explicit accounting of non-B Class employment uses as the Local Plan progresses.</p> <p>Consider policy wording of PADC3.</p>

Support PADC4 although should be more flexible than the approved application	3150, 6637	Noted.	Consider wording changes to PADC4.
PADC4 relocation of the equestrian centre should provide enhanced access to the Marshes	6648	Noted.	N/A
Do not support PADC4	1658, 3827	Noted.	N/A
Do not support PADC5 as will lost Dunton Village	1658, 2127	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the</p>	<p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with neighbouring authorities under the Duty to Cooperate to ensure that the character and identity of settlements within the Basildon Borough are</p>

		<p>appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	retained.
Timeframe for PADC5 is not robust	3150	<p>Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased</p>

		<p>Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	<p>so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>
In PADC5 Health Centre would be subject to NHS Business Care approval procedures	6631	<p>Noted. Further partnership working with the NHS and the Commissioning Care Group in bringing proposals forward is supported by the Council.</p>	<p>Continue to work with the NHS and their Commissioning Care Group.</p>
Support PADC6	3150	<p>Noted.</p>	N/A
Concerned about PADC6 and the consideration of reasonable alternatives / transport implications / Green Belt erosion	1658, 3159, 6572	<p>Noted. The reasonable alternative to PADC 6 was assessed to the same level of detail as the other PADCs.</p> <p>The completion of Transport Modelling and new/updated evidence may mean these areas need to be reconsidered to determine whether Spatial Option 2A and the locations chosen for development as part of it, remain appropriate.</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>

		<p>The PADCs at this stage are indicative and do not provide site boundaries. Development at PADC6 would have to ensure that an appropriate open space buffer was provided to avoid coalescence.</p> <p>The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan</p>	
PADC7 is supported but inaccessible by public transport	4209, 6608	Noted. The Core Strategy encourages the implementation of public transport. However, the demand for public transport has to be evidenced otherwise it is unviable.	N/A
Support PADC9	3150	Noted.	N/A
Should bring timeframe forward for PADC10 and evidence is required to justify primary school and sub-station	3150	Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will

		<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Infrastructure providers on the next version of the Local Plan to determine what is required to support development, in line with the NPPF. These requirements will be subject to a viability assessment to ensure that the policy requirements will not impact viability of the development.</p>	<p>also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>
Questions 40% affordable housing and limited at 220 for PADC11. Timescales should be brought forward.	3150	<p>The Addendum set out in the back of the Core Strategy sets out that 40% on PADC11 should read 36% and that it should read at least 220 rather than up to 220 homes.</p> <p>The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in</p>

		<p>Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	<p>later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>
All PADCs should include a bridleway access and multi-use access is provided	6648	Noted.	Consider wording changes in the next version of the Local Plan.
The core policies are	5302	Noted. The Policy Areas for Development and	The Council will

<p>repeated within the PADCs. PADC policies should focus on the overarching policy objectives for the PADC sites and clearly list the infrastructure requirements associated with development at these locations.</p>		<p>Change provide certainty in regard to the delivery and policy requirements for the locations. This relate to the Core Policies, however both have different remits.</p> <p>The PADCs criteria are specific to that broad location and supported area for growth. The Core Policies is uniformed policies that can be used to assess all development proposals and planning applications.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>
<p>Glad that all PADCS contain environmental constraints</p>	6580	<p>Noted.</p>	N/A
<p>A consistent approach to healthcare across the PADCs is required, including the same wording used</p>	6631	<p>Noted. The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>Consider wording changes for healthcare across all PADCs</p>
<p>Consultation responses will not be listened to.</p>	680, 1306, 2127, 2696	<p>The consultation Statement document sets out how the consultation was advertised. The consultation was publicised for 10 weeks in local press, on buses, train stations, in town centre based adverts/bill boards, on the Council website and on local radio.</p> <p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning</p>	N/A

		<p>Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	
--	--	---	--

Question 8 – Do you have any more comments?

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Supports growth	4124, 4848	Noted	N/A
Raises questions the soundness of the document due to deliverability issues and uncertainty	5231	Noted.	Council to consider whether format changes to Local Plan will aide deliverability and certainty issues for development locations.
Little Burstead and Noak Bridge should take some development	30	<p>Noted. Landscape, Green Belt and Sustainability evidence demonstrated that Little Burstead is not a suitable location to accommodate strategic development. The same evidence however indicated that land a broad location to the east and north east of Noak Bridge may offer potential to accommodate development needs in the future, subject to measures to manage flood risk.</p> <p>However, the Council rejecting the option as development to the north of Basildon would have significant impact upon the western section of A127 and the A132, which are already nearing full capacity.</p> <p>Additionally, the landscape and historic value, the topography and rural nature of the area, alongside the important role this location plays in the separation of Billericay, Crays Hill and Basildon would all be adversely compromised.</p>	Review suitability of broad location to the east and north east of Noak Bridge to accommodate development.
Oppose development around Bowers Gifford as would cause problems for existing community	64	<p>Noted. Development around Bowers Gifford would not occur through the Local Plan devoid of infrastructure improvements. What needs to be ascertained however is the amount of development the area can accommodate and the commensurate infrastructure works that are required to suitably mitigate the development's impact.</p>	Review suitability of Bowers Gifford location once full infrastructure testing is complete.
Do not agree with growth, south east is overcrowded and settlements will lose their character and identity	221, 226, 234, 266, 481, 660, 946, 1059, 1141, 1478, 1540, 1627, 1702, 1735, 1783, 1795, 1820, 2033, 2071, 2085, 2133, 2158, 2220, 2307, 2806, 2816, 3041, 3057, 3076, 3185, 3756, 4005, 4106, 4219, 4550, 4686, 4952, 5007, 5036, 5039, 5113, 5141, 8901	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that</p>	Council should consider strengthening policies on local character to suitably guide development in the Borough to avoid loss of character and identify.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>Further, the Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs. If the Borough could not accommodate its development needs, then under the Duty to Co-operate, as set out in the Localism Act 2011, the Council would have to ask neighbouring authorities</p>	<p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages</p> <p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		to assist in helping meet the Borough's need.	
Understand there is a need for growth	3617, 3830, 4574, 5890,	Noted.	N/A
Will lose Green Belt land forever	322, 526, 668, 687, 688, 789, 862, 863, 1002, 1126, 1187, 1203, 1280, 1292, 1304, 1359, 1381, 1455, 1515, 1534, 1735, 1766, 1820, 1866, 2055, 2085, 2132, 2148, 2321, 2967, 3032, 3057, 3065, 3076, 3321, 3326, 3327, 3335, 3347, 3349, 3357, 3540, 3544, 3366, 3369, 3377, 3382, 3391, 3399, 3414, 3415, 3420, 3423, 3427, 3431, 3447, 3453, 3458, 3463, 3468, 3479, 3480, 3487, 3492, 3497, 3500, 3508, 3516, 3534, 3535, 3552, 3556, 3560, 3564, 3572, 3580, 3597, 3608, 3617, 3624, 3627, 3632, 3637, 3643, 3646, 3654, 3659, 3667, 3672, 3677, 3685, 3686, 3692, 3701, 3704, 3709, 3719, 3741, 3749, 3760, 3370, 3375, 3785, 3795, 3803, 3808, 3813, 3818, 3819, 3828, 3830, 3844, 3849, 3852, 3856, 3859, 3863, 3867, 3884, 3888, 3893, 3897, 3901, 3905, 3910, 3915, 3919, 3924, 3929, 3933, 3940, 3948, 3954, 3958, 3962, 3972, 3897, 3989, 3996, 4006, 4020, 4024, 4036, 4052, 4062, 4068, 4077, 4084, 4088, 4097, 4097, 4103, 4110, 4114, 4117, 4122, 4132, 4133, 4139, 4143, 4146, 4152, 4158, 4167, 4176, 4177, 4183, 4185, 4190, 4192, 4195, 4203, 4210, 4217, 4222, 4226, 4231, 4233, 4240, 4243, 4248, 4258, 4269, 4270, 4273, 4277, 4280, 4283, 4286, 4289, 4345, 4358, 4363, 4366, 4370, 4385, 4389, 4394, 4402, 4406, 4411, 4417, 4419, 4472, 4461, 4477, 4480, 4484, 4488, 4492, 4500, 4506, 4512, 4514, 4516, 4520, 4535, 4538, 4543, 4557, 4594, 4604, 4611, 4616, 4623, 4627, 4650, 4655, 4659, 4666, 4667, 4675,	Noted. The Council has reviewed the whole of the Borough's Green Belt against the five purposes which are set out in the NPPF. The NPPF permits Green Belt boundaries to be changed in very exceptional circumstances, but only through a Local Plan review, which is what the Council is currently undertaking.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	4676, 4684, 4700, 4710, 4715, 4721, 4722, 4725, 4728, 4738, 4741, 4746, 4760, 4763, 4768, 4771, 4775, 4781, 4790, 4793, 4798, 4801, 4810, 4816, 4856, 4860, 4865, 4869, 4875, 4876, 4880, 4887, 4898, 4905, 4908, 4912, 4917, 4920, 4794, 4929, 4933, 4938, 4942, 4958, 4975, 4991, 5003, 5007, 5011, 5017, 5022, 5027, 5031, 5035, 5039, 5048, 5053, 5058, 5068, 5074, 5078, 5085, 5091, 5108, 5117, 5119, 5120, 5137, 5141, 5148, 5152, 5155, 5166, 5319, 5323, 5327, 5331, 5339, 5340, 5344, 5352, 5354, 5360, 5364, 5372, 5376, 5391, 5399, 5408, 5439, 5444, 5448, 5453, 5465, 5471, 5475, 5480, 5484, 5489, 5495, 5497, 5501, 5505, 5509, 5513, 5517, 5524, 5525, 5529, 5533, 5537, 5545, 5549, 5564, 5568, 5571, 5575, 5579, 5583, 5587, 5591, 5595, 5599, 5603, 5607, 5611, 5615, 5619, 5623, 5627, 5631, 5635, 5640, 5643, 5655, 5659, 5663, 5667, 5686, 5692, 5696, 5700, 5704, 5708, 5713, 5720, 5724, 5732, 5736, 5740, 5748, 5750, 5755, 5758, 5762, 6766, 5770, 5774, 5777, 5781, 5785, 5789, 5793, 5797, 5801, 5805, 5813, 5817, 5819, 5823, 5838, 5842, 5846, 5850, 5854, 5858, 5871, 5877, 5881, 5885, 5889, 5895, 5903, 5907, 5911, 5915, 5919, 5923, 5927, 5934, 5938, 5942, 5946, 5950, 5965, 5969, 5971, 5975, 5979, 5983, 5987, 5992, 6062, 6068, 6080, 6084, 6094, 6098, 6102, 6109, 6113, 6117, 6121, 6125, 6129, 6133, 6137, 6152, 6156, 6174, 6182, 6186, 6190, 6194, 6199, 6213, 6217, 6221, 6225, 6229, 6233, 6235, 6239, 6241, 6245, 6249, 6253, 6257, 6261, 6265, 6269, 6272, 6276, 6280, 6284, 6287, 6291, 6317, 6321, 6389, 6393, 6397, 6402, 6406, 6410, 6414, 6422, 6426, 6430,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	6434, 6438, 6442, 6446, 6450, 6454, 6462, 6466, 6470, 6474, 6478, 6482, 6486, 6490, 6494, 6499, 6504, 6511, 6515, 6519, 6523, 6527, 6531, 6535, 6538, 6594, 6638, 6657, 6661, 6665, 6669, 6673, 6677, 6681, 6685, 6689, 6693, 6697, 6701, 6705, 6709, 6713, 6717, 6721, 6725, 6729, 6733, 6737, 6741, 6745, 6749, 6753, 6757, 6761, 6765, 6769, 6773, 6777, 6781, 6786, 6790, 6794, 6798, 6802, 6806, 6810, 6814, 6818, 6822, 6826, 6830, 6834, 6838, 6842, 6846, 6850, 6855, 6859, 6863, 6866, 6871, 6881, 6887, 6898, 6907, 6910, 6914, 6918, 6920, 6922, 6930, 6932, 6936, 6940, 6944, 6950, 6954, 6958, 6964, 6965, 6969, 6973, 6977, 6981, 6985, 6989, 6994, 6998, 7002, 7006, 7010, 7014, 7018, 7022, 7027, 7034, 7050, 7058, 7070, 7076, 7086, 7083, 7096, 7106, 7114, 7122, 7126, 7130, 7133, 7137, 7169, 7177, 7181, 7189, 7193, 7197, 7201, 7205, 7210, 7218, 7225, 7229, 7238, 7244, 7248, 7255, 7263, 7273, 7276, 7280, 7287, 7291, 7295, 7299, 7303, 7307, 7311, 7315, 7319, 7321, 7325, 7329, 7333, 7337, 7341, 7348, 7349, 7353, 7357, 7361, 7365, 7369, 7373, 7377, 7381, 7385, 7389, 7393, 7397, 7401, 7407, 7409, 7413, 7417, 7421, 7425, 7429, 7433, 7437, 7441, 7445, 7449, 7453, 7457, 7461, 7465, 7469, 7473, 7477, 7481, 7485, 7489, 7494, 7498, 7502, 7506, 7510, 7514, 7518, 7522, 7528, 7533, 7537, 7541, 7545, 7549, 7557, 7558, 7562, 7566, 7571, 7575, 7579, 7583, 7587, 7591, 7595, 7599, 7603, 7607, 7615, 7619, 7623, 7627, 7631, 7635, 7639, 7643, 7647, 7651, 7655, 7659, 7663, 7667, 7671, 7675, 7679, 7683, 7687, 7691, 7695, 7699, 7703, 7715, 7719, 7723, 7729, 7733, 7737,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	7742, 7745, 7749, 7753, 7757, 7761, 7765, 7769, 7773, 7777, 7781, 7785, 7789, 7793, 7797, 7801, 7805, 7809, 7813, 7817, 7825, 7829, 7833, 7837, 7841, 7845, 7849, 7857, 7861, 7865, 7869, 7871, 7875, 7879, 7883, 7887, 7891, 7895, 7899, 7903, 7907, 7911, 7915, 7919, 7923, 7927, 7931, 7935, 7939, 7943, 7947, 7951, 7955, 7959, 7963, 7967, 7971, 7975, 7979, 7983, 7987, 7991, 7993, 7997, 8001, 8005, 8009, 8013, 8017, 8021, 8025, 8029, 8033, 8037, 9041, 8045, 8049, 8053, 8059, 8063, 8067, 8071, 8075, 8079, 8083, 8087, 8091, 8097, 8101, 8105, 8109, 8113, 8117, 8121, 8125, 8129, 8133, 8137, 8141, 8145, 8149, 8153, 8157, 8161, 8165, 8169, 8173, 8177, 8181, 8185, 8189, 8193, 8197, 8201, 8205, 8209, 8213, 8216, 8220, 8225, 8229, 8238, 8242, 8246, 8250, 8254, 8258, 8262, 8266, 8270, 8272, 8274, 8278, 8282, 8286, 8290, 8294, 8302, 8306, 8310, 8314, 8318, 8322, 8326, 8330, 8334, 8338, 8342, 8346, 8350, 8354, 8358, 8362, 8366, 8370, 8374, 8378, 8386, 8382, 8390, 8394, 8398, 8402, 8406, 8410, 8414, 8418, 8422, 8426, 8430, 8434, 8438, 8443, 8447, 8451, 8455, 8459, 8463, 8467, 8471, 8475, 8479, 8483, 8487, 8491, 8495, 8499, 8503, 8507, 8511, 8515, 8519, 8523, 8527, 8531, 8535, 8539, 8543, 8547, 8552, 8556, 8560, 8564, 8568, 8572, 8576, 8580, 8584, 8588, 8592, 8596, 8600, 8604, 8608, 8612, 8616, 8620, 8624, 8628, 8632, 8636, 8640, 8644, 8648, 8652, 8656, 8660, 8664, 8668, 8672, 8676, 8680, 8684, 8689, 8693, 8697, 8702, 8706, 8710, 8714, 8721, 8725, 8729, 8733, 8737, 8741, 8745, 8749, 8753, 8757, 8761, 9765, 8769, 8773, 8777, 8781, 8785, 8789, 8793,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	8797, 8801, 8805, 8807, 8811, 8815, 8819, 8823, 8827, 8831, 8835, 8839, 8843, 8847, 8852, 8856, 8860, 8864, 8868,		
If building on Green Belt, I don't understand why my plot did not get planning permission. Question that the Green Belt is negotiable.	191, 714, 2202, 2211, 3399	<p>Noted. Preparing a Local Plan and applying for planning permission are two separate processes; albeit that they both deal with land or development in the Borough.</p> <p>Planning applications are determined in accordance with the Development Plan, which was adopted by the Council in 1998.</p> <p>The Local Plan is part of that Development Plan and the Council is currently reviewing it to determine whether or not it needs to be updated given the Borough's various development needs.</p> <p>At this time, the Council is proposing to review the Green Belt boundaries to provide more land to meet its development needs. It is also proposing to change Green Belt policy in future and allow limited infill development in vacant plots within the Plotlands. These are however still currently just proposals, which are subject to public consultation.</p>	N/A
Should not build on green spaces. These should be protected	975, 1176, 1203, 1515, 1702, 3281, 3292, 3326, 3327, 3331, 3335, 3347, 3349, 3366, 3369, 3382, 3391, 3399, 3414, 3415, 3420, 3423, 3427, 3431, 3447, 3453, 3463, 3468, 3479, 3480, 3487, 3492, 3497, 3500, 3516, 3534, 3535, 3540, 3544, 3548, 3552, 3556, 3560, 3564, 3572, 3580, 3597, 3608, 3624, 3627, 3632, 3637, 3643, 3646, 3659, 3654, 3667, 3672, 3677, 3685, 3692, 3701, 3704, 3709, 3719, 3736, 3741, 3749, 3760, 3770, 3775, 3785, 3795, 3798, 3803, 3808, 3813, 3817, 3819, 3828, 3830, 3837, 3844, 3849, 3852, 3856, 3859, 3863, 3867, 3884, 3888, 3893, 3897, 3901, 3905, 3910, 3915, 3919,	<p>Noted. The Council is trying through the Local Plan to avoid building on green spaces too much in the future. It accepts that green spaces are vital components of where people live and work and support wildlife, drainage management and recreation, as well as promote better health and well-being.</p> <p>This is one of the reasons why the Council believes that reviewing the Green Belt beyond the edges of the urban areas is a necessary consideration to accommodate development needs. Whilst this route will result in a loss of countryside, the development can be planned to incorporate new green spaces to become the parks and recreational areas of the future, supporting the</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	3924, 3929, 3933, 3940, 3948, 3954, 3958, 3962, 3972, 3897, 3989, 3996, 4006, 4020, 4024, 4036, 4052, 4062, 4068, 4084, 4088, 4097, 4103, 4110, 4114, 4117, 4122, 4132, 4133, 4139, 4143, 4146, 4152, 4158, 4167, 4168, 4176, 4177, 4183, 4185, 4190, 4192, 4195, 4203, 4217, 4222, 4226, 4238, 4240, 4243, 4248, 4269, 4273, 4277, 4280, 4283, 4286, 4289, 4299, 4328, 4332, 4336, 4345, 4358, 4363, 4366, 4370, 4385, 4389, 4394, 4402, 4406, 4411, 4417, 4419, 4477, 4480, 4488, 4500, 4506, 4514, 4520, 4526, 4535, 4538, 4543, 4557, 4594, 4604, 4611, 4616, 4623, 4627, 4650, 4655, 4659, 4666, 4667, 4675, 4676, 4684, 4700, 4710, 4715, 4721, 4722, 4725, 4728, 4732, 4738, 4741, 4746, 4760, 4763, 4768, 4771, 4775, 4781, 4790, 4793, 4798, 4801, 4810, 4816, 4856, 4860, 4865, 4869, 4875, 4876, 4880, 4887, 4898, 4905, 4908, 4912, 4917, 4920, 4924, 4929, 4933, 4938, 4942, 4958, 4975, 4991, 5003, 5007, 5011, 5017, 5022, 5027, 5031, 5035, 5039, 5048, 5053, 5068, 5078, 5085, 5091, 5108, 5113, 5115, 5117, 5119, 5120, 5137, 5141, 5148, 5152, 5166, 5177, 5319, 5323, 5327, 5331, 5335, 5339, 5340, 5344, 5352, 5354, 5360, 5364, 5368, 5372, 5376, 5380, 5391, 5399, 5408, 5435, 5439, 5444, 5448, 5549, 5453, 5471, 5475, 5480, 5484, 5489, 5495, 5497, 5501, 5505, 5509, 5513, 5517, 5524, 5525, 5529, 5533, 5537, 5541, 5545, 5564, 5568, 5571, 5575, 5579, 5583, 5587, 5591, 5595, 5599, 5603, 5607, 5611, 5615, 5619, 5623, 5627, 5631, 5635, 5640, 5643, 5648, 5655, 5659, 5663, 5667, 5677, 5686, 5692, 5696, 5700, 5704, 5708, 5713, 5724, 5732, 5736, 5740, 5748, 5750, 5755, 5758,	Borough's growing population.	

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	5762, 5766, 5770, 5774, 5777, 5781, 5785, 5789, 5793, 5797, 5801, 5805, 5813, 5817, 5819, 5823, 5838, 5842, 5846, 5850, 5854, 5858, 5871, 5877, 5881, 5885, 5889, 5895, 5903, 5907, 5911, 5915, 5919, 5923, 5927, 5938, 5942, 5946, 5950, 5965, 5969, 5971, 5975, 5979, 5983, 5987, 6068, 6080, 6084, 6088, 6094, 6098, 6102, 6109, 6113, 6117, 6121, 6125, 6129, 6133, 6137, 6152, 6156, 6174, 6178, 6182, 6186, 6190, 6194, 6199, 6213, 6217, 6221, 6225, 6229, 6233, 6235, 6239, 6241, 6245, 6249, 6253, 6257, 6261, 6265, 6269, 6272, 6276, 6280, 6284, 6287, 6291, 6317, 6321, 6373, 6385, 6389, 6393, 6397, 6402, 6406, 6410, 6414, 6418, 6422, 6426, 6430, 6434, 6438, 6442, 6446, 6450, 6454, 6458, 6462, 6466, 6470, 6474, 6478, 6482, 6486, 6490, 6494, 6499, 6504, 6511, 6515, 6519, 6523, 6527, 6531, 6535, 6538, 6566, 6594, 6638, 6657, 6661, 6665, 6669, 6673, 6677, 6681, 6685, 6693, 6697, 6701, 6705, 6709, 6713, 6717, 6721, 6725, 6729, 6733, 6737, 6741, 6745, 6749, 6753, 6757, 6761, 6765, 6769, 6773, 6777, 6781, 6786, 6790, 6794, 6798, 6802, 6826, 6810, 6814, 6818, 6822, 6826, 6830, 6834, 6838, 6842, 6846, 6850, 6855, 6859, 6863, 6866, 6871, 6881, 6887, 6898, 6907, 6910, 6914, 6918, 6920, 6922, 6930, 6932, 6936, 6940, 6944, 6950, 6954, 6958, 6964, 6965, 6969, 6973, 6977, 6981, 6985, 6989, 6994, 6998, 7002, 7006, 7010, 7014, 7018, 7022, 7027, 7034, 7050, 7058, 7076, 7070, 7086, 7083, 7096, 7106, 7114, 7126, 7130, 7133, 7137, 7341, 7348, 7169, 7181, 7189, 7210, 7218, 7225, 7238, 7295, 7325, 7333, 7349, 7353, 7361, 7369, 7373, 7377, 7381, 7385, 7389,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	7393, 7397, 7401, 7407, 7409, 7413, 7417, 7421, 7425, 7429, 7433, 7437, 7441, 7445, 7449, 7453, 7457, 7461, 7465, 7469, 7473, 7477, 7481, 7485, 7489, 7494, 7498, 7502, 7506, 7510, 7514, 7518, 7522, 7528, 7533, 7537, 7541, 7545, 7549, 7557, 7558, 7562, 7566, 7571, 7575, 7579, 7583, 7587, 7591, 7595, 7599, 7603, 7607, 7611, 7615, 7619, 7623, 7627, 7631, 7635, 7639, 7643, 7647, 7651, 7655, 7659, 7663, 7667, 7671, 7675, 7679, 7683, 7687, 7691, 7695, 7699, 7703, 7715, 7719, 7723, 7729, 7733, 7737, 7742, 7745, 7749, 7753, 7757, 7761, 7765, 7769, 7773, 7777, 7781, 7785, 7789, 7793, 7797, 7801, 7805, 7809, 7813, 7817, 7825, 7829, 7833, 7837, 7841, 7845, 7849, 7857, 7861, 7865, 7869, 7871, 7875, 7879, 7883, 7887, 7891, 7895, 7899, 7903, 7907, 7911, 7915, 7919, 7923, 7927, 7931, 7935, 7939, 7943, 7947, 7951, 7955, 7959, 7963, 7967, 7971, 7975, 7979, 7983, 7987, 7991, 7993, 7997, 8001, 8005, 8009, 8013, 8017, 8021, 8025, 8029, 8033, 8037, 9041, 8045, 8049, 8053, 8059, 8063, 8067, 8071, 8075, 8079, 8083, 8087, 8091, 8097, 8101, 8105, 8109, 8113, 8117, 8121, 8125, 8129, 8133, 8137, 8141, 8145, 8149, 8153, 8157, 8161, 8165, 8169, 8173, 8177, 8181, 8185, 8189, 8193, 8197, 8201, 8205, 8209, 8213, 8216, 8220, 8225, 8229, 8238, 8242, 8246, 8250, 8254, 8258, 8262, 8266, 8270, 8272, 8274, 8278, 8282, 8286, 8290, 8294, 8302, 8306, 8310, 8314, 8318, 8322, 8326, 8330, 8334, 8338, 8342, 8346, 8350, 8354, 8358, 8362, 8366, 8370, 8374, 8378, 8382, 8386, 8390, 8394, 8398, 8402, 8406, 8410, 8414, 8418, 8422, 8426, 8430, 8434, 8438, 8443, 8447, 8451, 8455,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	8459, 8463, 8467, 8471, 8475, 8479, 8483, 8487, 8491, 8495, 8499, 8503, 8507, 8511, 8515, 8519, 8523, 8527, 8531, 8535, 8539, 8543, 8547, 8552, 8556, 8560, 8564, 8568, 8572, 8576, 8580, 8584, 8588, 8592, 8596, 8600, 8604, 8608, 8612, 8616, 8620, 8624, 8628, 8632, 8636, 8640, 8644, 8648, 8652, 8656, 8660, 8664, 8668, 8672, 8676, 8680, 8684, 8689, 8693, 8697, 8702, 8706, 8710, 8714, 8721, 8725, 8729, 8733, 8737, 8741, 8745, 8749, 8753, 8757, 8761, 9765, 8769, 8773, 8777, 8781, 8785, 8789, 8793, 8797, 8801, 8805, 8807, 8811, 8815, 8819, 8823, 8827, 8831, 8835, 8839, 8843, 8847, 8852, 8856, 8860, 8864, 8868,		
Evidence provided is not sufficient or clear to justify proposals	5456	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities to prepare a Local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. It would need to be demonstrated that the preparation of the Local Plan would require additional evidence to formulate policy.	Evidence provided is not sufficient or clear to justify proposals
Agricultural land should be restored for farming. Environment is being destroyed	848, 1117, 1635, 1735, 3508,	<p>Noted. In accordance with Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) guidance and the NPPF, the Council has taken into consideration whether any land in the Borough is of Grade 1, 2 or 3a, which is defined as being “the most versatile and productive agricultural land”.</p> <p>DEFRA has confirmed that none of the agricultural land in the Basildon Borough is of these grades.</p> <p>The majority of Green Belt land in the Basildon Borough is available to use as agricultural land should the landowners want to use it for this purpose; however landowners are also encouraged by Government</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		subsidies to set aside farming land for biodiversity, environmental stewardship and recreation, which means not all of it is used for this purpose.	
Reference to Spatial Strategy; Green Belt sites of 99 dwellings are too large to not be allocated in the Plan	1715	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It is not the intention to never allocate them for development, however under the current Local Development Scheme they would be allocated along with sites of 100 units or more in the separate Site Allocations Local Plan, which is being prepared separately to the Local Plan Core Strategy.</p>	N/A
More affordable housing required	208, 322, 490, 551, 562, 682, 927, 1368, 3000, 3048, 3088, 3128, 4056, 4070,	<p>Noted. The Council must use robust evidence to set its affordable housing targets for future developments.</p> <p>To this end, the Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>In addition, the Council have also viability tested three levels of affordable housing - 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		of development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are normally viable; therefore the Council must determine the appropriate balance of demands to place upon a development.	
Development requires infrastructure. At present, the infrastructure in the Borough cannot cope. Borough should have better connections north to south. More sustainable and public modes of transport are required. Need to provide flooding mitigation measures, health care and schools. Car parking is a problem.	55, 306, 326, 346, 383, 391, 429, 464, 491, 506, 522, 572, 628, 643, 660, 682, 726, 772, 783, 789, 804, 876, 895, 911, 975, 1010, 1069, 1164, 1187, 1266, 1272, 1280, 1297, 1304, 1408, 1426, 1475, 1481, 1643, 1681, 1760, 1787, 1828, 1857, 1877, 2011, 2033, 2059, 2095, 2148, 2286, 2697, 2707, 2717, 2728, 2739, 2749, 2816, 2853, 2873, 2884, 2909, 2929, 2972, 2989, 3018, 3032, 3048, 3057, 3065, 3088, 3117, 3128, 3216, 3224, 3259, 3270, 3281, 3292, 3321, 3313, 3326, 3327, 3335, 3382, 3347, 3349, 3366, 3369, 3391, 3399, 3414, 3415, 3420, 3423, 3427, 3431, 3441, 3447, 3453, 3463, 3468, 3479, 3480, 3492, 3497, 3487, 3500, 3516, 3534, 3535, 3540, 3544, 3548, 3552, 3556, 3560, 3564, 3580, 3572, 3577, 3597, 3608, 3624, 3627, 3632, 3637, 3643, 3646, 3659, 3654, 3667, 3672, 3677, 3685, 3686, 3692, 3701, 3704, 3709, 3719, 3736, 3741, 3749, 3760, 3770, 3775, 3785, 3795, 3798, 3803, 3808, 3813, 3817, 3819, 3828, 3844, 3849, 3852, 3856, 3859, 3863, 3867, 3884, 3888, 3893, 3897, 3901, 3905, 3910, 3915, 3919, 3924, 3929, 3933, 3940, 3948, 3954, 3958, 3962, 3972, 3989, 3996, 4006, 4020, 4024, 4036, 4052, 4056, 4062, 4068, 4070, 4084, 4088, 4097, 4103, 4110, 4114, 4117, 4122, 4132, 4133, 4139, 4143, 4146, 4152, 4158, 4167, 4168, 4176, 4177, 4183, 4185, 4190, 4192, 4195, 4203, 4217, 4222, 4226, 4231, 4233, 4238, 4240, 4243, 4248, 4258, 4269,	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>Core Policy CP20 seeks to ensure the Local Plan seeks the right level of investment from new developments to mitigate their impact. All PADCs also contain a list of proposed infrastructure requirements.</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	4270, 4273, 4277, 4280, 4283, 4286, 4289, 4299, 4328, 4336, 4345, 4358, 4363, 4366, 4370, 4385, 4389, 4402, 4406, 4411, 4417, 4419, 4461, 4472, 4477, 4480, 4484, 4488, 4492, 4500, 4506, 4512, 4514, 4516, 4520, 4526, 4535, 4538, 4543, 4557, 4594, 4604, 4611, 4616, 4623, 4627, 4650, 4655, 4659, 4666, 4667, 4675, 4676, 4684, 4700, 4703, 4710, 4715, 4721, 4722, 4725, 4728, 4732, 4738, 4741, 4746, 4760, 4763, 4768, 4771, 4781, 4790, 4793, 4798, 4801, 4810, 4816, 4856, 4860, 4865, 4869, 4875, 4876, 4880, 4887, 4892, 4898, 4905, 4908, 4912, 4917, 4920, 4924, 4929, 4933, 4936, 4938, 4942, 4958, 4975, 4991, 5003, 5011, 5017, 5022, 5027, 5031, 5035, 5048, 5053, 5058, 5059, 5068, 5074, 5078, 5085, 5091, 5108, 5117, 5119, 5120, 5137, 5148, 5152, 5155, 5166, 5177, 5319, 5323, 5327, 5331, 5335, 5339, 5340, 5344, 5352, 5354, 5360, 5364, 5372, 5376, 5391, 5399, 5408, 5439, 5444, 5448, 5453, 5465, 5471, 5475, 5480, 5484, 5489, 5495, 5497, 5501, 5505, 5509, 5513, 5517, 5524, 5525, 5529, 5533, 5537, 5541, 5545, 5549, 5564, 5568, 5571, 5575, 5583, 5587, 5591, 5595, 5599, 5603, 5607, 5611, 5615, 5619, 5623, 5627, 5631, 5635, 5640, 5643, 5655, 5659, 5663, 5667, 5669, 5677, 5686, 5692, 5969, 5700, 5704, 4708, 5713, 5720, 5724, 5732, 5736, 5740, 5748, 5750, 5755, 5758, 5762, 5766, 5770, 5774, 5777, 5781, 5785, 5789, 5793, 5797, 5801, 5805, 5813, 5817, 5819, 5823, 5838, 5842, 5846, 5850, 5854, 5858, 5871, 5877, 5881, 5885, 5889, 5890, 5895, 5903, 5907, 5911, 5915, 5919, 5923, 5927, 5934, 5938, 5942, 5946, 5950, 5965, 5969, 5971, 5975, 5979, 5983, 5987,		to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	5992, 6062, 6068, 6080, 6084, 6088, 6094, 6098, 6102, 6109, 6113, 6117, 6121, 6125, 6129, 6133, 6137, 6152, 6156, 6174, 6178, 6182, 6186, 6190, 6194, 6199, 6213, 6217, 6221, 6225, 6229, 6233, 6235, 6239, 6241, 6245, 6249, 6253, 6257, 6261, 6265, 6269, 6272, 6276, 6280, 6284, 6291, 6287, 6317, 6321, 6389, 6393, 6397, 6402, 6406, 6410, 6414, 6422, 6426, 6430, 6434, 6438, 6442, 6446, 6450, 6454, 6462, 6466, 6470, 6474, 6478, 6482, 6486, 6490, 6494, 6499, 6504, 6505, 6511, 6515, 6519, 6523, 6527, 6531, 6535, 6538, 6573, 6594, 6618, 6638, 6657, 6661, 6665, 6669, 6673, 6677, 6681, 6685, 6693, 6697, 6701, 6705, 6709, 6713, 6717, 6721, 6725, 6729, 6733, 6737, 6741, 6745, 6749, 6753, 6757, 6761, 6765, 6769, 6773, 6777, 6781, 6786, 6790, 6794, 6798, 6802, 6826, 6810, 6814, 6818, 6822, 6830, 6834, 6838, 6842, 6846, 6850, 6855, 6859, 6863, 6866, 6871, 6881, 6887, 6898, 6907, 6910, 6914, 6918, 6920, 6922, 6930, 6932, 6936, 6940, 6944, 6950, 6954, 6958, 6964, 6965, 6969, 6973, 6977, 6981, 6985, 6989, 6994, 6998, 7002, 7006, 7010, 7014, 7018, 7022, 7027, 7034, 7050, 7058, 7070, 7076, 7083, 7096, 7106, 7114, 7122, 7126, 7130, 7133, 7137, 7169, 7177, 7181, 7189, 7193, 7197, 7201, 7205, 7210, 7218, 7225, 7229, 7238, 7244, 7248, 7255, 7259, 7263, 7276, 7280, 7287, 7291, 7295, 7299, 7303, 7307, 7311, 7315, 7319, 7321, 7325, 7329, 7333, 7337, 7341, 7348, 7349, 7353, 7357, 7361, 7365, 7369, 7373, 7377, 7381, 7385, 7389, 7393, 7397, 7401, 7407, 7409, 7413, 7417, 7421, 7425, 7429, 7433, 7437, 7441, 7445, 7449, 7453, 7457, 7461, 7465, 7469, 7473, 7477,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	7481, 7485, 7489, 7494, 7498, 7502, 7506, 7510, 7514, 7518, 7522, 7528, 7533, 7537, 7541, 7545, 7549, 7557, 7558, 7562, 7566, 7571, 7575, 7579, 7583, 7587, 7591, 7595, 7599, 7603, 7607, 7615, 7619, 7623, 7627, 7631, 7635, 7639, 7643, 7647, 7651, 7655, 7659, 7663, 7667, 7671, 7675, 7679, 7683, 7687, 7691, 7695, 7699, 7703, 7715, 7719, 7723, 7729, 7733, 7737, 7742, 7745, 7749, 7753, 7757, 7761, 7765, 7769, 7773, 7777, 7781, 7785, 7789, 7793, 7797, 7801, 7805, 7809, 7813, 7817, 7825, 7829, 7833, 7837, 7841, 7845, 7849, 7853, 7857, 7861, 7865, 7869, 7871, 7875, 7879, 7883, 7887, 7891, 7895, 7899, 7903, 7907, 7911, 7915, 7919, 7923, 7927, 7931, 7935, 7939, 7943, 7947, 7951, 7955, 7959, 7963, 7967, 7971, 7975, 7979, 7983, 7987, 7991, 7993, 7997, 8001, 8005, 8009, 8013, 8017, 8021, 8025, 8029, 8033, 8037, 8041, 8045, 8049, 8053, 8059, 8063, 8067, 8071, 8075, 8079, 8083, 8087, 8091, 8097, 8101, 8105, 8109, 8113, 8117, 8121, 8125, 8129, 8133, 8137, 8141, 8145, 8149, 8153, 8157, 8161, 8165, 8169, 8173, 8177, 8181, 8185, 8189, 8193, 8197, 8201, 8205, 8209, 8213, 8216, 8220, 8225, 8229, 8238, 8242, 8246, 8250, 8254, 8258, 8262, 8266, 8270, 8272, 8274, 8278, 8282, 8286, 8290, 8294, 8298, 8302, 8306, 8310, 8314, 8318, 8322, 8326, 8330, 8334, 8338, 8342, 8346, 8350, 8354, 8358, 8362, 8366, 8370, 8374, 8378, 8382, 8386, 8390, 8394, 8398, 8402, 8406, 8410, 8414, 8418, 8422, 8426, 8430, 8434, 8438, 8443, 8447, 8451, 8455, 8459, 8463, 8467, 8471, 8475, 8479, 8483, 8487, 8491, 8495, 8499, 8503, 8507, 8511, 8515, 8519, 8523, 8527, 8531, 8535, 8539,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	8543, 8547, 8552, 8556, 8560, 8564, 8568, 8572, 8576, 8580, 8584, 8588, 8592, 8596, 8600, 8604, 8608, 8612, 8616, 8620, 8624, 8628, 8632, 8636, 8640, 8644, 8648, 8652, 8656, 8660, 8664, 8668, 8672, 8676, 8680, 8684, 8689, 8693, 8697, 8702, 8706, 8710, 8714, 8721, 8725, 8729, 8733, 8737, 8741, 8745, 8749, 8753, 8757, 8761, 8765, 8769, 8773, 8777, 8781, 8785, 8789, 8793, 8797, 8801, 8805, 8807, 8811, 8815, 8819, 8823, 8827, 8831, 8835, 8839, 8843, 8847, 8852, 8856, 8860, 8864, 8868, 8872, 8876, 8880, 8884, 8888, 8892, 8896, 8900, 8901, 8904, 8910, 8914, 8918, 8923, 8927, 8931, 8935, 8940, 8944, 8948, 8952, 8956, 8960, 8964, 8968, 8980, 8984, 8988, 8992, 8996, 9000, 9004, 9008, 9012, 9016, 9020, 9024, 9028, 9032, 9036, 9040, 9041, 9045, 9049, 9053, 9058, 9063, 9067, 9071, 9075, 9079, 9083, 9087, 9091, 9095, 9099, 9103, 9107, 9111, 9115, 9119, 9123, 9127, 9131, 9135, 9139, 9143, 9148, 9151, 9155, 9159, 9163, 9167, 9171, 9175, 9179, 9183, 9187, 9191, 9195, 9199, 9203, 9207, 9211, 9215, 9219, 9223, 9227, 9229, 9233, 9237, 9241, 9245, 9249, 9254, 9258, 9262, 9266, 9270, 9274, 9278, 9282, 9286, 9290, 9294, 9298, 9303, 9307, 9311, 9315, 9319, 9323, 9327, 9331, 9335, 9339, 9343, 9347, 9351, 9355, 9359, 9363, 9367, 9371, 9375, 9379, 9384, 9388, 9392, 9396, 9400, 9404, 9408, 9412, 9417, 9421, 9425, 9429, 9433, 9435, 9439, 9443, 9447, 9451, 9455, 9459, 9463, 9467, 9471, 9476, 9480, 9484, 9488, 9492, 9496, 9500, 9504, 9508, 9516, 9520, 9524, 9529, 9533, 9537, 9540, 9544, 9548, 9552, 9556, 9560, 9564, 9566, 9570, 9574, 9578, 9582, 9586,		

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	9590, 9594, 9598, 9602, 9606, 9610, 9614, 9618, 9622, 9626, 9630, 9634, 9638, 9642, 9646, 9650, 9654, 9658, 9662, 9666, 9670, 9674, 9678, 9682, 9686, 9690, 9694, 9697, 9701, 9705, 9709, 9713, 9717, 9721, 9725, 9729, 9733, 9737, 9741, 9745, 9749, 9753, 9757, 9761, 9765, 9769, 9773, 9777, 9781, 9785, 9789, 9793, 9797, 9801, 9805, 9809, 9813, 9817, 9821, 9825, 9829, 9833, 9837, 9841, 9845, 9849, 9853, 9857, 9861, 9865		
Too many flats being built	400	Noted. The Local Plan Core Strategy proposes to change the Housing Mix Policy applicable to the Borough which would steer what sizes and types of dwellings were required in the future, informed by regular updates to the Strategic Housing Market Assessment and updates to the Basildon Borough Housing Strategy.	N/A
A new settlement should be provided	391, 772	<p>Noted. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development to locations that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement can be retained.</p> <p>The Spatial Options Topic Paper looked at the development of a New Town in the Borough and clearly demonstrates the Borough cannot accommodate a new settlement due to its relatively small size and the existing settlement locations.</p>	N/A
More detail should be included in the plan, particularly on sites and infrastructure. This would be a consistent approach with the National Planning Policy Framework	351, 540, 804, 975, 1010, 1033, 1168, 1704, 1787, 1866, 2047, 2980, 3307, 3686, 4936, 5557, 5983, 6594,	Noted.	The Council should consider grouping its Core Policies, Site Allocations and Development Management policies into one Local Plan document that could provide enough detail on the sites and

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			infrastructure requirements to ensure sites were delivered and the plan was suitably flexible.
Housebuilders will only build 3-4 bedroom homes	562	<p>Noted. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) sets out the type of housing mix that is required in the Borough. The Council will monitor the housing mix and ensure that the appropriate mix is being provided across the Borough to meet need as required by the National Planning Policy Framework.</p> <p>The Council has included a new Housing Mix Policy to ensure developers build a combination of unit types and sizes to help meet local needs.</p>	N/A
Support the regeneration of Basildon, particularly the town centre. Wording is suggested however to improve policy.	3000, 4645, 5930	Noted.	Consider the changes proposed to policy wording in the next version of the Local Plan Core Strategy.
Agree with PADC2	1592, 3000	Noted.	N/A
Support PADC3 as it is generating work and attracting top businesses	3779	Noted.	N/A
Do not agree with PADC4 (Nethermayne) as infrastructure cannot cope. Should not be moving the college or the market	410, 682, 751, 848, 3000, 3177, 3728, 4892	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p>	The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>The Core Strategy must reflect the fact that PADC4 already has planning permission to provide 750 new homes and the following supporting infrastructure – a new local shopping parade, a new primary school, future expansion land for St Luke's Hospice, new open spaces, new roads and upgrades to the A176 and Dry Street, in addition to a relocated college and market in PADC1: Basildon Town Centre.</p>	<p>effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>
Support PADC5 as will provide the community facilities that are required in this area	991	Noted.	N/A
Do not agree with PADC5 as there are infrastructure concerns	2128	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>PADC5 identifies that the strategic development would also need a new primary school, a local centre, sports facilities, flood and drainage infrastructure, open space and contributions to Basildon Hospital, the upgrade of Laindon Health Centre and Laindon Town Centre. In addition the development needs to consider improvements to the local and strategic road network and a new railway station.</p>	<p>ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>
Support PADC6 as it is of limited ecological and landscape value and infrastructure is in place	136, 156, 208, 254, 271, 302, 318, 490, 729, 2791, 2825	Noted.	N/A
Support PADC9	2749	Noted.	N/A
Do not agree with PADC9 as there are infrastructure concerns	612	Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.	The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>PADC9 identifies that the strategic development would also need to provide for an extension of an existing/provision of a new primary school, sports facilities, flood and drainage infrastructure, open space and contributions to local secondary schools, Wickford's health facilities and Wickford Town Centre. In addition the development needs to consider improvements to the local and strategic road network.</p>	<p>Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>
Support PADC10 as can provide benefits to wider community and supports town centre, it can deliver more than 700 homes	5312	Noted.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Do not agree with PADC10 as there are infrastructure concerns	643, 2749	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>PADC10 identifies that the strategic development would also need to provide for a new local centre, an extension of an existing/ provision of a new primary school, sports facilities, flood and drainage infrastructure, open space and contributions to local secondary schools, Wickford's health facilities and Wickford Town Centre. In addition the development needs to consider improvements to the local and strategic road network.</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Do not agree with PADC11 as there are infrastructure concerns	2749	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>PADC11 identifies that the strategic development would also need to provide for a new local centre, an extension of an existing/ provision of a new primary school, sports facilities, flood and drainage infrastructure, open space and contributions to local secondary schools, Wickford's health facilities and Wickford Town Centre. In addition the development needs to consider improvements to the local and strategic road network.</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			the Highway Impact Assessment.
Support PADC12 as is mainly scrub land and would bring benefits to the area	2749, 2847	Noted.	N/A
Do not agree with PADC13 as there are infrastructure concerns	971, 1010, 1101, 1207, 1272, 1297, 1760, 2047, 2782, 3248	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>PADC12 identifies that the strategic development would also need to provide for the extension of an existing/provision of a new primary school, sports facilities, flood and drainage infrastructure, open space and contributions to local secondary schools, Wickford's health facilities and Wickford Town Centre. In addition the development needs to consider improvements to the local and strategic road network.</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.
Support PADC13. Suggests some wording changes to the policy	6010	Noted.	N/A
Do not agree with PADC14 as there are infrastructure concerns	971, 1010, 1101, 1272, 1297, 2047, 3237, 3248, 3934, 4472, 5059	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred.</p> <p>Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>PADC14 identifies that the strategic development would also need to provide for an extension of an existing primary school, sports facilities, flood and drainage infrastructure, open space and contributions to Billericay's health facilities. In addition the development needs to consider improvements to the local and strategic road network and enhancements to Billericay Railway Station.</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc. to determine the infrastructure requirements of the</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision. The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.
Do not support Mill Meadows Extension (Alternative Option 1 for Billericay)	3929, 4046	Noted.	N/A
Should consider Mill Meadows extension	971, 5728	Noted.	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Brochure submitted promoting an alternative option south of Billericay – this should be considered for development instead of other areas.	333	Noted.	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Basildon Gateway can support economic development and does not meet Green belt purpose	5432	Noted. A Green Belt study, a landscape study and the Employment Land and Premises Study did not consider Basildon Gateway to be a suitable site to accommodate any development. It is an isolated greenfield with a road network surrounding it. Any development in this location would have a significant impact on the existing road network and would require a car to reach the area. This location would not be connected to the existing employment areas, which the proposed Policy Areas for	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Development and Change (PADCs) 5 and 6 would.</p> <p>The most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents continuing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base. The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Was informed Cowbridge Farm would not be built on	4512, 4516	<p>Noted. In the existing Development Plan (adopted in 1998) that is the case as the land is designated as Green Belt; however the Council must keep it under review and identify enough land to meet its development needs, including considering whether Green Belt boundaries need to be altered to do so.</p>	N/A
There are large areas around Oak Road that could be built on	4753	<p>Noted. The most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base. The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Plotlands should be built on	254, 372, 935, 1420, 3779	<p>Noted. The Council has included in Core Policy 11: Green Belt a proposal to change Green Belt policy for the Plotlands to allow infill development to take place. This would now be subject to Examination in Public as part of the Core Strategy before it can be confirmed as new local policy.</p>	N/A
Essex County Council hub should be built behind Basildon town station to create jobs for	3837	<p>Noted. The decision to create a new Essex County Council office in Basildon Town Centre is a decision for Essex County Council, not Basildon Borough Council.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
all levels.			
Too much focus on organised sport. Should allocate an outdoor sports hub in line with the evidence base and National Planning Policy Framework.	954, 6594	<p>Noted. Whilst it is noted that Sport England supported the principle in the previous version of the Local Plan of creating a sports hub in the Upper Crouch Valley, around Barleylands Farm, the landowner had objected to this proposal and therefore the delivery cannot be guaranteed.</p>	N/A
		<p>Playing fields and essential facilities such as changing rooms are already compatible land uses in the Green Belt and therefore such a scheme could still come forward without a specific policy. The Council is seeking the provision of new strategic open space as part of the Borough's urban extensions and in the A130 corridor to help maintain the strategic Green Belt gap between Basildon and Thundersley.</p>	
Do not support Spatial Option 2A as support Spatial Option 2C	106, 1235,	Noted.	Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2C in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Spatial Option 2A: Agree as is equal and addresses the social mix. It is also the most economical. Infrastructure is required to support this option.	1428	Noted.	Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/ updated evidence.
Should give priority to large deliverable sites that would assist in providing community facilities	96, 146, 198, 1368, 5312	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>this need can be met on sites that are ready for development.</p> <p>The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. Whilst the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options does include a number of small scale development sites that would contribute to the overall need through minor amendments to the Green Belt boundary; strategic sites must also be identified if the Council is to have any chance of meeting the Borough's full objectively assessed needs.</p>	
Should seek to protect the long-term operational and development needs at the Dunton Technical Centre. With regards to Strategic Objective 10 have concerns regarding specific potential development areas.	6313	<p>Noted. The Council recognises the long-term operational development needs at the Dunton Technical Centre, and would support the economic development needs of the Borough, as mentioned in Core Policy 7. The Council would like to work closely with all partners in ensuring the development is located in the most appropriate areas.</p> <p>The Council will consider wording changes and will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Consider wording changes in the next version of the Local Plan.
Believe that equestrian centres and bridleways are missing from the document. Suggestions made where these should be included	6649	Noted.	Consider wording changes in the next version of the Local Plan.
Unsure why broad locations 1-3 are part of option 2C when they	6299	Noted. The most appropriate locations for development	The Council will keep this

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
fare worse in assessments		<p>have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
High quality homes are required that are encouraged to meet sustainable standards	146, 156, 1329, 1368, 1481, 1809, 3048, 3088, 3728,	Noted. The Council supports this objective and the Core Strategy introduces Core Policy 13: Design and the Built Environment and Core Policy 15: Maximising Energy Efficiency - Sustainable Construction and Renewable Energy to ensure developments are designed and built to a high quality, seeking the Lifetime Homes standard and sustainable construction methods.	N/A
Should focus on town centre regeneration	2300, 3728	Noted. The Council agrees that town centre regeneration should be part of the solution to the Borough's future development needs, but there is not enough land available to meet all development needs.	N/A
Green Belt study is a crude assessment and does not account for small deliverable sites. Evidence has misguided methodologies and inaccurate assessments.	1235, 5728	Noted. Methodology is compatible with NPPF and sound studies undertaken elsewhere in England.	N/A
Consultation was not publicised enough and views will not be listened too. Too complicated and not transparent. Website was difficult to use.	282, 654, 772, 975, 1002, 1043, 1164, 1292, 1328, 1359, 1370, 1382, 1475, 1566, 1570, 1602, 1621, 1681, 1702, 1787, 1828, 1866, 1907, 1916, 2089, 2128, 2138, 2144, 2158, 2193, 2321, 2697, 2728, 2739, 2873, 2884, 2919, 2967, 2980, 3018, 3032, 3065, 3149, 3321, 3518, 3572, 3728, 3933, 3940, 3957, 3974, 3991, 4032, 4082, 4092, 4210, 4461, 4635, 4981, 5113, 5247, 5890, 5998, 6555, 7244, 7273	<p>The consultation Statement document sets out how the consultation was advertised. The consultation was publicised for 10 weeks in local press, on buses, train stations, in town centre based adverts/bill boards, on the Council website and on local radio.</p> <p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Sites are available and have all the main services	2281, 5312	Noted.	N/A
Council should visit the sites	471, 735, 895	Noted. The Council has visited all development locations before making its selection in the Core Strategy.	N/A
Concerns about the level of accommodation and shops for the ageing population	927, 3728	<p>Noted. The Council must use robust evidence to set its housing policies for the Borough.</p> <p>To this end, the Council has prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway South Essex area to investigate what the different needs profile is in the housing market area.</p> <p>The Housing Mix policy and Specialist Housing policy seeks to alter the trend in accommodating the ageing population with specialist housing products as part of the Borough's future growth.</p> <p>The Council are carrying out a Retail and Commercial Leisure Study, which will be used to inform the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	Carry out a Retail and Commercial Leisure Study

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Vacant houses and brownfield sites should be used	975, 1674, 2011, 2047, 2862, 3617, 4071, 4512, 4516	<p>Noted. The Council agrees with this opinion, which is why the 6,900 homes estimated to come from the urban area will maximise brownfield sites considered to be suitable, available and achievable for development. Brownfield sites constitute approximately 37% of this total urban capacity.</p> <p>The Local Plan also encourages the use of empty properties in line with the TGSE Housing Strategy and Empty Homes Programme. However, to meet the development needs of the Borough, Greenfield sites will also be required.</p>	Consider whether a specific policy should include more detailed guidance on brownfield sites and their expected contribution to development delivery in the Borough.
Ramsden Bellhouse cannot take any more development	1164, 1602, 1857, 1861, 2758, 3313, 4586	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to</p>	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	
Ramsden Bellhouse should be developed to support the long term prosperity of the village	3779, 4115, 4378	Noted.	N/A
Billericay cannot take any more development	126, 614, 763, 1345, 1272, 1534, 1766, 1933, 2055, 2265, 2321, 3057, 3229, 3138, 3321, 3357, 3377, 3663, 3686, 3777, 4196, 4296, 4472, 4461, 7272	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is</p>	<p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages</p> <p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
Wickford cannot take any more development	1266	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of</p>	<p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages</p> <p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	
Support growth in Wickford	262	Noted	N/A
Support infill in Crays Hill and Bowers Gifford	3779	Noted	N/A
More detail should be provided on the historic environment and need to retain historic character of the Borough	2175, 3619, 3934, 6021	Noted. Policy CP12: Historic Environment proposes to strengthen the consideration of the historic environment in future planning decisions in the Borough.	Review Policy CP12 in light of advice from English Heritage on policy detail needed.
Billericay growth should be more evenly distributed	366	The Council has used the entire evidence base to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.
Do not want to property values to decrease	1203, 4106, 5247	Noted. However this is not a valid planning consideration that the Council can take into account when developing its Local Plan.	N/A
The Council should work with the Woodland Trust.	4210	Noted.	The Council will continue to work with partners, stakeholders and other bodies in the preparation of the Local Plan.
The Landscape Character Assessments contain errors	4210	<p>The Council will revisit the Landscape Study to ensure that inaccuracies are rectified.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the results of the Landscape Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under</p>	<p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	
All new properties should be terraced to maximise energy efficiency.	4210	<p>Noted.</p> <p>This approach would not meet the needs of local people.</p> <p>The Deregulation Bill currently passing through Parliament is proposing to change the Building Regulations to ensure energy efficiency measures piloted in the Code for Sustainable Homes are incorporated as requirements into all new developments.</p>	N/A
CHP station should be provided in PADC5	548, 1445	<p>Noted. The NPPF expects LPAs to help increase the use and supply of renewable and low carbon energy by having a positive strategy to promote renewable and low carbon sources and design policies to maximise renewable.</p> <p>To this end, the Local Plan Core Strategy includes a new strategic policy, Core Policy 15: Maximising Energy Efficiency, Criterion B) of which sets out how the Council intends to consider such proposals in the future.</p> <p>The Local Plan Core Strategy is not allocating land for specific types of development; however PADC5 does already include the text for on-site energy generation and energy efficiency measures.</p> <p>It is not considered to be appropriate to specifically mention a CHP plant as being necessary at this stage, as the optimal solution to how this PADC will meet this strategic requirement will need to be informed by detailed master planning and site design.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Pitsea Hall Lane should be returned to open natural Green Belt land	4210	<p>Noted. It is already in the Green Belt and there are no proposals to change this; all that is proposed is to transform the vast marshland complex, it is part of, into a publically accessible Thameside nature area.</p> <p>Pitsea Hall Lane has not been open and natural marshland since the 19th Century, before the Nobel Explosives Factory was built. Since then it has developed into an eclectic mix of small scale employment, railway, waste disposal and treatment, recreation and equestrian uses and the Core Strategy cannot dissolve these developments, but it can provide a strategy for their future management within the wider area, which is proposed through PADC7: South Essex Marshes.</p>	N/A
Nature Improvement Areas (NIA) and sub areas 32 and 50 should not be built on but should be referenced in document in line with the National Planning Policy Framework	4210, 5244	<p>Noted. The Local Plan does not include any major proposals to build on the Greater Thames Marshes NIA in the Borough to meet development needs; although there is a residential community nearby which the Council was considering for limited infill development.</p> <p>PADC7: South Essex Marshes is a proposal for environmental enhancement and to further safeguard the area's rich biodiversity interest and increase (where appropriate) public access and recreational opportunities, complimenting the national NIA designation.</p> <p>The Sustainability Appraisal assessed the impact development would have on the Nature Improvement Areas. This was taken into account when determining the Policy Areas for Development and Change.</p>	Consider adding Nature Improvement Areas into the next version of the Local Plan and determine impact of infill development of nearby communities.
There should be a plan for the	6626	Noted. Development opportunities in this area are	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Radford Way employment area making the approach from the station to the town centre more attractive.		<p>limited by the relative success of the employment areas and the low vacancy rates.</p> <p>Area specific improvement is too specific for the Local Plan Core Strategy and the policies being proposed already support this kind of improvement should a proposal be forthcoming.</p>	
Travellers should be accommodated in the Borough and could be celebrated as part of Basildon's culture	8975	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches.</p> <p>Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.</p>	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.
Need to search land for artefacts before building commences	949	<p>Noted. Paragraph 5.5.30 to 5.5.35 is the supporting text to Policy CP12: Conserving the Historic Environment.</p> <p>It sets out that the Council recognises that there are likely to be a large number of sites in the Borough with archaeological interest, but it is accepted that the policy, as currently phrased, is not strong enough to ensure archaeological investigations are carried out prior to development.</p>	Revised the wording of CP12 to include a requirement for development sites to be subject to qualified archaeological investigations prior to development.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
There should be at least two more air quality monitoring stations in the Borough	4210	<p>Noted. This is not a matter for the Local Plan.</p> <p>It is a matter which is considered and reviewed as part of the Local Authority's Environmental Heath duties under the Environmental Act 1995 and the Air Quality (England) Regulations 2000.</p>	N/A
Spatial Portrait - Should make reference to matters such as flood risk and water quality. Drivers for Change - should include water efficiency and waste	6581	Noted.	Add details on flood risk and water quality to the Spatial Portrait and consider amending Drivers for Change to include water efficiency and waste.
Need to work with neighbouring authorities to address wider traffic issues.	429	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Council as the Local Planning Authority has a legal duty to cooperate with neighbouring authorities and other bodies on strategic planning matters, including traffic/transportation. The Council is discharging this duty during the preparation and consultation on the Local Plan.</p>	Continue to work with neighbouring authorities (and others) as part of the Duty to Cooperate.
Additional technical evidence on transport is required to inform the Policy Areas for Development and Change	1887	<p>Noted. The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of Local Plan Spatial Options for development of 16,000 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. It is appreciated that this assessment only goes into a certain amount of detail in terms of the potential impact of development on the road network and additional evidence will need to be gathered to work up required improvements that will need to accompany each proposed area for development.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to</p>	<p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.</p> <p>Complete a Highway Mitigation Modelling Assessment to support the production of the Council's Local Plan.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the</p>	

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	
Neighbourhood Planning is essential and would like to be involved	6570	<p>Noted. It is not a matter for the Local Plan Core Strategy, but if any applications are made to the Local Planning Authority by a Parish Council or Neighbourhood Forum to designate a Neighbourhood Planning Area, these will be advertised in accordance with the relevant Regulations.</p>	N/A
Questions how development will be financed.	4832	<p>Noted. Development is not often financed from one organisation, but a collection of different organisations, bodies or investors with a different interest/ area of responsibility.</p> <p>The actual developments are largely financed by private developers (e.g. housebuilders, registered providers, commercial developers, etc.) and it is not the specific concern of the Local Plan how they finance their schemes; just whether there is a reasonable prospect that the developments proposed are viable to be delivered by the time suggested in the plan.</p> <p>In respects of infrastructure improvements, some grant funding is available from Government/ South East Local Enterprise Partnership, the responsible authorities/bodies (e.g. the Local Education Authority/ NHS England) or contributions from developers.</p>	N/A
Thanked for information at Roadshow	4115	Noted.	N/A
Natural England has no objections.	1539	Noted.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Consistent set of assumptions and a consistent referencing system should be used, particularly in the evidence base	6206	Noted. The Plan has evolved over many years and has had to adapt to changes in national and regional planning policy.	Any new or updated evidence should have regard to the referencing system used by extant evidence or plans.
Planning policies should be upheld.	6618	Noted.	N/A
Wording suggested to ensure consistency	5244	Noted.	Consider suggested wording.
Questions 600 at Runwell	471	Noted. The Runwell Hospital site is located within the boundary of Chelmsford City Council. Therefore, if any further development were to take place in this area, it would not contribute to the objectively assessed needs of the Basildon Borough. As such, additional development sites would still need to be found within the Basildon Borough as Runwell Hospital cannot be considered as an alternative. The only alternative would be a comprehensive development around Runwell Hospital that covered an area on either side of the Borough boundary so that other proposed development sites within the Basildon Borough could be relocated to this area. Basildon Borough Council has insufficient evidence to suggest that this would be a deliverable option within the plan period.	Continue to work with Chelmsford City Council on cross boundary issues under the Duty to Cooperate.
Has opting out of the EU been considered	2114	This is not a matter for the Local Plan.	N/A
Development at West Basildon will need to take into account linkages from the Municipal Utility to the proposed district heating scheme.	578	Noted. The design and routing of a potential municipal utility scheme and its supporting pipeline network to serve a potential development location or existing communities is too detailed to be considered in the Local Plan Core Strategy and would instead be a matter addressed by the Site Allocations in either the Basildon	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Local Plan/ Essex Waste Plan – depending on its classification, or considered as part of a future planning application.</p> <p>If this was included within the Local Plan, then the Council would work closely to consider its impact on the Dunton / A127 junction.</p>	
Wishes to be kept informed	444, 3798, 6021, 6638	Noted.	Their details will be added to the Consultation database.
None	40, 87, 88, 243, 418, 743, 1197, 1219, 1920, 1955, 2046, 2335, 2353, 2375, 2769, 2956, 3104, 3160, 3196, 3207, 3404, 4260, 4424, 4434, 4470, 4803, 4830, 5185, 5380, 5387, 5395, 5403, 5422, 5675, 5831, 5869, 5958, 6055, 6072, 6076, 6145, 6164, 6325, 6329, 6333, 6337, 6341, 6345, 6349, 6353, 6357, 6361, 6365, 6369, 6377, 6381, 6609, 6874, 6882, 6890, 6894, 6902, 7030, 7038, 7042, 7046, 7054, 7062, 7066, 7078, 7090, 7098, 7104, 7110, 7118, 7141, 7145, 7149, 7153, 7157, 7161, 7165, 7173, 7185, 7707, 7711, 7821, 8234,	N/A	

Question 9 – Do you have any comments on the evidence base? Please give reasons why.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Comments on the Evidence Base			
Inaccuracies in the Green Belt Study/ recommends changes to the Green Belt Study	2069, 2504, 3484, 3620, 4211, 4925, 5122, 5154, 5313, 5458, 5558, 6300, 6310	<p>The Council will revisit the Green Belt Study to ensure that inaccuracies are rectified.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the results of the Green Belt Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Disagrees with the Landscape Studies' assessments or methodology/ recommends changes to the Landscape Studies	385, 901, 2069, 4211, 5313, 5458, 5486, 5810, 6300	<p>The Council will revisit the Landscape Study to ensure that inaccuracies are rectified.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the results of the Landscape Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Lack of detail in the Statement of Compliance with S.110	6546	Development and regeneration is happening all over the Country and is national requirement for all areas to	Continue to work with partners and stakeholder,

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Localism Act 2011: Duty to Cooperate		<p>provide for growth. The Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs.</p> <p>The Council work jointly with neighbouring areas on a number of different areas, including joint evidence bases. One of the joint evidence bases is the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, which has been used in the preparation of the Local Plan. However, the Council will continue to work with neighbouring authorities and other partners on Duty to Co-operate issues and provide more information in the next version of the Statement of Compliance.</p>	<p>including neighbouring authorities.</p> <p>Provide more information on the Duty to Cooperate activities in the next version of the Statement of Compliance.</p>
Transport modelling did not model all relevant junctions and routes	2069, 5092, 6172, 6599	<p>Noted. The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of Local Plan Spatial Options for development of 16,000 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. It is appreciated that this assessment only goes into a certain amount of detail in terms of the potential impact of development on the road network and additional evidence will need to be gathered to work up</p>	<p>Revisions will be made as appropriate to the Local Plan evidence base as work progresses to pre-submission stage.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>required improvements that will need to accompany each proposed area for development.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p>	<p>suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	
Recommends changes to the Transport Assessments	5234, 6650	<p>Noted. Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated. And this will be considered in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	<p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.</p> <p>Complete a Highway Mitigation Modelling Assessment to support</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			the production of the Council's Local Plan.
Transport modelling and impact on adjoining authorities has not been fully assessed	5234, 5458, 6599	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of two Local Plan development options of 16,000 and 22,700 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. In fact, scenarios in excess of Spatial Option 2A have been modelled as part of this assessment. It is appreciated that the Report only breaks down the number of additional SHLAA sites that are to be included within each scenario, but the report does make it clear that RLA (committed sites), town centres, and plotland infill also contribute to the modelled scenarios.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to</p>	<p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.</p> <p>Complete a Highway Mitigation Modelling Assessment to support the production of the Council's Local Plan.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>Therefore, the impact of development outside of the Borough boundary will be entirely dependent on the results of the mitigation modelling as potential development sites may need to be relocated if development cannot be successfully accommodated without causing unacceptable impact on the highway network. As such, phase 2 of the mitigation modelling will incorporate cross boundary issues and determine where addition modelling of the road network outside the borough will need to take place.</p>	
Requests further highways mitigation modelling in light of capacity issues identified to the north of Wickford and Billericay in the Highways Report	6599	<p>Noted. The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of two Local Plan</p>	<p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.</p> <p>Complete a Highway Mitigation Modelling Assessment to support</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>development options of 16,000 and 22,700 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. In fact, scenarios in excess of Spatial Option 2A have been modelled as part of this assessment. It is appreciated that the Report only breaks down the number of additional SHLAA sites that are to be included within each scenario, but the report does make it clear that RLA (committed sites), town centres, and plotland infill also contribute to the modelled scenarios.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>Therefore, the impact of development outside of the Borough boundary will be entirely dependent on the results of the mitigation modelling as potential development sites may need to be relocated if development cannot be successfully accommodated without causing unacceptable impact on the highway network. As such, phase 2 of the mitigation modelling will incorporate cross boundary issues and determine where addition modelling of the road network outside the borough will need to take place.</p>	the production of the Council's Local Plan.
It isn't clear how development	5234	The Highway Impact Assessment has taken	The Council will work

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
traffic in developments outside of the Borough has been considered in the Transport Model		consideration of development outside of the Borough as part of the 'background growth' that is factored into the transport model. Further work to determine what solutions to the road network are required to mitigate this impact will also include the potential impact of development proposals outside of the Borough boundary.	with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.
Inaccuracies in the SHLAA/recommends changes to the SHLAA	352, 1258, 1648, 6208, 6310	<p>Noted. The Council prepares a Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA). This was previously called the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) but has changed due to the publication of the Planning Practice Guidance in 2014. The HELAA assesses sites for their suitability for development. The HELAA includes sites, which have been found suitable. These sites have to be found available, which means the landowner is known and have to be viable. Sites that are not suitable, available or viable can not be considered to meet the development needs in the Borough, inline with the National Planning Policy Framework. However, the Council undertakes a review of the HELAA every year to determine if any circumstances have changed.</p> <p>The HELAA and the Residential Land Assessment is taken into used to inform the five year land supply, years 6-10 and years 15+ to ensure deliverable sites are put forward over the plan period.</p>	Review the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment on an annual basis and review the use of sites in the Residential Land Assessment.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Inaccuracies in the Housing Growth Topic Paper/recommends changes to the Housing Growth Topic Paper	933, 963, 964, 2336, 2367, 5486	<p>The Council will revisit the Housing Topic Paper to ensure that inaccuracies are rectified. However, the population projections using baseline data from the Census 2011 will be published in November 2014. The Council will use this data to determine whether the Objectively Assessed Need as set out in the Housing Topic Paper is still the most appropriate across the Plan period.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Approach in the Greater Essex Demographic Forecast Report is flawed	963, 1211, 5140	<p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, the Greater Essex Demographic Forecast Report has been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.
Inaccuracies in the Historic Environment Characterisation report 2010-2011/	908, 1132, 2176	<p>The Council will ensure that inaccuracies are rectified.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the results of the Historic Environment</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
recommends improvements to the historic environment evidence base		<p>Characterisation report, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Recommends a Tall Building Study be carried out	4211	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities to prepare a Local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. It would need to demonstrate that the preparation of the Local Plan would require a Tall Building Study to formulate policy.</p> <p>Apart from a few exceptions in Basildon Town Centre, which are to be reduced in size, the Borough has a limited amount of tall buildings and therefore it is unlikely to be acceptable on the character and appearance of the street scene to accommodate tall buildings in the Borough.</p>	Consider whether a Tall Building Study should be prepared.
Recommends updates to Local Wildlife Site Review	6610	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Plan Authorities to prepare a local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. The Council assess all Local Wildlife Sites in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, in the Green Belt Study, in the Landscape Study and Sustainability Appraisal. The Council work closely with Natural England, Essex</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Wildlife Trust and other wildlife bodies to ensure that any development offsets any impact to Local Wildlife Sites. Core Policy 9: Conservation and Natural Environment requires that the natural environment should be protected, enhanced, restored and increased in partnership with conservation bodies.</p>	
Suggests future content of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan	6632	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. This will then be taken into account as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. The Council will consider the suggestions made when preparing the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council will produce an Infrastructure Delivery plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	Consider suggestions when preparing the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Billericay Design Statement wasn't listed in the evidence base and should be	1236, 1858, 1888, 2016, 2003, 2073, 3260, 3271, 6562, 6627	Noted. The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Studies and reports produced	1858, 6562	Noted. The Council will keep this situation under review	The Council will keep this

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
by Town Councils should have been used when preparing the plan		in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
The GTAA under estimates future need	3156	<p>Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Essex wide Gypsy and Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment has also used the same methodology to determine the future need for all local authorities within the study area.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.</p>	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.
No study of the South Green Area appears to have been carried out	3800	The Council have carried out a number of studies. In 2004, the Council carried out an Urban Land Capacity Study, which considered the densities within the Borough. The Urban Land Capacity Study considered the settlement of South Green. Between 2010 – 2013 the Council has carried a Green Belt Study, a Landscape Study, a Historic Environment Assessment, and a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, which	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		has all considered the land around South Green.	
Evidence is inaccurate / flawed	2896, 3238, 3442, 6398	<p>The Council will ensure that inaccuracies are rectified. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p> <p>The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Supports the evidence base	137, 917, 5421, 5558, 5832, 5870, 6165	Noted.	N/A
Evidence provided is not sufficient or clear to justify proposals	644, 727, 1622, 2195, 4211, 4646, 4849, 5154, 6022, 6599, 7219	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities to prepare a Local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. It would need to be demonstrated that the preparation of the Local Plan would require additional evidence to formulate policy.</p> <p>Further, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.	
Evidence base has not been taken into account when preparing the plan	629, 1044, 2367, 5731	<p>Noted. The most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
'Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts - Phase 2 Scenario Development' places emphasis on the rise in number of the labour force between 2012 -2017 which is believed to represent	3129	The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to	The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
construction jobs and as such should not be used to mislead the public		<p>meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough. Therefore, the need to build housing is determined by the projected population increase and is not the corollary for it. For example, the 2011 Sub-National Population Projections estimate that the population in the Basildon Borough will increase from 174,971 to 187,879 by 2021.</p> <p>The Census 2011 shows that the population is increasing. There are more births than deaths as people are living longer. Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to provide for their development needs.</p> <p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. Development can provide benefits, such as additional service and road</p>	Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>improvements to the existing communities.</p> <p>In the production of the Council's Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options, careful consideration of different communities has been made including such things as housing density, housing mix, design and the built environment, flood risk, conservation and the natural environment, open spaces, and supporting infrastructure such as schools, hospitals etc. to ensure that any new development is not only in keeping with the existing settlement but also provides a benefit to new and existing communities.</p>	
Evidence for dwelling requirements should be based on up to date information and robust methodology	5234	Noted.	N/A
Additional technical evidence on transport is required to inform the Policy Areas for Development and Change	5092, 5234	<p>Noted. The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of Local Plan Spatial Options for development of 16,000 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. It is appreciated that this assessment only goes into a certain amount of detail in terms of the potential impact of development on the road network and additional evidence will need to be gathered to work up required improvements that will need to accompany each proposed area for development.</p>	<p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.</p> <p>Complete a Highway Mitigation Modelling</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that</p>	<p>Assessment to support the production of the Council's Local Plan.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	
Additional work on the Essex and Basildon GTAA need to inform the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options	5234	<p>Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Essex wide Gypsy and Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment has also used the same methodology to determine the future need for all local authorities within the study area.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.</p>	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Disagrees with how the Objectively Assessed Need for housing was derived	2367, 4211, 5486	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area. The Gypsy and Traveller need is set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Local Need Accommodation Assessment, which requires the Borough to provide 240 pitches. This provision includes the natural growth of the Gypsy and Traveller community within the Borough, as well as addressing</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>unauthorised provision.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Employment figures in the Core Strategy and those in the Employment Land and Premises Study don't correlate	2367	<p>Noted. Recently released Planning Practice Guidance on the 'Assessment of Housing and Economic Development Needs' supports local planning authorities in objectively assessing and evidencing development needs for housing (both market and affordable); and economic development (which includes main town centre uses).</p> <p>To understand the 'objectively assessed need' for housing we must understand what is required to address demographic change over the plan period. The Planning Advisory Service (PAS) has prepared nine fundamental principles that should guide the approach to understand and calculate housing need, including the use of up to date demographic evidence to understand how the population has changed in the past and what components of change (births, deaths, migration) are that have contributed to this. Also to Benchmark different scenarios against the economic growth ambitions and population that will be required to deliver the total number of jobs.</p> <p>The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough. Therefore, the need to build housing is determined by the projected population increase, and the Employment Land & Premises Study, (which has been used to identify the requirement for employment land and jobs over the same plan period), has used a demand led approach in line with the NPPF to determine the employment requirements created by the increased number of households.</p> <p>The Council has used the appropriate evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
Failed to make proper assessment of land East of Noak Bridge. Alternative option 3 doesn't reflect evidence base.	5959	Noted. The Landscape Study, Green Belt Study and Sustainability Appraisal indicated that land in the broad location to the east and north east of Noak Bridge may offer potential to accommodate development needs in the future, subject to measures to manage flood risk.	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Resources/ statistics can be employed to ensure evidence fits the proposed plans	258, 3077, 3527	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities to prepare a Local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	
Interested parties lack the resources to counter the evidence base or produce their own evidence base.	258, 1003	<p>Noted. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	N/A
Lack of community involvement in producing evidence base	3066	<p>In accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) there have been some targeted consultations and workshops. Examples of these include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stakeholder group – viability and methodology of SHLAA • Landscape study workshop • G&T methodology • SO Topic - stat bodies 	Continue to engage with the community, business and stakeholders.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment land and premise study – survey for the business community • CA and Management Plan – community consultations. • SCI - technical consultations 	
Much more objective and rational approach is required to identify land in the Green Belt than the approach used in the Green Belt Study	5122, 6562	<p>Noted. The methodology set out in the Green Belt Study is compatible with NPPF and sound studies undertaken elsewhere in England.</p>	N/A
Evidence base suggest development should occur to the South East of Basildon between Pitsea and Bowers Gifford in preference to the approach in PADC6	3161	<p>Noted. Land to the south of the A13 (around 10% of the Borough's total land area) is largely constrained by national wildlife sites, tidal floodplain, grazing marsh, RSPB nature reserves, a country park and waste disposal operations. This location is therefore not suitable for meeting development needs, which is why the Local Plan proposes to enhance the area's recreational potential through expanded nature reserves and enhanced public access instead.</p> <p>It has been proposed in the Local Plan that the village of Bowers Gifford takes a share of 200 homes split between Crays Hill, Ramsden Bellhouse and itself and an area to the north of the village be used to accommodate at least 2,000 homes through PADC6.</p>	N/A
No traffic studies have been commissioned	5154	The Council have carried out a Highway Borough Model in 2011.	Revisions will be made as appropriate to the Local

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of Local Plan Spatial Options for development of 16,000 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. It is appreciated that this assessment only goes into a certain amount of detail in terms of the potential impact of development on the road network and additional evidence will need to be gathered to work up required improvements that will need to accompany each proposed area for development.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>Plan evidence base as work progresses to pre-submission stage.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
<p>The NPPF requires all development that would generate significant amounts of traffic to be supported by a Transport Assessment. Where are they?</p>	5101	<p>Noted. The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of Local Plan Spatial Options for development of 16,000 households and associated employment development on the highway network in the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. It is appreciated that this assessment only goes into a certain amount of detail in terms of the potential impact of development on the road network and additional evidence will need to be gathered to work up required improvements that will need to accompany each proposed area for development.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>Revisions will be made as appropriate to the Local Plan evidence base as work progresses to pre-submission stage.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	
Evidence base and subsequent policies fail to meet the requirements of NPPF	1648	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Plan Authorities to prepare a local Plan based on a proportionate evidence base. The Council	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
paragraph 75 – Protect and enhance public rights of way		assess all Public Rights of Way in the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, in the Green Belt Study, in the Landscape Study and Sustainability Appraisal. The Council work closely with Essex Highways to ensure that any development offsets any impact to Public Rights of Way. Core Policy 10: Green Infrastructure seeks the protection, restoration, extension and enhancement of the Green Infrastructure network, including public rights of ways.	
Request that all copies of evidence base referred to in all PADCs are available online	5158	All evidence base documents including reports, technical studies and surveys which were used to inform the preparation of the Local Plan Core Strategy continue to be available to view and download from the Council's Basildon 2031 webpage (www.basildon.gov.uk/basildon2031)	N/A
An independent archaeological survey not paid for by the developer	8230	Noted. This is not a matter for the Local Plan process. It is dealt with as part of the planning application process.	N/A
Other Comments			
Approach to the South East is on a short term basis of 20 years	47	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires all Local Planning Authorities to provide sites for the first five years, year 6-10 and broad location for years 11-15.</p> <p>The Council also has to monitor delivery and review the plan as appropriate. Therefore it would be unrealistic and delivery of sites would be uncertain if the plan was</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		any longer than 15-20 years.	
Support Option 2A	1143	Noted	N/A
Option 2A does not represent a proportionate distribution to existing settlement populations in the main town.	5115	Core Policy 1 – Settlement Housing Distribution, states that the Council will distribute a proportionate amount of growth (Option 2A) to the main towns in line with the Settlement Hierarchy. This means that a similar proportion of housing will be distributed to each settlement depending on the size of the original settlement area. For example, 2011 Census data relating to the total number of households contained in the different wards for each of the three largest settlements show approximately 12,541 households in Billericay, 12,825 in Wickford and 44,776 in Basildon. Therefore, the proposed allocation in Spatial Option 2A of 2,500 in Billericay, 2,800 in Wickford and 10,125 in Basildon represents an increase of between 20–23% for each of these settlements.	Consider wording changes to Core Policy 1 to provide more clarity as to the ideology behind the spatial distribution of development within the Local Plan Core Strategy.
Preferred Option is the wrong option	1071	Noted.	Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2A in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Suggests new town	457	<p>Noted. The Council considered the options for a new settlement in the Borough as part of the development of its Spatial Options Topic Paper.</p> <p>This appraisal found:</p>	N/A.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		There is not the land in the Borough to accommodate all of the development requirements	
The assessment of alternative options does not meet the requirements of the EU SEA Directive	5731	<p>The SA report has been prepared in line with good practice guidance, notably '<i>A Practical Guide to the SEA Directive, Office of the Deputy Prime Minister</i> (September, 2005).' Table 1.1: <i>Meeting the Requirements of the SEA Directive</i> of the Main SA Report sets out where the various elements of the SEA Directive have been met. These will be met in full once the final Plan and SA/SEA Report ('the Environmental Report') are consulted on at publication stage.</p> <p>All reasonable alternatives were assessed to the same level of detail as the Policy Areas for Development and Change.</p>	N/A
Suggests amendments to Core Policies	508, 1258	Noted.	Consider the proposed changes to policy wording.
Next iteration should provide more detail on strategic matters, particularly housing need, and how they will be addressed in the Borough.	6546	Noted.	The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			<p>The Council should consider grouping its Core Policies, Site Allocations and Development Management policies into one Local Plan document that could provide enough detail on the sites and infrastructure requirements to ensure the Council can meet its 5 year housing land supply.</p>
Council should consider the potential of identifying smaller scale sustainable sites in the Green Belt in view of urgent lack of housing land supply	2504	Noted.	<p>The Council should consider grouping its Core Policies, Site Allocations and Development Management policies into one Local Plan document that could provide enough detail on the sites and infrastructure requirements to ensure the Council can meet its 5 year housing land supply.</p>
No proper explanation of how/why the 2012 plan was	1220, 1307, 1785	Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
altered		<p>positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. The NPPF was published when the Council was out for consultation on the previous strategy.</p> <p>The consultation on the previous strategy and the publication of the NPPF and the Planning Practice Guidance in 2014 reinforces that the previous strategy would be found unsound as the Council must meet its development needs.</p> <p>Development needs are based on population forecasts and economic forecasts rather than land capacity, which the previous strategy was based on. If the Council had pursued with the previous strategy, it is highly likely it would have submitted the document to the Planning Inspectorate to be told that it was not compliant with national policy and to review it.</p> <p>Chapter one of the Local Plan Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report provides background on the previous strategy and explains the reasons behind the decision to prepare Revised Preferred Options.</p>	
Change in policy to develop on Green Belt has arisen not	6595	Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
because of the needs of the Borough but from pressure by external sources and this should be resisted		<p>positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p>	
The Council has not made clear that 16,000 homes is a minimum	1785	Core Policy 1 - Settlement Housing Distribution within the Local Plan Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report states that the 16,000 new homes provision is a minimum target across the plan period.	N/A
Population will only increase if housing is built	235	The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough. Therefore, the need to build housing is determined by the projected population increase and is not the corollary for it. For example, the 2011 Sub-National Population Projections estimate that the population in the Basildon Borough will increase from	The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>174,971 to 187,879 by 2021.</p> <p>The Census 2011 shows that the population is increasing. There are more births than deaths as people are living longer. Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) have a statutory duty to provide for their development needs.</p> <p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p>	
Agrees with housing requirement	731	Noted	N/A
Disagrees with housing requirement	1921, 5154	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the</p>	The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 new homes per annum to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Housing needs should reflect to a greater extent the judgement of Councillors and the expressed views of residents	6562	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 new homes per annum to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>any evidence or priorities change.</p> <p>Further, The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	
Employment land projections and housing figures are too high	4211	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 new homes per annum to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in</p>	The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>accordance with national policy. The Spatial Topic Paper, in line with the Employment Land and Premises Study describes how 49ha of employment land is required in order to serve and maintain the economic position of the Borough. This would provide around 8,500 jobs which is the middle of both the Experian and economic East of England Forecast Model, that suggest a need for 35,000 jobs within the Thames Gateway Area.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Questions whether jobs created will be for local people or go to those who do not live in the borough	3118	<p>In line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) the Council have determined the level of future job creation based on the projected increased number of households up to 2031. The estimated increase in population which contributes to the additional households is based on population projections from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. Therefore, the 8,600 jobs support the additional households which may be local people or people who have migrated to the area.</p> <p>Further it is too simplistic to assume settlements can be completely self-sufficient in job provision. For example many residents in the Borough commute to other areas outside the Borough for their employment needs (e.g. City of London/ Chelmsford).</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Doesn't agree with figures being imposed by Government/ externally	1119, 3001, 3225	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 new homes per annum to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy.</p>	N/A
Housing demand exceeds supply and need	1422	<p>The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough. Therefore, the need to build housing is determined by the projected population increase and is</p>	<p>The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>not the corollary for it. For example, the 2011 Sub-National Population Projections estimate that the population in the Basildon Borough will increase from 174,971 to 187,879 by 2021.</p> <p>The Census 2011 shows that the population is increasing. There are more births than deaths as people are living longer. Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to provide for their development needs.</p> <p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p>	
Consider higher supply of housing	5313	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Housing Growth Topic Paper sets out that the</p>	<p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>development need for the Borough, in line with the NPPF, should be in the region of 800 new homes per annum to accommodate a growing labour force. This also includes any backlog and growth from London, which the Borough has a requirement to provide for in accordance with national policy.</p> <p>The 16,000 new homes provision across the plan period is a minimum target and does not restrict growth above this level.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Higher housing allocation in Crays Hill, Ramsden Bellhouse and Bowers Gifford	1258	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development.</p> <p>The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an</p>	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website.</p> <p>The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
Travellers should be provided with adequate facilities and then be subject to council tax, rent, utilities bill etc	2863	<p>Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Essex wide Gypsy and Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment has also used the same methodology to determine the future need for all local authorities within the study area.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as</p>	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.	
The proposals will devalue existing properties which are purchased at a premium because of their Green Belt views	5154	Noted. However this is not a valid planning consideration that the Council can take into account when developing its Local Plan.	N/A
There are sites outside of the Green Belt that are more appropriate for development	1494	With the exception of the Nethermayne development site, which was safeguarded for housing development in the 1990's, all land outside of the urban area of the three main towns and the settlement boundaries of certain villages, all land is located within the Green Belt. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. The Council's objectively assessed needs are identified in the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options as 16,000 new homes and 49ha of employment land. The Borough's urban area only has the capacity to accommodate 6,900 homes and 38ha of employment land. Therefore, in order to plan positively to meet the overall needs within the Borough boundary, the Council must consider allocating land in the Green Belt for development.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Concerned over Green Belt development	483, 527, 563, 1034, 1165, 1273, 1385, 1572, 2090, 2698, 2792, 2968, 3089, 3118, 3592, 4462, 5092, 5138, 6172	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy, the LPA must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Council have carried out a Green Belt review and identified the most appropriate locations for development so that the areas of highest Green belt value can be protected and defendable in the long term.</p>	N/A
Proposed growth isn't in the spirit of the Green Belt purposes as stated in the NPPF	3118	Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>In accordance with national planning policy, the LPA must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Council have carried out a Green Belt review and identified the most appropriate locations for development so that the areas of highest Green belt value can be protected and defendable in the long term.</p>	
Concerned that designated areas such as Mill Meadows and Norsey Woods will in the future be up for discussion as potential development areas	5138	Norseay Wood and part of Mill Meadows have been given the statutory designation as Sites of Specific Scientific Importance which means they are of national importance for their contribution to wildlife and are afforded a high level of protection. Core Policy 9 supports this by seeking to protect and enhance sites of national importance.	N/A
Does not want any development	354, 3019	<p>The Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs and has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base</p>	Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities. The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	<p>requirements of the Borough.</p>
Concerned at level of development	912, 3118	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base</p>	<p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages</p> <p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>Further, the Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs. If the Borough could not accommodate its development needs, then under the</p>	<p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		Duty to Co-operate, as set out in the Localism Act 2011, the Council would have to ask neighbouring authorities to assist in helping meet the Borough's need.	
Concerned over noise and privacy	3759	In the production of the Council's Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options, careful consideration of the community has been made including such things as housing density, housing mix, design and the built environment, flood risk, conservation and the natural environment, open spaces, and supporting infrastructure such as schools, hospitals etc. to ensure that any new development is not only in keeping with the existing settlement but also provides a benefit to new and existing communities.	Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.
Concern regarding the impact of development on the local environment	669, 5092	<p>Noted. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. Development can provide benefits, such as additional service and road improvements to the existing communities.</p> <p>In the production of the Council's Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options, careful consideration of different communities has been made including such things as housing density, housing mix, design and the built environment, flood risk, conservation and the natural environment, open spaces, and supporting</p>	<p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		infrastructure such as schools, hospitals etc. to ensure that any new development is not only in keeping with the existing settlement but also provides a benefit to new and existing communities.	
Concerned about infrastructure capacity of local services	457, 552, 563, 564, 1034, 1087, 1273, 1644, 1829, 1921, 2096, 2156, 2910, 3066, 3118, 3186, 3528, 4754, 5092, 5186, 5458	<p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.
Concerned about the capacity of the transport network/ how it can be improved	564, 1644, 1921, 2096, 2156, 2718, 3118, 3710, 4462, 4636, 5092, 5101, 5178, 5186, 6300, 7239	The Basildon Borough Local Plan Highway Impact Assessment was commissioned to provide an assessment of the impact of Local Plan Spatial Options for development of 16,000 households and associated employment development on the highway network in	Revisions will be made as appropriate to the Local Plan evidence base as work progresses to pre-

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>the Basildon Borough up to the year 2031. It is appreciated that this assessment only goes into a certain amount of detail in terms of the potential impact of development on the road network and additional evidence will need to be gathered to work up required improvements that will need to accompany each proposed area for development.</p> <p>Basildon Borough Council in partnership with Essex County Council has commissioned Essex Highways to carry out mitigation modelling on the highway impact of potential development sites to determine whether or not the level of development proposed can be successfully accommodated.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan</p>	<p>submission stage.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	
Unclear where funding for transport and infrastructure improvements will come from.	5186	<p>In accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to</p>	<p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	cost.
Local people should decide where sites should be	2807	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be</p>	<p>Council should consider strengthening policies on local character to suitably guide development in the Borough to avoid loss of character and identify.</p> <p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages</p> <p>Continue to work with partners, stakeholders and communities.</p> <p>The Council will keep under review the latest population projections and determine how these</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>Further, the Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs. If the Borough could not accommodate its development needs, then under the Duty to Co-operate, as set out in the Localism Act 2011, the Council would have to ask neighbouring authorities to assist in helping meet the Borough's need.</p>	<p>impact the development requirements of the Borough.</p>
Concern regarding the need for green spaces for recreation, environment and/or flood risk	1293, 2096,	<p>Noted. The Council is trying through the Local Plan to avoid building on green spaces too much in the future. It accepts that green spaces are vital components of where people live and work and support wildlife, drainage management and recreation, as well as promote better health and well-being.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>This is one of the reasons why the Council believes that reviewing the Green Belt beyond the edges of the urban areas is a necessary consideration to accommodate development needs. Whilst this route will result in a loss of countryside, the development can be planned to incorporate new green spaces to become the parks and recreational areas of the future, supporting the Borough's growing population.</p>	
Concerned over increased risk of flooding	3118, 3346, 4462, 4754, 7239	<p>The Council have had regard to the Basildon Borough Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA, 2011) and the Local Plan Flood Risk Sequential Test Report (Dec 2013) in determining its preferred option and the yields of potential development sites located in flood risk areas, and will continue to do so in any future revisions and throughout the Local Development Plan process. The SFRA, alongside the National Planning Policy Framework highlight the importance of regard to flooding in an area. Direct mention of flood risk has been identified in Core Policy 16.</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p>
Provides recommendations on the future requirements for healthcare infrastructure based on proposed housing figures	6586	<p>Noted.</p>	<p>Consider the recommendations as the Council updates its Infrastructure Baseline Study.</p> <p>The Council will work</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			with relevant infrastructure providers including the NHS Care Commissioning Group to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.
Recalculation of educational need required to better reflect the 16,000 need, not the higher figures initially used	5248	Noted. Basildon Council has thus far worked in partnership with the Education Authority on a high level growth scenario to determine the 'worst-case' future need for education up to 2031. Basildon Council appreciates that this is unlikely to be indicative of the final educational requirements associated with the housing provision contained in the Revised Preferred Option and will continue to work with Essex County Council, as the education authority, to provide an accurate educational requirement associated with each of the PADCs.	The Council will work with Essex County Council, as the education authority, to determine accurate education requirements associated with each strategic location for development to be allocated in the Local Plan, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.
Developers should pay for infrastructure	2968	Noted. The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to	The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>Eventually, this information will be used to prepare a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), which will place a tax on all development to provide for the necessary infrastructure.</p>	to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.
Proposes new railway link connecting Liverpool Street line and Fenchurch line by A130	2792	Noted. However, this is not a proposal of Network Rail. The Council will continue to work with Infrastructure providers in the Borough in the preparation of the Local Plan.	Continue to work with Infrastructure providers in the Borough in the preparation of the Local Plan.
Suggests amendments to waste water treatment requirements for PADCs	508	Noted.	Consider the proposed changes to policy wording.
Area 13 should not be included in Option 2A	457	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
Concern over whether there is sufficient land available within the PADCs, particularly North West Basildon and PADC15	2367	<p>Noted. The Council prepares a Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA). This was previously called the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) but has changed due to the publication of the Planning Practice Guidance in 2014. The HELAA assesses sites for their suitability for development. The HELAA includes sites, which have been found suitable. These sites have to be found available, which means the landowner is known and have to be viable. Sites that are not suitable, available or viable can not be considered to meet the development needs in the Borough, inline with the National Planning Policy Framework. However, the</p>	<p>Review the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment on an annual basis and review the use of sites in the Residential Land Assessment.</p> <p>Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Council undertakes a review of the HELAA every year to determine if any circumstances have changed.</p> <p>The HELAA and the Residential Land Assessment is taken into used to inform the five year land supply, years 6-10 and years 15+ to ensure deliverable sites are put forward over the plan period.</p>	
Billericay West Deferred Area should be the Preferred Option over the two extensions to the east of Billericay to minimise urban sprawl towards Wickford and Basildon	419	<p>Noted. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that the objectively assessed need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Concerned with development proposals for Billericay	563, 564, 989, 1273, 1384	Noted. The Council prepares a Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (HELAA). This was previously called the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) but has changed due to the publication of the Planning Practice Guidance in 2014.	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>The HELAA assesses sites for their suitability for development. The HELAA includes a number of brownfield sites, which have been found suitable. These sites have to be found available, which means the landowner is known and have to be viable. Sites that are not suitable, available or viable can not be considered to meet the development needs in the Borough, inline with the National Planning Policy Framework. However, the Council undertakes a review of the HELAA every year to determine if any circumstances have changed.</p> <p>On a separate note, the Local Plan includes policies that promote the use of brownfield sites and this will be monitored annually. The Local Plan also encourages the use of empty properties in line with the TGSE Housing Strategy and Empty Homes Programme. However, to meet the development needs of the Borough, Greenfield sites will be required. Notwithstanding the results of the Green Belt Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process</p>	<p>new/updated evidence.</p> <p>Review the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment on an annual basis and review the use of brownfield sites in the Authorities Monitoring Report</p> <p>The Council must continue to engage with infrastructure providers to identify what infrastructure is required to support the Borough's proposed growth, when it is needed by and how much it is forecast to cost.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities</p>	
Ramsden Bellhouse is unsuitable for Green Belt de-listing	5167	<p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>In accordance with national planning policy, the LPA must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Council have carried out a Green Belt review and identified the most appropriate locations for development so that the areas of highest Green Belt value can be protected and defendable in the long term.</p>	
Council hasn't taken into account current over-development and views of the public in relation to PADC10 (Wickford South)	644	<p>Noted. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that the objectively assessed need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p> <p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and</p>	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	
Focus growth in Basildon	2792, 3282, 3293, 5186	Noted.	Review appropriateness of Spatial Option 2C in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
State of towns is enough evidence	2303	Noted	N/A
Supports development in North Benfleet due to its location and potential infrastructure provision	305	Noted.	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Development appears focused in one area east of Billericay	3139	Noted	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Nethermayne development is contrary to the proposed policy	4061	The Core Strategy must reflect the fact that PADC4 has planning permission to provide 750 new homes and the following supporting infrastructure – a new local shopping parade, a new primary school, future expansion land for St Luke's Hospice, new open spaces, new roads and upgrades to the A176 and Dry Street, in addition to a relocated college and market in PADC1: Basildon Town Centre.	N/A
Suggests alternative site at Basildon Gateway for employment land	5433	Noted	Review appropriateness of development location in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.
Concerned over coalescence between settlements	552, 3620, 4462	In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that the objectively assessed need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Suggests specific improvements to Basildon Town Centre	511	<p>Noted.</p> <p>PADC1 has identified Basildon Town Centre as the Spatial strategy's focus for mixed-use town centre regeneration. As supported by the National Planning Policy Framework, its regeneration will be co-ordinated and managed by a periodically reviewed Masterplan that aims to improve public and private investment by creating an environment where people want to live, work, shop and relax.</p>	Review the Town Centre Masterplan as and when required in accordance with the NPPF.
Suggests specific housing mix for Laindon Hotel site	1427	<p>Noted. The Council has included a new Housing Mix Policy to ensure developers build a combination of unit types and sizes to help meet local needs.</p>	N/A
Dunton should be included as an unserviced settlement	3620	<p>The Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper 2011 sets out the methodology used to assess the settlements in the Borough. Dunton Village is not considered a suitable size to be considered in the settlement hierarchy.</p> <p>However, Dunton village has been given consideration as part of the landscape and Green Belt Studies. The landscape study and Green Belt studies recognise that the land around Dunton village does not meet Green Belt purpose and therefore could be used to accommodate development.</p>	N/A
Bowers Gifford & North Benfleet farmlands are poor quality Green Belt area	2826	<p>Noted</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
relative to other areas			
The reason some Green Belt locations didn't meet the criteria was that they had been degraded in the past	948	Noted	N/A
Maintain green corridor nearer London	3282, 3293	<p>The Local Plan gives consideration Green Infrastructure within the Borough. However, it can not make decisions or implement policies on areas outside its administration areas.</p> <p>The Council are working with London Borough and the Greater London Authority on the Further Alterations of the London Plan to ensure that the Borough and its character are not adversely impacted from development proposed in London. This includes retaining the Green Corridor.</p>	N/A
There should be a "mechanism of compliance" devoted to the greening aspects of "New Build" housing estates and their connectivity to the surrounding landscape.	1810	<p>Noted. Core Policy 9 requires new development to give consideration to the natural environment. However, setting a mechanism of compliance devoted to greening aspects is too detailed for the Core Strategy. The Planning Practice Guidance prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event</p>	The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		any evidence or priorities	
Proposed Municipal Utility will require the County Council to make improvements to the Dunton/ A127 junction	579	<p>Noted. The design and routing of a potential municipal utility scheme and its supporting pipeline network to serve a potential development location or existing communities is too detailed to be considered in the Local Plan Core Strategy and would instead be a matter addressed by the Site Allocations in either the Basildon Local Plan/ Essex Waste Plan – depending on its classification, or considered as part of a future planning application.</p> <p>If this was included within the Local Plan, then the Council would work closely to consider its impact on the Dunton / A127 junction.</p>	N/A
Not clear how many of the aspirations expressed would be met and where the resources would come from	4943	<p>Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p>	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development. Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.
Proposals have taken account of public response to previous consultation	1143	Noted	N/A
Length of consultation was not sufficient	1384, 1567, 1603, 2262, 2968, 4033, 4462	The Council's consultation on the Core Strategy ran for 8 weeks from 21 st January to 18 th March, which is two weeks longer than the Council is statutorily required to do so in order to give people time to respond. This time period was then extended by a further two weeks up to the 1 st April in response to the public request for more time to make comments.	Carry out any future consultations to at least statutory required timescales.
Issues with the consultation, including its publicity and that comments will not be listened to.	127, 354, 697, 805, 1003, 1188, 1325, 1516, 1716, 1785, 1810, 1858, 1922, 1794, 2153, 2262, 2968, 3077, 3159, 3922, 3975, 3992, 4462, 4704, 5999	The consultation Statement document sets out how the consultation was advertised. The consultation was publicised for 10 weeks in local press, on buses, train stations, in town centre based adverts/bill boards, on the Council website and on local radio. The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	
Doesn't understand the question/ queries the need for technical questions on forms	1516, 4704	Noted. The Council requires comments to be made on all aspects of the Local Plan to provide comprehensive consultation. The Council do not expect everyone to answer questions that they do not feel appropriate. The Council only expect people to answer questions they feel comfortable / want to answer.	Review consultation material in advance of future consultations
Lack of visibility of council employees	1308, 2729, 2740, 2874, 2885	Noted. During the consultation the Council held eight staffed roadshow events across the Borough, in Billericay, Wickford, Basildon, Laindon, Pitsea, with meetings held at different community groups and parish councils as requested so that the general public could ask questions relating to any aspect contained within the Local Plan Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options.	N/A
Text too small on leaflet	1308	Noted. The back page of the leaflet provided information on	Review consultation material in advance of future consultations
Information provided was too vague	1188, 1785, 2153, 3877, 4943	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	
Doesn't think comments will be taken into account	1373	Noted. The Council must demonstrate that they have taken account of all consultation comments to be legally compliant.	N/A
Seen little or no information on the evidence/ don't have access to it	655, 830, 1169, 1177, 1456, 1703, 3360, 3383, 3510, 4943, 4968, 6586	<p>The consultation Statement document sets out how the consultation was advertised. The consultation was publicised for 10 weeks in local press, on buses, train stations, in town centre based adverts/bill boards, on the Council website and on local radio.</p> <p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p>	N/A
Idea of Localism is nonsense	5186	Noted.	N/A
Requests detailed presentation of key facts for Billericay only	1188	<p>During the consultation the Council held eight staffed roadshow events across the Borough, two of these were within Billericay. At the roadshows Council officers were on hand to answer questions and explain what was being proposed.</p> <p>The Council also attended meetings held at different community groups and parish councils as requested so that the general public could ask questions relating to</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		any aspect contained within the Local Plan Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options.	
Questions why Basildon did not publish any maps for the PADCs. In comparison Castle Point consultation provided a prominent map of proposed sites.	1785	<p>A key diagram showing the proposed growth locations in the Borough, also known as Policy Areas for Development and Change, was published within the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report. It was made available on the Basildon 2031 homepage as a stand alone document and copies were available to view at all public roadshows. The exhibition boards and the free summary leaflet also included zoomed in areas of the key diagram for each main settlement.</p> <p>Castle Point Borough Council recently consulted on their Local Plan which included both strategic growth locations and specific site allocations. Basildon Borough Council consulted on its Local Plan Core Strategy which focuses only on strategic growth locations and does not identify specific boundaries.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Would have been useful to have available comments on the plan by service providers	1858	<p>The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network</p>	<p>The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.
Request Theatres Trust is informed of future consultations on Basildon Masterplan	6548	Noted	Consultees responding to the consultation will automatically be added to the Local Plan mailing list to be notified of future consultations.
Request further contact regarding works close to GPSS pipeline	5891	Noted	The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers as the Local Plan progresses
Suggests further discussions on the impact development may have on the railway network	6543	Noted	The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers and statutory consultees including the Office of Rail Regulators to determine the infrastructure

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.
Provides information on the procedure and recommendations to be adopted and followed where development could impact on waste water infrastructure.	508	Noted	The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as Anglian Water as well as the Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant bodies to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.
Refers Local Authorities to the Marine Policy Statement for guidance on any planning activity that includes a section of coastline or tidal river and suggests making reference to the relevant Regulation in the	6542	Noted	Consider the proposed changes to policy wording.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Plan			
Not clear how sub-regional, cross-boundary planning and cooperation is to take place	4943	<p>Development and regeneration is happening all over the Country and is national requirement for all areas to provide for growth. The Borough has a responsibility to provide for growth in line with the Government's growth agenda, which impacts on an area wider than the Borough; such as the Thames Gateway, the East of England, the South East and the UK. The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs.</p> <p>The Council work jointly with neighbouring areas on a number of different areas, including joint evidence bases. One of the joint evidence bases is the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, which has been used in the preparation of the Local Plan. However, the Council will continue to work with neighbouring authorities and other partners on Duty to Co-operate issues.</p>	Continue to work with partners and stakeholder, including neighbouring authorities.
Concerned that the Council has not carried out meaningful engagement with neighbouring authority to meet the Duty to Cooperate	6599	<p>The Council work jointly with neighbouring areas on a number of different areas, including joint evidence bases. One of the joint evidence bases is the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, which has been used in the preparation of the Local Plan. However, the Council will continue to work with neighbouring authorities and other partners on Duty to Co-operate issues.</p>	Work with neighbouring authorities under the Duty to Cooperate to identify any cross-boundary issues associated with development, to ensure that proposed Local Development Plans meet

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			the objectively assessed needs of Borough's in Essex, and the unmet needs of other local authority areas where reasonable to do so; and to ensure that the character and identity of settlements are retained.
Basildon has not cooperated with other Essex Authorities on Gypsy and Traveller provision	3156	<p>The Council commissioned a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment for the Borough, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The findings and methodology of this study fed into the Essex wide Gypsy and Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment which was a collaborative piece of evidence between all Essex Authorities to determine the future need for all local authorities within the study area</p> <p>Further, the Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation, and test against soundness and compliance with the Duty to Cooperate.</p>	Work with neighbouring authorities under the Duty to Cooperate to identify any cross-boundary issues associated with development, to ensure that proposed Local Development Plans meet the objectively assessed needs of Borough's in Essex, and the unmet needs of other local authority areas where reasonable to do so; and to ensure that the character and identity of settlements are retained.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Previous development decisions based on evidence have been wrong but have to trust the experts on what the future needs for the Borough are	1385	Noted	N/A
Questions the rational for how phasing was determined/ recommends changes to the phasing	6165, 6300	<p>Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to ‘plan, monitor and manage’ the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority’s Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy’s Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the ‘Draft Phasing Strategy’ to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		Plan.	
Not able to comment	541, 3838	Noted	N/A
No comment	41, 94, 107, 209, 244, 1198, 1956, 2354, 2376, 2770, 2854, 2957, 2981, 3105, 3197, 3208, 3249, 3308, 3405, 3787, 4047, 4261, 4315, 4316, 4317, 4318, 4319, 4320, 4321, 4379, 4425, 4436, 4442, 4459, 4575, 4587, 4804, 4831, 4969, 5409, 5676, 6011, 6045, 6056, 6146, 6582	Noted	N/A

Question 10 – Do you have any comments on the Service Impact Assessment, Sustainability Appraisal/Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitat Regulations Assessment? Please give reasons why.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Service Impact Assessment			
The Service Impact Assessment does not consider local amenities	1569, 1604	The Service Impact Assessment considers the impact of Plans, Programmes and Policies in line with the Equalities Act 2010. It is not the role of the SIA to take account of local amenities. This is the Local Plan itself.	N/A
Service Impact Assessment is disingenuous to ignore the realities of strategic working for Gypsy and Traveller needs and to describe the provision of under half of the identified need as a positive impact	6601	Noted. Planning consultants working on behalf of the Council have completed a comprehensive Gypsy & Traveller Local Needs Accommodation Assessment, which has determined the number of pitches needed to be allocated throughout the plan period. The Council has subsequently commissioned a Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment to determine the most sustainable locations for these pitches and this document will be published as part of the Council's production of a Local Plan.	Complete Gypsy & Traveller Site Survey Assessment.
Sustainability Appraisal/ Strategic Environment Assessment and Habitats Regulation Assessment			
Sustainability Appraisal, Strategic Environment Assessment and Habitats Regulation Assessment are in line with legislation and supported	1543, 4576	Noted.	N/A
Sustainability Appraisal does not support sustainable development	698, 5187	Noted.	N/A
SEA and HRA do not concur with Green belt or plotland proposals	3078	SA and HRA assess the effects of different development options. The assessments help to inform decisions on which development to take forward.	N/A
Mitigation measures in the	5559	The SA provided an assessment of Broad Locations	Basildon Borough Council

Sustainability Appraisal should be provided in the PADCs		<p>and PADCs and put forward mitigation measures to ameliorate potentially adverse effects. The mitigation put forward during the assessment of Broad Locations was taken into consideration during development of the PADC options.</p> <p>Further mitigation and recommendations identified during the SA of the PADCs will be reviewed and taken forward as necessary during development of the next version of the Plan, alongside consultation comments and new evidence.</p>	Officers to review and incorporate mitigation/recommendations identified through the SA during development of the next version of the Plan.
Habitats Regulation Assessment has only considered Option 2A.	6583	<p>There is no requirement for the HRA to consider the effects of different options; As such, only the preferred spatial option (option 2A) has been subject to HRA, alongside the preferred policy options.</p>	N/A
THE SEA does not meet Regulation 12 as the reasonable alternative provided to PADCs 13 to 15 had already been discounted by the Council as being an undevelopable site and is not comparable in scale.	6301	<p>The reasonable alternative to PADC 13 & 15 (urban extension on land adjacent to Mill Meadows [Snails Hall Farm or Adjacent to Norsey Wood]) was put forward by the Council as the only reasonable alternative for development at PADCs 13 and 15 and was assessed to the same level of detail as the PADCs.</p>	N/A
No post mitigation assessment is carried out of any of the Broad Locations and no pre-mitigation assessment is carried out of any of the PADCs.	6301, 6311	<p>The SEA Regulations (refer to Schedule 2: <i>Information for Environmental Reports</i>) requires the SEA to identify the likely significant effects on the environment (part 6) and (7) The measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and fully as possible offset any significant adverse effects on the environment of implementing the plan or programme. Thus, there is no specific requirement to undertake a pre or post-mitigation assessment.</p> <p>The assessment of the Broad Locations was used to inform the development of PADCs. This included the consideration of mitigation/recommendations put</p>	N/A

		<p>forward through the appraisal of Broad Locations. Thus, the PADC assessment took account of mitigation already built into the PADC options.</p> <p>Any additional mitigation necessary to reduce adverse effects arising from development of the PADCs was set out in <i>Table 7.1: Summary of Recommendations/Mitigation for Core Policies and PADCs</i> within the main SA Report.</p>	
The scoring system should be simplified i.e. no more than 5 categories. It is noted that Billericay is the only area where broad locations to the west of the town are deferred until further work on understanding the highway network has been investigated although SA mentioned no areas will be removed on Highways Grounds	6311	<p>The scoring system used (seven categories incorporating uncertain and mixed effects) is in line with good practice.</p> <p>The 2013 SA report identified uncertainty in the appraisal of effects arising from the Billericay West Deferred Area of Search until detailed Highway Mitigation Testing had been undertaken. Further decisions on development in this location will be undertaken by Basildon Borough Council once this evidence has been received and also drawing on the outcomes of the SA and the consultation.</p>	N/A
Comments made specifically on technical elements of the assessments and question they may not be in line with EU Directive	5314, 5741	<p>The SA report has been prepared in line with good practice guidance, notably '<i>A Practical Guide to the SEA Directive, Office of the Deputy Prime Minister</i> (September, 2005).' Table 1.1: <i>Meeting the Requirements of the SEA Directive</i> of the Main SA Report sets out where the various elements of the SEA Directive have been met. These will be met in full once the final Plan and SA/SEA Report ('the Environmental Report') are consulted on at publication stage.</p> <p>The HRA Report has also been undertaken in line with current available guidance and good practice (as set out on Page 3 of the HRA Report) and seeks to meet the requirements of the Habitats Regulations.</p>	N/A

General Comments			
Assessments should be tested and not assumed to be correct. They are biased.	403, 3067, 3090	<p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p> <p>Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.</p>	N/A
Support growth and people's need should take priority	1987	Noted.	N/A
Council need to employ an ecologist to ensure recommendations and policies are met	1811	<p>Basildon Borough Council has employed Land Use Consultants to carry out the Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessment.</p> <p>Section 19 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires local planning authorities to carry out a 'sustainability appraisal' of the proposals in a Local Plans during its preparation. This overlaps with some of the requirements in the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, which gives effect to European Union Directive 2001/42/EC on the assessment of effect of certain plans and programmes on the environment'. Therefore, the Council must legally appraise the sustainability and environmental effects of proposals in the Local Plan.</p> <p>A Habitat Regulation Assessment is a requirement from the European Habitat Directive (92/43/EEC) and is necessary to assess the impact of the Local Plan's policies and proposals on sites of international</p>	N/A

		<p>importance.</p> <p>Both assessments make recommendations to the policies that the Council must take account of in the preparation of the Local Plan and its policies.</p>	
More emphasise on the environment and global warming	3282, 3294	<p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There are three dimensions to sustainable development; economic, social and environmental.</p> <p>Core Policy 9 sets out that the natural environment should be considered and Core Policy 15, 16 and 17 all consider global warming effects and how to mitigate against it as much as possible. The Council can review the Local Plan to take account that more emphasis may be required on environmental issues and global warming.</p>	Review Local Plan to take account that more emphasis may be required on environmental issues and global warming.
Do not support growth as will impact on quality of life	1045, 1517, 3530, 4953, 5187, 6023	<p>Noted. Quality of Life will also be affected if no development happens in the Borough. Not providing enough homes, of the right type and tenure in areas people want to live will affect the Borough's future generations; and not providing room for businesses to expand will affect the local economy. The Council has tried to strike a balance between meeting these development needs and safeguarding the most biodiversity and heritage rich areas of the Borough that people value highly.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred, which would negatively affect</p>	N/A

		quality of life. Therefore, in accordance with national policy and the identified development needs of the Borough, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.	
River Wid runs through PADC15	553	Noted.	N/A
Knowledge of municipal utility will be essential to plan making for Essex and Basildon	585	Noted.	N/A
Closeness of river to electricity pylons and waste water treatment plant in Shotgate	507	Noted.	N/A
Development will cause loss of habitats, green spaces and polluted environment	465, 507, 670, 689, 728, 1035, 1346, 1399, 1541, 1633, 2036, 2069, 2730, 2741, 2759, 2875, 2886, 3119, 3362, 3385, 4649, 4473, 5179	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There are three dimensions to sustainable development; economic, social and environmental.</p> <p>The Council have prepared policies to ensure that the environmental impact from development is as limited as possible. Further, the Council have put policies in place to mitigate against negative impact. Core Policy 9 requires no net loss to biodiversity and habitats, Core Policy 10-17 are all environmental policies that aim to reduce pollution, climate change, flood risk, and the impact on the natural environment and built environment.</p>	N/A
Too much wildlife	1978	Noted.	N/A
PADC10 has poor highway access and congestion	645	Noted.	N/A
Basildon Hospital and other	65, 283, 392, 459, 689, 728, 752, 987, 1035,	The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Baseline	The Council will continue

infrastructure cannot cope now, let alone with proposed development	1166, 1274, 1281, 1399, 1457, 1505, 1784, 2719, 2798, 2808, 2817, 2838, 2864, 2911, 3067, 3119, 3140, 3362, 3385, 3530, 3729, 4463, 4473, 4542, 5187, 7241	<p>Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will also continue to work with Basildon Hospital and the Care Commissioning Groups on</p>	<p>to work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management of growth as highlighted by the authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts Highway Impact</p>
---	--	---	--

		<p>healthcare issues.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	Assessment
Raises questions the soundness of the document due to deliverability issues and uncertainty	6000	<p>Noted.</p>	Council to consider whether format changes to Local Plan will aide deliverability and certainty issues for development locations.
Should not build on Green Belt, there are better sites and towns will merge and ruin character of the area	272, 327, 465, 683, 741, 1035, 1072, 1399, 1505, 1784, 2036, 2069, 2817, 2911, 2943, 2969, 2982, 3119, 3593, 4463, 4473, 4542, 4649, 4833, 4835, 5179, 7241	<p>Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that the objectively assessed need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has used all this</p>	N/A

		information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.	
Consultation and these documents was not well publicised. It was too difficult to understand. Manageable summaries are required	542, 740, 831, 1004, 1102, 1120, 1178, 1237, 1274, 1310, 1394, 1889, 1923, 1924, 2005, 2091, 2154, 2263, 2947, 2969, 3239, 3261, 3272, 3511, 3761, 3802, 3446, 4463, 4649, 6023, 6596, 6628	<p>Noted. The consultation was publicised for 10 weeks in local press, on buses, train stations, in town centre based adverts/bill boards, on the Council website and on local radio.</p> <p>Everyone on the Council's consultation database was contacted. As part of the consultation, many more people have been added to the Council's database and so should be notified about the next consultation.</p> <p>The Council also prepared a summary leaflet of the main document and all documents had executive summaries.</p>	N/A
Need for more services – restaurants, pubs and takeways in Langdon Hills	259	Noted. The Council are reviewing their Retail and Commercial Leisure to determine the amount of retail and commercial leisure the Borough required.	Carry out a Retail and Commercial Leisure Study
Need for better elderly accommodation and links to health facilities	3729	Noted. Core Policy 4 ensures that specialist accommodation is provided over the plan period and Core Policy 17 requires development to assist in the provision of health care. In line with the Thames Gateway Housing Strategy and the Strategic Housing Market Assessment, the Core Strategy policies set out improvements to health care facilities and elderly accommodation.	N/A
Agree with growth for Crays Hill, Ramsden Bellhouse and Bowers Gifford and plotlands	349, 384, 1505	Noted.	N/A

Residents hold covenant on verges in Orchard Avenue	5168	The Council recognise that the side roads in Ramsden Bellhouse are private and maintained at the expense of the residents and that many of the verges are owned by the adjacent householders. Widening would require their co-operation and agreement. This may be as part of the sites being developed. The level of detail is too detailed for the Core Strategy and would need to be reviewed in another planning policy document.	Provide more detail on the development within Ramsden Bellhouse
Expanding Basildon will not benefit residents	3020	The Census 2011 shows that the population is increasing. There are more births than deaths as people are living longer. Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to provide for their development needs. The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained. Development can provide benefits, such as additional service and road improvements to the existing communities.	N/A
South Green has wildlife conservation role	367	Noted.	N/A
Too many regulations	1717, 3226	Noted. The Council has a duty to meet international and national regulations and legislation.	N/A
Flooding is a serious concern. Measures in building design can mitigate against this.	245, 283, 553, 921, 1166, 1399 , 2730, 2741, 2838, 2875, 2886, 2990, 3119, 4212, 4463, 4473, 4755	The Council have had regard to the Basildon Borough Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA, 2011) and the Local Plan Flood Risk Sequential Test Report (Dec 2013) in determining its preferred option and the yields of potential development sites located in flood	The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood

		<p>risk areas, and will continue to do so in any future revisions and throughout the Local Development Plan process. The SFRA, alongside the National Planning Policy Framework highlight the importance of regard to flooding in an area. The Council have put in place Core Policy 16 which aims to reduce flood risk.</p>	<p>Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p>
More affordable housing should be provided	492	<p>The Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>The Council have also tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable.</p> <p>In addition, the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning</p>	<p>Continue to monitor and viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.</p>

		policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.	
Should regulate policies to ensure mitigation measures are put in place	2069	This is the purpose of the Local Plan. Once the Local Plan is adopted, planning applications can be assessed against the policies within the Local Plan to ensure that the appropriate mitigation measures are in place.	N/A
Support Core Policy 10 as there is a need to improve green infrastructure	3309	Noted.	N/A
Sustainable modes of transport should be maximised.	2278, 3729, 5249,	Noted.	N/A
Must set public open space per person required for developments at a high enough level to promote healthy living and should be a target in the plan for the number and area of Local Wildlife Sites in 2031.	4212	<p>Noted. The Council is trying through the Local Plan to avoid building on green spaces too much in the future. It accepts that green spaces are vital components of where people live and work and support wildlife, drainage management and recreation, as well as promote better health and well-being.</p> <p>This is one of the reasons why the Council believes that reviewing the Green Belt beyond the edges of the urban areas is a necessary consideration to accommodate development needs. Whilst this route will result in a loss of countryside, the development can be planned to incorporate new green spaces to become the parks and recreational areas of the future, supporting the Borough's growing population.</p> <p>The Council can set targets in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	The Council will keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.
Comments on wording for some of the Spatial Objectives	6583	Noted.	Consider wording changes as part of the next version

			of the Local Plan.
All areas of low ecological / landscape value should be allocated for development	138	Notwithstanding the results of the Green Belt Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.	Revisions will be made as appropriate to the Local Plan evidence base as work progresses to pre-submission stage.
Green Belt sub-areas with Protected Species Alert Areas should not be given the lowest level of Protection.	4212	<p>Protected Species Alert Areas are areas that may have protected species within them. Most of the Borough has Protected Species Alert Areas.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) sets out that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. There are three dimensions to sustainable development; economic, social and environmental.</p> <p>Core Policy 9 sets out that the natural environment should be considered. The Council can review the Local Plan to take account that more emphasis may be required on environmental issues.</p>	The Council can review the Local Plan to take account that more emphasis may be required on environmental issues.
No comment or see previous comments	42, 108, 192, 397, 420, 542, 1127, 1199, 1221, 1496, 1646, 1958, 2189, 2337, 2355, 2377, 2711, 2771, 2897, 2920, 2958, 3002, 3106, 3130, 3162, 3187, 3198, 3209, 3250, 3410, 3489, 3711, 3789, 3839, 3878, 4042, 4048, 4262, 4380, 4426, 4588, 4705, 4806, 4970, 5130, 5245, 5410, 5423, 5434, 5459, 5672, 5678, 5833, 5872, 5960, 6012, 6046, 6057, 6148, 6166, 6209, 6563, 6583, 6611, 6651, 7220	Noted.	N/A

Question 11 – Do you have any comments on monitoring, delivery and phasing? Please give reasons why.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Questions how monitoring and phasing will be carried out. Questions the figures within the Local Plan.	284, 543, 1179, 2809, 3533	<p>The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to ‘plan, monitor and manage’ the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority’s Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy’s Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>Recently released Planning Practice Guidance on the ‘Assessment of Housing and Economic Development Needs’ supports local planning authorities in objectively assessing and evidencing development needs for housing (both market and affordable); and economic development (which includes main town centre uses).</p> <p>To understand the ‘objectively assessed need’ for housing we must understand what is required to address demographic change over the plan period. The Planning Advisory Service (PAS) has prepared nine fundamental principles that should guide the approach to understand and calculate housing need,</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the ‘Draft Phasing Strategy’ to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough’s required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need, in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>including the use of up to date demographic evidence to understand how the population has changed in the past and what components of change (births, deaths, migration) are that have contributed to this. Also to Benchmark different scenarios against the economic growth ambitions and population that will be required to deliver the total number of jobs.</p> <p>The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough. Therefore, the need to build housing is determined by the projected population increase, and the Employment Land & Premises Study, (which has been used to identify the requirement for employment land and jobs over the same plan period), has used a demand led approach in line with the NPPF to determine the employment requirements created by the increased number of households.</p> <p>The Local Plan process is assessed by the Secretary of State who appoints a Planning Inspector. The Planning Inspector will independently examine the Local Plan and all its supporting documentation.</p>	

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		Further, many of the evidence bases have been prepared independently by consultants and experts in their specific fields. The Local Plan is also subject to public consultation, which must be taken into account through plan preparation.	
Welcome the Councils commitment to monitoring the Core Strategy and should be publicised.	313, 2017, 2378, 4757, 6629	Noted.	The Council will continue to publish its Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) relating to housing delivery and 5 year housing trajectory on the Planning Policy section of the Council's website.
Unable to locate monitoring framework. Suggests some monitoring indicators	2191, 5251, 6302	Noted, the Council's Annual Monitoring Report is published on the Planning Policy pages of the Council's website.	The Council will consider adding further indicators into its Annual Monitoring Report.
Experts should be listened to and respected as have to meet needs.	3730, 4577	Noted.	N/A
PADC15 is too long term and must be properly considered. It is critical to the Core Strategy	31, 353, 554, 565, 3616, 4213, 5424, 6302	<p>Noted. The draft phasing strategy in Appendix E of the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report has part of the 1,400 homes allocated in PADC5 coming forward outside of the plan period beyond 2031.</p> <p>PADC15, the Billericay Western Expansion Zone has not been specifically identified due to capacity issues with the road network highlighted in the Highway Impact Assessment carried out by Essex Highways. Further mitigation work will need to be carried out to determine whether or not the necessary</p>	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>improvements to the road network can be accommodated without the associated infrastructure costs making proposed development locations unviable.</p> <p>The Council can only control what happens in their administrative area and the Council has a legal obligation to provide for the Borough's development needs. However, if the Borough could not accommodate any of the 1400 houses in PADC15, they will need to be redistributed to elsewhere in Billericay, or the Borough. If this could not be achieved then under the Duty to Co-operate, as set out in the Localism Act 2011, the Council would have to ask neighbouring authorities to assist in helping meet the Borough's need.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>
Timescales for adoption of Plan is ambitious and Council should be prepared to extend the period and level of development if delays in adoption occur.	2378	<p>Noted. The Council must demonstrate that they have taken account of all consultation comments to be legally compliant. Once the Council has agreed what actions will be taken in light of the representations made, it can consider whether it needs to update its Local Development Scheme (LDS) to account for any timetable slippage, or Cabinet decisions on the Plan.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was</p>	<p>The Council should consider grouping its Core Policies, Site Allocations and Development Management policies into one Local Plan document that could provide enough detail on the sites and infrastructure requirements to ensure the Council can meet its 5 year</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	<p>housing land supply.</p> <p>Review the Council's Local Development Scheme (LDS).</p>
<p>Appendix E should be amended to enable urban extensions to start development in 2016-2020. This will meet the housing need of 16,000 homes within the plan period.</p>	<p>97, 109, 117, 147, 193, 199, 255, 732, 1372, 1959, 2378, 5315, 6013, 6167, 6312, 6597</p>	<p>Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>
<p>Small sites under 3 dwellings should commence when planning application has been</p>	<p>936</p>	<p>Noted. Not within the remit of the Local Plan. Under section 91 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, if the local planning authority grants planning</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
approved		<p>permission it is subject to a condition that specifies the time limit within which the development must begin.</p> <p>Planning Practice Guidance released in 2014 states that the local planning authority may wish to consider whether a variation in the time period could assist in the delivery of development. This will be considered on a case by case basis through the Development Management function of the local planning authority.</p>	
Phasing of serviced settlements need to be explained and likely scale of development for each settlement	31	<p>Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to ‘plan, monitor and manage’ the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority’s Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy’s Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single, more detailed Local Plan document that</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the ‘Draft Phasing Strategy’ to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.	
Sequential approach should be adopted to phasing, and other sites considered. Community Infrastructure Levy must be set at a workable level.	319, 4213, 5745	<p>The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>settlement is retained.</p> <p>A number of broad locations and reasonable alternatives have been considered in the formulation of the Spatial Options in accordance with the NPPF. Further details of these can be found in the Spatial Options Topic Paper 2013.</p> <p>The Council, will however, keep this under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event of any evidence or priorities change.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p>	<p>Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p>
Plan is not specific and therefore monitoring, delivery	168, 355, 1074, 1167, 1179, 1812, 2077, 2280, 2316, 2750, 2865, 3227, 3284, 3295, 3594,	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in 2012 and the Planning	Consider whether to prepare a single Local Plan.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
and phasing of the plan is not clear.	4954, 6302	<p>Practice Guidance (PPG) to support the NPPF was published in 2014. The PPG prefers Local Planning Authorities to prepare a single Local Plan document that includes sites and development management policies. Therefore, the Council will consider providing more detail and scale of the development in the next version of the Local Plan.</p> <p>The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p>
Management and maintenance of existing roads and services should be undertaken first.	168, 355, 988, 1074, 1103, 1167, 1179, 1812, 2077, 2280, 2316, 2750, 2865, 2959, 3227, 3284, 3295, 3594, 3790,	<p>Noted. The Council has prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development proposed in the Local Plan. This document is available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development.</p>	<p>Update the Infrastructure Baseline Study through the plan preparation stages.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		Eventually, this information will be used to prepare a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), which will place a tax on all development to provide for the necessary infrastructure.	
Should be flexible in bringing sites forward for development.	158, 2123, 6001	Noted.	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.
We need homes now so should deliver them, but these need to be phased	32, 273, 1482, 2793, 4437	Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.	phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.
Concerns that public consultation is ineffective and not well publicised. Do not understand question	79, 129, 246, 347, 742, 795, 797, 832, 1005, 1204, 1275, 1389, 1414, 1458, 1559, 1593, 1605, 1761, 1812, 1830, 1891, 1915, 1925, 2037, 2092, 2731, 2742, 2783, 2876, 2887, 2921, 2948, 2970, 3068, 3079, 3091, 3240, 3262, 3273, 3284, 3295, 3364, 3386, 3448, 3512, 3764, 4706, 4979, 5180, 6024, 7221, 7242	<p>Noted. The consultation was publicised for a total of 10 weeks in the local press, on buses, in train stations, in town centre based ad vans/bill boards, on the Council website and on local radio.</p> <p>In addition, a number of road shows were carried out in Billericay, Wickford, Basildon, Laindon, Pitsea, with meetings held at different community groups and parish councils as requested so that the general public could ask questions relating to any aspect contained within the Local Plan Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options.</p>	Continue to consult on future documents relating to the Local Plan to at least statutory timescales.
Disagree with PADC4 (Nethermayne Urban Extension)	753	Noted.	N/A.
Major rivers should be seen on the Key Diagram	554	Noted.	Consider inclusion of the major rivers in any future iteration of the Local Plan.
More robust criteria and timescales for monitoring and delivery needed	630	Noted.	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.
Development at West Basildon will need to take into account linkages from the Municipal Utility to the proposed district heating scheme.	587	<p>Noted. The design and routing of a potential municipal utility scheme and its supporting pipeline network to serve a potential development location or existing communities is too detailed to be considered in the Local Plan Core Strategy and would instead be a matter addressed by the Site Allocations in either the Basildon Local Plan/ Essex Waste Plan – depending on its classification, or considered as part of a future planning application.</p> <p>If this was included within the Local Plan, then the Council would work closely to consider its impact on the Dunton / A127 junction.</p>	N/A
Impact of PADC10 should be fully considered	646	Noted.	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Monitoring on Planning permissions and s106 agreements should take place	699	Noted.	<p>The Council will continue to monitor planning permissions, and publish its Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) relating to housing delivery and 5 year housing trajectory on the Planning Policy section of the Council's website.</p> <p>Review the Council's development monitoring and what is included within the AMR report.</p>
16,000 homes should be built in Basildon	1060	Noted. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires Local Planning Authorities (LPA) to meet their full objectively assessed need. The Borough's full objectively assessed need is for 16,000 homes, 49ha of employment land and 240 Gypsy and Traveller pitches. In line with the NPPF, the Council must demonstrate that this need can be met on sites that are ready for development. The Council has many evidence base documents that have been used in the preparation of the Local Plan to determine the most appropriate sites that will have the least negative impact, including assessing the landscape, Green Belt, historic environment and infrastructure improvements. The Council has also prepared an Infrastructure Baseline Study that determines what infrastructure is required to support the development	Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>proposed in the Local Plan. All of these documents are available on the Council's website. The Infrastructure Baseline Study will be updated as the plan moves through its preparation to adoption, to ensure that the appropriate infrastructure is put in place to support development. The Council has used all this information to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
Affordable housing is needed, as well as specialist housing	307, 493, 932, 2827	<p>The Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>The Council have also tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line</p>	Continue to monitor and viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable.</p> <p>In addition, the Council is proposing to introduce a new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	
Proposed development will cause disruption during construction	1045, 2959, 2991, 4545, 5131	<p>Noted. It is accepted that a certain amount of disruption will need to take place in order to allow development to take place. This can be mitigated through the use of planning conditions to a planning permission, as appropriate to each individual application.</p> <p>Planning Practice Guidance states that identifying the circumstances in the Local Plan where consideration will be given to using conditions can add certainty to the process. However, it is still necessary to consider whether conditions would be justified in the particular circumstances of each proposed development, as a Local Plan policy cannot be used to justify a condition that does not meet the 'six tests'.</p>	The Council will apply conditions through the planning application process on a case by case basis to mitigate the disruption caused by development to an acceptable level.

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>The six tests for imposing a planning condition are, and the circumstances where a local planning authority cannot impose a condition are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Conditions which unreasonable impact on the deliverability of a development. 2. Conditions reserving details already submitted as part of an outline application 3. Conditions requiring development to be carried out in its entirety. 4. Conditions requiring compliance with other regulatory requirements (e.g. Building Regulations). 5. Conditions requiring land to be given up. 6. Positively worded conditions requiring payment of money or other consideration. 	
Start dates for development in the Plan should be stuck to	421, 3310	<p>The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p>	

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Development is proposed too early in the Plan period and should be phased over a longer period.	1121	<p>The plan period is from 2011-2031 and the Council's evidence base, which has determined the Council's Objectively Assessed Needs for housing, business and other development need, must therefore be based on this time period.</p> <p>The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to 'plan, monitor and manage' the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority's Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy's Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p>
Urban capacity should be maximised before greenfield sites are developed.	1309, 1506, 2007, 2970, 4545, 6597	<p>Noted. The Council agrees with this opinion, which is why the 6,900 homes estimated to come from the urban area will maximise brownfield sites considered to be suitable, available and achievable for development. Brownfield sites constitute approximately 37% of this total urban capacity.</p>	<p>Consider whether a specific policy should include more detailed guidance on brownfield sites and their expected contribution to development delivery in the Borough.</p>
Other sites should be considered	2983	<p>Noted. A number of broad locations and reasonable alternatives have been considered in the formulation</p>	<p>Review appropriateness of development locations in light</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>of the Spatial Options in accordance with the NPPF. Further details of these can be found in the Spatial Options Topic Paper 2013.</p> <p>The Council, will however, keep this under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event of any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.</p>
Redevelopment of the Laandon Centres should take priority	1046	<p>Noted. The Council are currently working closely with the landowners to bring forward the development. An extension to the Laandon Town Centre could be considered as part of these proposals.</p>	<p>Consider an extension to the Laandon Town Centre in the next version of the Local Plan.</p>
No projects/large developments should take place until Five Links is completed.	1429	<p>Noted. The Council has put together a 'Draft Phasing Strategy' as part of the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report 2013 to ensure that development is brought forward in balance with supporting infrastructure to mitigate the adverse impact of development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
Should not start and then stop because of lack at funds at Fryerns	3003	<p>Noted. This development site was originally proposed to be built in phases, so that supporting infrastructure can also come forward to mitigate the negative impact of the housing development.</p> <p>The development industry is susceptible to fluctuations in market conditions and the slow delivery of homes on this site has been a direct result of the economic downturn.</p>	N/A
Poorer areas of Green Belt, like north east Basildon could be lost to save areas of higher value	307, 493	<p>Noted. In accordance with national planning policy, the LPA must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Council have carried out a Green Belt review and identified the most appropriate locations for development so that the areas of highest Green belt value can be protected and defendable in the long term.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the results of the Green Belt Study, the most appropriate locations for development have been determined through application of the communal result of all documents contributing to the Council's Local Plan evidence base.</p>	N/A
One large development should be completed before the next development begins with the	409, 2007, 5131	The Council has put together a 'Draft Phasing Strategy' as part of the Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options Report 2013 to ensure that development is brought	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
right infrastructure. Rules should not be relaxed.		<p>forward in balance with supporting infrastructure to mitigate the adverse impact of development.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p> <p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework is a key part of Government reforms to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.</p>	<p>ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p>
No development should be permitted as enough development already. Infrastructure cannot cope; flood risk concerns and Green Belt will be lost.	56, 460, 472, 730, 932, 1167, 1282, 1518, 1647, 2092, 2720, 2742, 2876, 2887, 2839, 3021, 3120, 3141, 3712, 3868, 4464, 4545, 4834, 4954,	Noted. The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing,	The Council will work in partnership with the Water Authority, Environment Agency, Essex County Council (Lead Local Flood Authority and SuDS Approval Body) and

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth. In accordance with national planning policy it must consider whether its Green Belt boundaries need to be adjusted through the review of the Local Plan in order to allocate enough land to meet those needs.</p> <p>The Council have had regard to the Basildon Borough Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA, 2011) and the Local Plan Flood Risk Sequential Test Report (Dec 2013) in determining its preferred option and the yields of potential development sites located in flood risk areas, and will continue to do so in any future revisions and throughout the Local Development Plan process. The SFRA, alongside the National Planning Policy Framework highlight the importance of regard to flooding in an area. Direct mention of flood risk has been identified in Core Policy 16.</p> <p>The Council recognises that there are infrastructure constraints both within the Borough and outside that would be put under further strain if no investment or upgrades occurred. Therefore, in accordance with national policy, the Council will use the Local Plan process to work with infrastructure providers to identify what specific upgrades are needed to infrastructure within and outside the Borough to support the development proposed in the Local Plan and secure its timely provision.</p>	<p>other relevant flood risk management authorities to ensure that flood risk within the Borough is effectively managed.</p> <p>The Council will work with relevant infrastructure providers such as the Education Authority, NHS Care Commissioning Group, UK Power Networks etc to determine the infrastructure requirements of the proposed development, and identify the cost and viability of such provision.</p> <p>The Council will work with Essex County Council and relevant service providers to identify suitable options to mitigate the impacts of growth as highlighted by the Highway Impact Assessment.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>As part of this commitment, the Council plans to introduce a new Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) to ensure new development helps contribute towards the costs of infrastructure investment.</p> <p>The Council will continue to work with Essex County Council as the Highways Authority to understand the transport context of the Borough, and test appropriate options to mitigate the impact to the road network identified in the Highway Impact Assessment.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	
Planning permission should only be renewed in exceptional circumstances	5131	<p>This is not within the remit of the Local Plan.</p> <p>As a temporary measure in 2009, the Labour Government introduced the ability to apply to extend a planning permission, if it was granted on or before 1st October 2009. If the application was successful, a new permission would be granted providing a longer period within which to implement the development. The measure was introduced as a result of the economic downturn in order to save existing permissions which could then be implemented when the economic conditions improved, without developers incurring the costs and delay of applying for a new planning permission.</p>	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>In September 2012, the Coalition Government extended the time period within which the existing permission must have been granted by 1 year to 1st October 2010.</p> <p>Nick Boles has stated that the Government has no intention to renew this measure any further, and the ability to extend time limits to planning permissions will eventually be revoked.</p>	
Monitor housing growth in line with economic development	1844	<p>Noted. Recently released National Planning Policy Guidance on the 'Assessment of Housing and Economic Development Needs' supports local planning authorities in objectively assessing and evidencing development needs for housing (both market and affordable); and economic development (which includes main town centre uses).</p> <p>To understand the 'objectively assessed need' for housing we must understand what is required to address demographic change over the plan period. The Planning Advisory Service (PAS) has prepared nine fundamental principles that should guide the approach to understand and calculate housing need, including the use of up to date demographic evidence to understand how the population has changed in the past and what components of change (births, deaths, migration) are that have contributed to this. Also to Benchmark different scenarios against the economic growth ambitions and population that will be required</p>	<p>The Council will continue to monitor planning permissions, and publish its Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) relating to housing delivery and 5 year housing trajectory on the Planning Policy section of the Council's website.</p> <p>Review the Council's development monitoring and what is included within the AMR report.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>to deliver the total number of jobs.</p> <p>The Greater Essex Demographic Forecasts supplied by Edge Analytics uses a comparison of 2010 and 2011 population projection estimates from the Census and assumes continuing trends in fertility, mortality and migration. It also takes into consideration Basildon's economic position within South Essex to formulate its recommendation of providing 800 dwellings per year to meet the objectively assessed needs for housing in the Borough. Therefore, the need to build housing is determined by the projected population increase, and the Employment Land & Premises Study, which has been used to identify the requirement for employment land and jobs over the same plan period, has used a demand led approach in line with the NPPF to determine the employment requirements created by the increased number of households. Therefore the housing number is to meet the requirements of new residents, and the employment land requirement is to meet the needs of the new number of households.</p> <p>The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	
Sudden increase in social	3879	Noted. One of the objectives of the Council's	Continue to monitor and

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
<p>housing in villages can lead to difficulties both for established citizens and incomers with young families separated from their family support networks</p>		<p>Corporate Plan, the National Planning Policy Framework and the Council's Local Plan Core Strategy is to provide for all. In accordance with the Equalities Act 2010, the Council can not provide for one particular community and not others.</p> <p>The Council have prepared a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) with four other authorities in the Thames Gateway area to determine the appropriate amount of affordable homes that will meet the Borough's and Thames Gateway requirements. The SHMA sets out that the Borough should provide 36% affordable housing. This is the requirement set out in Core Policy 3.</p> <p>This is an increase from the previous Council's affordable housing requirement of 30% set out in the 2008 Interim Planning Obligations Strategy.</p> <p>The Council have also tested three levels of affordable housing; 30%, 35% and 40% in their Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (now called the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment, in line with national policy). The testing of different levels of affordable housing allows the Council to determine how affordable housing affects the viability of the development. The higher the amount of affordable housing, the fewer sites are viable.</p> <p>In addition, the Council is proposing to introduce a</p>	<p>viability test the amount of affordable housing that should be provided in the Borough to meet requirements.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>new 'deferred contribution' clause into the planning policy which will see viability tested twice (at the time of application and once a development has been built), to ensure the Borough does not lose out of possible affordable housing contributions in the event that the market improves.</p>	
Concerned about Wickford	3188	Noted.	N/A
Works should bear residents in mind and should benefit the existing community	3804	<p>The Census 2011 shows that the population is increasing. There are more births than deaths as people are living longer. Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to provide for their development needs.</p> <p>The Government's National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that LPAs should plan positively to meet the development needs of the area, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change. One of the NPPF's Core Planning Principles is to meet the objectively assessed need for housing, business and other development needs of an area and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.</p> <p>The Council has used evidence to distribute the development in sites that are deliverable and can meet the objectively assessed need of the Borough. The distribution of development has considered the relative size of each settlement, so that the character and identity of the settlement is retained.</p>	<p>The Council will continue to review population projections as and when they are revised in order to establish the Borough's required housing need and to progress the Local Plan so that sufficient land is allocated to meet that need.</p>

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
		<p>Development can provide benefits, such as additional service and road improvements to the existing communities.</p> <p>In the production of the Council's Core Strategy Revised Preferred Options, careful consideration of different communities has been made including such things as housing density, housing mix, design and the built environment, flood risk, conservation and the natural environment, open spaces, and supporting infrastructure such as schools, hospitals etc. to ensure that any new development is not only in keeping with the existing settlement but also provides a benefit to new and existing communities.</p>	
Raises questions the soundness of the document due to deliverability issues and uncertainty	4651	Noted.	Council to consider whether format changes to Local Plan will aide deliverability and certainty issues for development locations.
Wants to be consulted in the future	458, 624	Noted.	The Council will include all respondents on a database to be informed of any further consultations on the Local Plan, or as requested when registering on the Council's website.
No comments	864, 898, 1129, 1200, 1222, 1238, 1718, 1896, 2021, 2163, 2338, 2356, 2772, 2898, 3107, 3131, 3163, 3199, 3210, 3251, 3406, 3474, 3561, 3840, 4049, 4263, 4381, 4427, 4589,	Noted.	N/A

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
	4807, 4971, 5169, 5188, 5246, 5411, 5435, 5461, 5560, 5679, 5834, 5873, 5961, 6047, 6058, 6149, 6211, 6564, 6584, 6612, 6652,		
Support development on PADC5. Current phasing of PADC5 is noted, and that detailed phasing could come through a Site Allocations document. However, this may take too long to implement.	9866	<p>Noted. The Local Plan will use an in-built mechanism to ‘plan, monitor and manage’ the delivery of its policies and proposals through the Authority’s Monitoring Report as required by the Localism Act 2011, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the NPPF. This will report on the individual targets and indicators of the Core Strategy Monitoring Framework (presented as a supporting document for the Revised Preferred Options consultation) throughout the plan period, comparing progress against the Core Strategy’s Draft Phasing Programme, as set out in Appendix E of the Revised Preferred Options Report 2013.</p> <p>The Council will however keep this situation under review in the development of the Local Plan in the event any evidence or priorities change.</p>	<p>The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are deliverable. The Council will also review the ‘Draft Phasing Strategy’ to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.</p> <p>Review appropriateness of development locations in light of merits of counter proposals and new/updated evidence.</p>
Residential development should come forward in balance with infrastructure.	9866,	Noted.	The Council will work with Landowners, Developers and the Development industry to ensure that sites allocated for development in later versions of the Local Plan are

Summary of Comments	Comment ID	Response	Actions
			deliverable. The Council will also review the 'Draft Phasing Strategy' to ensure that development is suitably phased so that necessary improvements to infrastructure can be brought forward in a timely manner to support development.

Inadmissible comments

The Council, in line with legislation, must consider whether all representations have been 'duly made' in that they were submitted in accordance with the consultation procedures. In discharging this duty, the Council must also consider whether any representations, or parts of representations are 'inadmissible'; meaning they were either submitted late, didn't provide valid contact details or they contained inappropriate wording that the Council cannot take into account in preparing the Local Plan

Inappropriate representations were deemed inadmissible where they incited hatred or were discriminatory. The Council evaluated all representations to determine whether any were inadmissible against the Council's Equalities Evaluation Criteria, as shown in Appendix F. The Equalities Evaluation Criteria was prepared in accordance with the Equalities Act 2010 and the Human Rights Act 1998. Late representations were any comments received after the closing date at 5pm, 1st April 2014.

Reason	Comment ID
Inappropriate	77, 118, 317, 330, 332, 484, 534, 571, 580, 584, 586, 845, 851, 962, 1016, 1050, 1085, 1305, 1504, 1576, 1607, 1630, 2014, 2157, 2691, 2692, 2716, 2723, 2734, 2815, 2868, 2879, 2895, 2942, 3047, 3123, 3133, 3269, 3344, 3469, 3703, 4601, 4854, 5096, 5114, 7209, 7271, 7567, 8698
Late	6982, 6983, 6999, 7000, 7031, 7032, 7119, 7120, 9867, 9868, 9869, 9870, 9871, 9872, 9873, 9874, 9875, 9876, 9877, 9878, 9879, 9880, 9881, 9882, 9883, 9884, 9885, 9886, 9887, 9888, 9889, 9890, 9891, 9892, 9893, 9894, 9895, 9896, 9897, 9898, 9899, 9900, 9901, 9902, 9903, 9904, 9905, 9906, 9907, 9908, 9909, 9910, 9911, 9912, 9913, 9914, 9915, 9916, 9917, 9918, 9919, 9920, 9921, 9922, 9923, 9924, 9925, 9926, 9927, 9928, 9929, 9930, 9931, 9932, 9933, 9934, 9935, 9936, 9937, 9938, 9939, 9940, 9941, 9942, 9943, 9944, 9945, 9946, 9947, 9948, 9949, 9950, 9951, 9952, 9953, 9954, 9955, 9956, 9957, 9958, 9959, 9960, 9961, 9962, 9963, 9964, 9965, 9966, 9967, 9968, 9969, 9970, 9971, 9972, 9973, 9974, 9975, 9976, 9977, 9978, 9979, 9980, 9981, 9982, 9983, 9984, 9985, 9986, 9987, 9988, 9989, 9990, 9991, 9992, 9993, 9994, 9995, 9996, 9997, 9998, 9999, 10000, 10001, 10002, 10003, 10004, 10005, 10006, 10007, 10008, 10009, 10010, 10011, 10012, 10013, 10014, 10015, 10016, 10017, 10018, 10019, 10020, 10021, 10022, 10023, 10024, 10025, 10026, 10027, 10028, 10029, 10030, 10031, 10032, 10033, 10034, 10035, 10036, 10037, 10038, 10039, 10040, 10041, 10042, 10043, 10044, 10045, 10046, 10047, 10048, 10049, 10050, 10051, 10052, 10053, 10054, 10055, 10056, 10057, 10058, 10059, 10060, 10061, 10062, 10063, 10064, 10065, 10066, 10067, 10068, 10069, 10070, 10071, 10072, 10073, 10074, 10075, 10076, 10077, 10078, 10079, 10080, 10081, 10082, 10083, 10084, 10085, 10086, 10087, 10088, 10089, 10090, 10091, 10092, 10093, 10094, 10095, 10096, 10097, 10098, 10099, 10100, 10101, 10102, 10103, 10104, 10105, 10106, 10107, 10108, 10109, 10110, 10111, 10112, 10113, 10114, 10115, 10116, 10117, 10118, 10119, 10120, 10121, 10122, 10123, 10124, 10125, 10126, 10127, 10128, 10129, 10130, 10131, 10132, 10133, 10134, 10135, 10136, 10137, 10138, 10139, 10140, 10141, 10142, 10143, 10144, 10145, 10146, 10147, 10148, 10149, 10150, 10151,
Withdrawn	There were 15 representations received that were then subsequently withdrawn by the consultee and have the comments made have not been taken into account.
Deleted	The following representations were deleted as they were created in error: 1, 157, 169, 268, 274, 329, 435, 634, 824, 834, 918, 929, 993, 1081, 1226, 1519, 1535, 1666, 1705, 1706, 2237, 2259, 2297, 2398, 2407, 2473, 2410, 2471, 2473, 4395, 4396, 4397, 4398, 4783, 5685, 6003, 7523, 7553, 7725, 8976
No contacts details	8786, 8787, 8788, 8789, 9001, 9002, 9003, 9004, 10152, 10153, 10154, 10155, 10156, 10157, 10158, 10159, 10160, 10161, 10162, 10163, 10164, 10165, 10166, 10167, 10168, 10169, 10170, 10171, 10172, 10173, 10174, 10175

No Name	There were 8 representations received that had no contact name and therefore could not be assigned a comment ID. We also received a Petition with 23 signatures against development in Billericay, however as there is no lead petitioner we are unable to accept this as a formal petition.
---------	--